15.10.5; 71b (איבעיא → 73a (לבדה)

- ת. **וְשַׁמַע אִישַה** בִּיוֹם שָׁמָעוֹ וְהָחֵרִישׁ לָהּ וְקָמוּ נָדְרֵיהָ וְאֵסָרָהָ אֲשֵׁר אָסְרָה עַל נַפְּשָׁהּ יָקָמוּ: *במדבר ל,* ח
 - 2. כַּל נֵדֶר וְכָל שָׁבַעַת אָסֶר לְעַנֹּת נָפֵשׁ **אִישָׁה יִקִימְנּוּ וְאִישָׁה יִפְרָנּוּ**: במדבר ל, יד
- גיא אוֹתָה הָנִיא אוֹתָה הָבַר אֶת נְדְרָה אֲשֶׁר עָלֶיהָ וְאֵת מִבְטָא שְׂפָתֶיה אֲשֶׁר אָסְרָה עַל נַפְּשָׁה וָה' יִסְלַח לָה: *בסדבר פרק ל פסוק ט* 4. **וְהָשָׁקָה** אֵת הָאִשָּׁה אֵת מֵי הַמָּרִים הַמְאָרֵרִים וּבָאוּ בָהּ הַמַּיִם הַמְאָרֵרִים לְמָרִים: *במדבר ה, כד*
- Question posed about קיום הנדר is divorce considered קיום or just silence?
 - Split the difference: if he heard, was silent, divorced her and took her back the same day can he reject the ינדר?
 - Attempted proof: תוספתא (dealt with above) detailing the parameters of נתרוקנה
 - Proof: since דישא doesn't mention divorce along with silence → divorce must be = קיום
 - 2 Rejection: same "narrow" read of the סיפא leads to the opposite conclusion
 - Rather: that תוספתא cannot be a proof; either the סיפא or the סיפא is narrowly constructed and the other is loosely constructed in order to be symmetrical with other half
 - משנה adivorced, the latter fiancé may still reject the נדר if she was divorced, the latter fiancé may still reject the
 - *Implication*: divorce = silence (since otherwise the latter fiancé couldn't reject it)
 - Rejection: perhaps in that case, the first fiancé never heard the vow
 - (a) Challenge: if so, why limit it to the same day he has until the day he hears of it
 - (b) Answer: it is the day that the father heard
 - iii Attempted proof: בריתא if he divorces her and takes her back on the same day, he can no longer reject the נדר
 - Implication: divorce = קיום הנדר
 - Rejection: perhaps in that case he fully married her on the same day and a husband cannot reject vows from before the marriage (as opposed to a fiancé, who may do so)
- II משנה ד' regarding their daughters and wives
 - as father before daughter leaves his domain, he rejects all נדרים she may have taken
 - as husband before wife enters his domain, he rejects all נדרים she may have taken beforehand
 - reason: once he marries her, he has no purview over pre-existent (אין הבעל מיפר בקודמין) נדרים (אין הבעל מיפר בקודמין)
 - related questions of רמי בר חמא
 - can a husband reject נדרים that he hasn't heard (analyzing necessity of phrase in v. 1)
 - attempted resolution: our משנה after all, he never heard the vow
 - (a) rejection: perhaps he has to reject each of them again when he hears them
 - (i) *block*: if so, what is the import of this declaration?
 - (ii) Defense: demonstrates that מ"ח initiate such a conversation to provoke her to admit to נדרים
 - Attempted resolution: from 2nd clause in our משנה
 - (a) Rejection: perhaps, here also, he has to reject again when he hears them
 - Attempted resolution: next משנה a man's ability to set up הפרה –in-advance (in anticipation of a journey) is subject to a dispute (ר"א/רבנן) – so he doesn't need to hear them for הפרה
 - (a) Rejection: perhaps he has to reject each one when he hears it
 - (i) Block: then why make the statement let him reject it when he hears it
 - (ii) Defense: he is concerned that he may be occupied at the time
 - 1. Note: in other words, the הפרה is invalid until he hears it, but according to א"ז, he may make the הפרה in advance
 - Attempted resolution: dispute between ר' יונתן as to whether a husband can appoint a trustee to reject his wife's נדרים while he's gone
 - (a) Con: ר' יאשיה, following v. 2, notes that it must be the husband himself
 - (b) Pro: ר' יונתן, following general rule שלוחו של אדם כמותו
 - (i) Note: all seem to agree that husband doesn't need to hear the נדר
 - (c) Rejection: perhaps he has to reject each one when he hears it
 - (i) *Block*: then why make the statement let him reject it when he hears it
 - (ii) Defense: he is concerned that he may be occupied at the time
 - Can a deaf man reject his wife's נדרים
 - Lemma1: perhaps he needs to be able to hear, as per conceptual rule of בילה דר' זירא) ר' זירא) בילה דר' זירא)
 - Lemma2: since the husband may not have to hear the נדר to reject it, he may also reject it
 - Answer: v. 1 excludes the wife of a deaf man (מדרש הלכה)
 - iii Tangential question: may a husband reject vows of his two wives as one? (is אותה in v. 3 to be read narrowly?)
 - Answer: dispute as to whether אותה (implicit in v. 4) is read narrowly or not in re: השקיית סוטה