16.4.4 25b (ר״ע אומר) → 26b (קורות לא)



- I Continued analysis of ברייתא about וולדות קדשים)
  - a שם no need for דק to exclude תמורת אשם v. 1 states אשם → only the אשם is brought, not its "second"
  - b challenge: no need for אשם הוא, we have received tradition that רעייה ←אשם::מיתה לחטאת
  - c *answer*: verse needed for teaching of ביב:
    - i if: an אשם was already "sent to pasture" and was slaughtered (before becoming משר עולה for an כשר עולה
    - ii Implication: if slaughtered as an עולה before ניתוק, invalid due to v. 1
- II Revisiting יוחנן 's statement about the מעות סתומין" הלכה היא בנזיר" מעות סתומין

  - b Challenge: rule also applies to קנין
  - c Answer: נזיר meant נזיר and anything like it (נזיר טמא) brings a nest as does a יולדת
  - d Further: implication is accurate, excluding the case in ברייתא
    - i If: someone is liable for a מולה and also commits himself to an עולה and then designates money "לחובתי"
      - 1 Ruling: neither an עולה nor a חטאת may be brought
      - 2 Further: if he died with undefined moneys they go to ים המלח
- III Analysis of our משנה clarifying the definition of סתומין/מפורשין
  - a אנעי '
    - i version 1: designation doesn't require assignment of particular coins; even if he assigns the coins לעולתי, חטאתי ושלמי
    - ii version 2: designation doesn't even require that much; even if he assigns the coins לחובתי
  - h רבא
    - in case of undesignated funds, if the amount needed for a חטאת is taken out, the rest are considered מפרשין
      - 1 ruling: ½ goes to שלמים, as per שלמים, as per משנה
      - 2 support: ברייתא rules:
        - (a) If: he designates funds for the מינירותי and funds for "the rest of נוירותי" and dies the rest are divided 50/50
          - (i) further: the moneys are only subject to מעילה if all are used
            - 1. reason: perhaps the part he used was the "שלמים"-funds"
        - (b) if: he designates funds for the עולה and funds for "the rest of " נדבה and dies the rest go to נדבה
          - (i) *further*: the moneys are only subject to מעילה if all are used
  - c בורשת only applies to money, but an animal is always מפורשת
    - i reason: each animal is unique (עולה lamb; שלמים ewe; שלמים ram)
    - i caveat (מום); animal is only considered מפורשת if it was ממה (without מום) at time of designation
      - 1 However: if he separates (3) pieces of silver, considered מפורשת (since each is used for a single קרבן
      - 2 Dissent (ר' נחמן בר יצחק): even silver pieces are considered undesignated (unless he designated them)
        - (a) However: (3) piles of wood are considered מפורשים