29.4.13 51b (משנה הפרק) → 52b (סיום הפרק)

- ז. וְהַכֹּהֵן הַפְּשִׁיחַ תַּחָתָּיוֹ מָבָּנֶיוֹ יַצְשֶׂה אֹתָה חָק עוֹלָם לָה' כְּלִיל תַּקְטָר: יִיקִרא וּ, טו
 זַה קַרְבַּן אַהֶרֹן וּבְּנָיו אֲשֶׁר יִקְרֹיב לָה' בְּיוֹם הְפְשׁח אֹתוֹ עֲשֹׁירָת הָאֵפָה סֹלֶת מְנְחָה תָּמִיד מַחֲצִיתָה בַּבֹּקֶר וּמַחֲצִיתָה בָּעֶרֶב: ייקרא וּ, יי
 זָה קַרְבַּן אַהֶרֹן וּבְּנָיו אֲשֶׁר הַהְּנִים מְחַלְּי וּמְלֵח יִּהְים מְחַלְּי בְּמִקוֹם טָהוֹר וְהָיְתָה לַעֲדְת בְּנֵי יְשְׂרָא לְמִשְׁמֶרְת לְמֵי נָדְּה חַשְּאת הְוֹא: במדבר יט, ט
 זַבְּי יְשְׁרָאֵל וְאַמֵּרְתְּ אֲלַהֶם אֶת קְרְבָּנִי לְחְמִי לְאַשֵּׁי רֵיחַ נִיּחֹחִי תִּשְׁמְרוֹ לְהַקְרִיב לִי בְּמוֹעֲדוֹ: במדבר כח, ב
 זִמְרָה הַלְּבְיִים וְלָבְּיִשִׁים בְּמִיְפָּבָים בְּמִשְׁפָּט: במדבר כט, יח
- I משנה הב: continued discussion of the מה"א who died midday re: offering משנה הב in the afternoon
 - a if: they did not appoint a new כה"ג in the meantime, afternoon offering brought by:
 - i ש"ז. public funds
 - ii *ד' יהודה*. heirs
 - iii in any case: it is brought as a full עשרון
- II ברייתא: sources for ר"י and ר"ש
 - אותה \rightarrow heirs bring it; אותה \rightarrow must all be brought, not just $\frac{1}{2}$
 - b עולם tomes from "world" (i.e. public funds); כליל → must all be brought, not just ½
 - i challenge: תחתיו מבניי teaches that there are distinct קרבנות mentioned (vv. 1-2); מהן הדיוט and כהן הדיוט
 - 1 answer: מבניו (as opposed to בניו) teaches both
 - ii אותה must answer for אותה
 - 1 answer: teaches that if they did appoint new כה"ג, he must bring full עשרון, and split not just bring ½
 - (a) challenge: why not infer that from (בערב) (as per above)
 - (i) answer: וא"ו doesn't consider וא"ו significant
 - iii הק עולם must explain הק עולם explanation: it lasts for all generations
 - 1 and: he must explain כליל תקטר
 - (a) explanation: כליל::כליל (vv. 2-3) → both must be fully burnt (תקטר) and both carry לא תאכל) (לא תאכל)
 - 2 assumption: ר"ש holds that coming from public funds is מן התורה;)
 - (a) challenge: ה"ש שקלים ז:ו includes this among his חקנות ב"ד (of providing קרבנות for providing קרבנות)
 - (b) *answer*: originally, it followed צבור law from צבור; when they saw the public funds were low, they made a חקנה that heirs should bring; when they saw that they were neglectful in bringing, restored חורה law
 - (c) tangent: in those 7 תקנות, included is rule that אפר פרה does not carry מעילה
 - (i) challenge: that is also מה"ת (v. 4) only פרה is like חטאת, not the אפר
 - (ii) answer: originally, followed ב"ד; תורה saw that they were negligent (used ashes for wounds), enacted ruling that מעילה obtains; when they saw that they were avoiding מעילה restored, restored תורה law
 - 3 related שעירי א"ז rules that פר העלם דש"צ are collected in advance (תרומת הלשכה from שעירי ע"ז are collected in advance (תרומת הלשכה
 - (a) then: we have opposite rulings
 - (b) *students (to ווי אשי)*: 1st version is final one, since we know that ד"ט is concerned about neglect
 - (c) response (פרה could even be #2; סרו sonly חושש לפשיעותא when there is no מברה associated
 - (i) resolution: פר העלם ברייתא) cites v. 5 to extend to פר העלם דש"ב comes from תרומת הלשכה
- III reassessing end of משנה if there was no replacement כה"ג, it was brought complete (full עשרון
 - a question (ד' יוחנן): was it brought complete twice a day or complete in the morning and not at all at בה"ע?
 - i answer (אביתי): משנה describes daily עבודה the 8th הביתין would have the בה"ע (morning and בה"ע).
 - 1 explanation: proves that it is always brought, even if no כה"ג currently named
 - 2 rejection (נ"י ירמיה): list also presents "7th flour...9th wine", but נסכים may be brought at night, even מחר (v. 6)
 - (a) rather: the list is not addressing odd circumstances; nor a case of no current כה"ג
 - 3 save (חביתין): חביתין are called מנחת תמיד always brought (support from ברייתא

- IV Related discussion how many קמצים are taken from מנחת חביתין
 - a בי יוחנן. dispute אבא יוסי בן דוסתאי/רבנן
 - i קמצים 2 אבא יוסי are separated, one brought with morning $lat{1}{2}$ עשרון, one with afternoon $lat{1}{2}$
 - 1 reason: no precedent for burning ½ קומץ
 - ii קומץ 1 אבנן separated; ½ burnt with morning offering, ½ in afternoon
 - 1 reason: no precedent for separating 2 עשרון from 1 עשרון
 - b אבי '' '' question: if מר"ג dies and there is no replacement, do רבנן maintain that we double the לבונה or not?
 - i lemma1: do we argue that if the dough is doubled (2 עשרון), the לבונה ought to be doubled
 - ii lemma2: we only offer what the תורה explicitly directed
 - c 2nd question: for both אבא and רבנן is the oil doubled or not?
 - i answer (אנים: there are five קמצים but if there were to be a קומץ offered with each full could be 7
 - 1 block: משנה is not addressing "off" cases ("what if...")
 - 2 challenge (לכד"ם): one of the cases mentioned there is offering outside –
 - dies and there is not replacement yet, they bring a full עשרון in the morning and one in the evening, each with a קומץ and they give 3 לוגין 6 of oil; 1.5 in the morning, 1.5 in the evening
 - i *note*: this must be authored by אבא יוסי who maintains that we always have 2 קמצים and we see that the oil and are not doubled
 - 1 implication: אבא יוסי does not double the oil, דבונה double neither the oil nor the לבונה
 - e final ruling (ר' יוחנן): per אבא יוסי
 - i challenge: די יוחנן ruled that we always follow סתם משנה
 - 1 and: מנחות יג:ג states that there are only 5 ממחות יג:ג (doesn't include חביתי כ"ג)
 - 2 answer: 2 versions of ר' יוחנן