1.6.2 35b (חוץ מן היין) → 36b (ואיקיים ביטיתא)

ן יְיָין יְשַׁמַּח לְבַב אֲנוֹשׁ לְהַבָּהִיל פָּנִים מִשְּׁמֶן וְלָחֶם לְבַב אֱנוֹשׁ יִסְעָד: *תהלים קד, טו* בּ וְכִי תָבֹאוּ אֶל הָאֶרֶץ וּנְטַעָתֶּם כָּל עֵץ מַאֲכָל **וַעָרלְתָּם עָרְלָתוֹ אֶת פּרְיוֹ** שָׁלֹשׁ שָׁנִים יִהְיֶה לָכֶם עֲרַלִים לֹא יֵאָכֵל: *ויקרא יט, כג*

- I Reason for the exception of wine (בפה"ע instead of בפה"ע
 - a Rejected suggestion: wine is an improvement over its earlier state (grape)
 - i *Block*: olive oil is an improvement
 - ii Save: there's no other ברכה available there can't say בריה ברי , that's the name of the fruit
 - 1 Block: could say בורא פרי עץ הזית (parallel to גפן)
 - b Suggestion: wine gives sustenance
 - i *Challenge*: olive oil also sustains, as per שמן זית w/ משנה נדרים's explanation (כל הזן עלי may not have שמן זית may not have כל הזן אלי)
 - c Rather: wine fills you up, unlike olive oil
 - i Block: wine doesn't fill you רבא used to drink lots of wine on ע"מ to generate an appetite
 - 1 Answer: a small amount satisfies; a large amount makes you hungry
 - ii Challenge: wine doesn't fill you up, as per v. 1 (bread does)
 - 1 defense: wine both gladdens and sates, bread only sates
 - 2 challenge (רבא to רנב"י): we should say full ברכת המזון after wine
 - (a) answer: people don't base a meal around wine (if they do unusual and doesn't change norms)
- II Revisiting בפה"ע is ruling about שמן זית the בפה"ע is ברכה
 - a *Question*: how is it being eaten?
 - i If: as is it is harmful (no סך as per distinction between אוכל שמן זית של תרומה and סך
 - ii Rather: must be eaten with bread
 - 1 But: bread is the staple, and ברכת הפת exempts the שמן זית
 - iii Rather: must be eaten with anigron (beet juice)
 - 1 Challenge: anigron is the staple and that ברכה should exempt the oil
 - iv Rather: must be drinking שמן זית with אניגרון for his sore throat, where מיקר is the עיקר
 - 1 Might have thought: since it's for ברכה at all, קמ"ל that since he gets הנאה, needs ברכה
- III Dispute regarding the ברכה on wheat flour
 - a ר' נחמן: שהכל רב יהודה:בפה"א
 - i שמואל .*רבא* and בפה"ע seem to support בפה"א in their ruling that we say שמואל on בפה"ע סמן זית on בפה"ע
 - 1 Proving: that even though it's modified, it maintains the ברכה
 - 2 *Block*: in that case, it can't get any better (oil); here, it can become bread
 - (a) However: שמואל ruled that we say שהכל on barley flour → wheat flour is בפה"א
 - (b) שהכל both are שהכל, but barley flour was neeed ברכה חס סד"א– at all (worse than salt) קמ"ל
- IV Dispute regarding the ברכה on hearts of palm
 - a רב יהודה: בפה"א it's a fruit
 - b שמואל: שהכל it will eventually harden הלכה
 - i Concession: a radish will also harden, but we say בפה"א
 - ii *Block*: people plant the radish for the hard part, not so with a palm tree
 - 1 *Challenge*: why does intent of planting matter (challenge from a caperbush)
 - 2 *Answer*: people plant the caperbush for the shoots; not the palm tree for the hearts
- V Tangential discussion re: ערלה (caperbush) re: ערלה
 - ערלה, מעשרות, ברכה ruled like אביונות only the אביונות (berries) are fruit (vis-à-vis *רב*.
 - i Challenge: why isn't the bud a שומר לפרי, and following v. 2 should be אסור משום ערלה
 - ii Answer: שומר is only if it remains on the fruit after it is picked (like a peel); not so, here
 - iii Final definition of שומר לפרי. if by taking it away, the fruit dies (e.g. pomegranate blossom, unlike a caperbush bud, which, if removed, will not lead to withering of bush)