2.1.5

6a ([תוספתא שבת א:א-ו]) → 7a (תוספתא שבת א:א-ו]

- I. תוספתא שבת א:א-ו defining the four שבת סf שבת
 - a. איש החוד a ditch י"ט deep and ד"ט wide, or a fence החיד wide this is a "complete" הה"י wide this is a "complete" הה"י
 - i. Note: exclusive phrasing in ברייתא is meant to exclude ר' יהודה's opinion about houses facing each other
 - ii. הי"ר if he owns 2 houses on 2 sides of רה"ר, he may set up a לחי on each side or a קורה on each side and carry between them (חכמים cannot be מערב this way)
 - 1. Note: "complete" חכמים that חכמים disagree לחומרא (may not carry), but would consider it a such that if he threw something in there from the adjacent הח"ג he'd be הח"ג that it is not דמ"ל at all
 - b. אה"ב): main streets, city plaza and alleys that cut through from main road to main road
 - . Note: exclusive phrasing in ברייתא is meant to exclude another ruling of פסי ביראות in re: פסי ביראות
 - 1. Ruling: וּ דמ"ח went through the fenced off-area (for access to the cistern), set up detour around פסים
 - 2. Note: "complete" is just parallel construction with first clause
 - ii. Question: why not include "the desert" which is included in list of דרייתא in another ברייתא
 - 1. Answer (אביי): that ברייתא is referring to the desert period (אביי) was in desert) ours is current
 - c. Rules of interaction and consequences (א:ג): may not take out from רה"ר or bring in from רה"ל or bring in from רה"י
 - i. Consequences of violation: חטאת בשוגג; intentionally סר סקילה (if סקילה)
 - ii. Range: whether he takes out, brings in, hands over or throws liable
 - 1. *Justification*: איסי בן found a private document in ר' fouse, in the name of איסי בן יהודה, indicating that the canonical count of 39 מלאכות teaches that there is only liability for one of them
 - a. Challenge: שבת ז:ב as implying that there is liability for each מלאכה
 - b. Rather: there is one מלאכה for which the usual consequences don't apply but הוצאה isn't it
 - d. איסטוונית, the sea, רה"ר are neither רה"ר above), a field or כרמלית are neither רה"ר nor רה"ר
 - i. Therefore: we may not carry within these areas, but there is no liability for doing so
 - ii. And: we may not take an object from these areas to or from רה"ר or רה"ר but there is no liability for doing so
 - 1. Challenge: an open field is considered רה"י and רה"י
 - a. In summer: רה"ר for טומאה and שבת for שבת
 - b. In winter: רה"י for both
 - 2. Answer1 (עולא): it is a כרמלית; called "רשות היחיד" insofar as it is not a רה"ר
 - 3. Answer2 (עולא 'ז'): in case it was fenced in, per יוחנן 'ז's ruling (quoted by עולא) that if a field is fenced in for non-residential purposes, if someone throws an object into that area (no matter how large) from ה"ר (i.e. it is "ז")
 - a. Reason: it has מחיצות, but is just lacking residents
 - iii. Challenge: why single out "כרמלית? Aren't all of these classified as כרמלית?
 - 1. Answer (ד' יוחנן): this includes a corner which is adjacent to
 - a. Even though: it is sometimes used in exigent circumstances by the public,
 - i. Nonetheless: since using that area isn't convenient, considered כרמלית
 - 2. נרמלית the area between the pillars (where shopkeepers set up their wares) considered כרמלית
 - a. Reason: even though the public goes there, but can't do so comfortably (must squeeze through)
 - 3. איצטבא (where sellers would sit) is a כרמלית
 - a. Note: ד' יוחנן would certainly agree with this, but בין העמודים might not accept בין העמודים
 - i. Reason: access there is easier
 - ii. Alternately: between the pillars is accessed by the public →considered רה"ר
 - e. publicly held courtyards and dead-end alleys (א:ה) only if they make an עירוב חצירות may they carry there
 - f. status of the threshold-אקום פטור (א:א): man may stand there and give/take to/from person inside or person outside
 - i. *he may even*: pass an item from/to inside or from/to outside
 - 1. but: he may not pass from inside to outside (or vice-versa), but if he does, all 3 are פטור
 - ii. dissent: אחרים if door is open, אסקופה considered part of אחרים, if closed, part of outside (רה"ר);
 - iii. Note: if it is י"ט high it is considered an independent רשות היחיד