## 2.3.4

40a (אתמר חמין שהוחמו בע"ש)  $\rightarrow 41a$  (אתמר מחממתה)

.. **בָּבֶלָה יוּבָאוּ וְשָׁמָּה יִהְיוּ עַד יוֹם פָּקְדִי אֹתָם** נְאָם ה' וְהַעֲלִיתִים וַהֲשִׁיבֹתִים אֶל הַמָּקוֹם הַזֶּה: *ירמיהו כז, כב* 

- I. Dispute רב/שמואל about washing with water heated up on ע"ש
  - a. 27 (version1): he may wash his whole body, one part at a time
  - b. שמואל he may only wash his face, hands and feet
    - i. Challenge1 (to 27): ruling that explicitly excludes washing his whole body (only face etc. allowed)
      - 1. Defense: means "similar to face, hands, feet" i.e. one part at a time
    - ii. Challenge2 (to ברייתא only allowed to wash face etc.
      - 1. Defense: per above means "similar to face, hands and feet"
    - iii. Support (for ברייתא :(שמואל he may wash face, hands and feet, but not whole body even אבר אבר
      - 1. And certainly: not water that was heated up on יו"ט
  - c. כי (version2 per רבה): he may wash his whole body, and leave one limb unwashed
    - i. Challenge: all the above-invoked challenges and he is refuted
    - ii. *Question (ר' יוסף לאביי*): did רבה follow his own version of בח?
      - 1. Answer (אביי): didn't know
      - 2. Observation: why did he even ask after all, בי was soundly refuted?
        - a. Answer: perhaps רבה hadn't heard the refutations, in which case, he would certainly follow רב
          - i. Per: אביי's report that רבה always followed רבה (in ritual law) except in 3 cases (above)
        - 1. Cases: הלכה כר"ש בגרירה ,מתירין מבגד לבגד ,מדליקין מנר לנר b. Rejection: רבה only followed רבה 's stringencies – this would be a lenient position
- II. תוספתא שבת using a bathhouse that was prepared before יו"ט or יו"ט
  - a. If: a bathhouse plugged up its drains before שבת (to keep water hot) may bathe immediately after שבת
    - i. *If*: they plugged it before יו"ט, he may enter and sweat, and then wash up in the anteroom
    - ii. ראב"ע ד' יהודה and ר"ב and rough of it hot that ב"ב הו) but the hot water was covered with planks
      - 1. חכמים: ruled that they could have done so even if the water hadn't been covered
    - iii. Once: עוברי עבירה increased, they began to ban (some of these practices)
      - 1. Evolution: חכמים permitted use of water heated before שבת bathhouse attendants would heat it on שבת and claim that it was heated beforehand → banned hot water but allowed sweats ("shvitz");
        - a. *Then*: people would enter the hot water but tell others that they were merely sweating →banned shvitz, but they allowed bathing in geothermal springs (חמי טבריה)
        - b. Then: people would bathe in heated baths and claim they had used חמי טבריה →banned המי and permitted bathing in cold water
          - i. But: when they saw that that decree wouldn't stand, they permitted v"n but left "shvitzing" as is
      - 2. Observation (רבא): from here we see that someone who violates a "עברינו" is called "עברינו"
    - iv. But: regarding bathhouses in large cities he may walk through them without concern
      - 1. **N27**. only those in large cities; in villages, the bathhouses are small and have more concentrated heat

- III. Tangential discussion using heat of bonfire etc.
  - a. ברייתא a person may warm himself by the fire and then wash off in cold water
    - i. But: he may not wash in cold water first then warm up by the fire, as he is heating the water on him
  - b. *הוספתא שבת ג::* permitted to heat up a towel and put it on his stomach; but he may not fill a basin with hot water and put it on his stomach even during the week, prohibited (as it may burn him)
  - ברייתא (similar to הוספתא ג:ה. he may bring a cup (of cold wine) near the fire, not to heat up but to moderate the cold
    - i. הודה a woman may bring a vial of oil near the fire not to heat, but to lessen the cold
    - ii. אשב"ג a woman may (only) rub oil on her hands or on her son's head and bring it close to fire to lessen cold
      - 1. *Question*: what does ת"ק rule about oil?
        - a. יד סולדת lenient even if יד סולדת, no בישול for oil
          - i. And: ר' יהודה is not בישול but בשמן is not בישול
          - ii. Then: רשב"ג holds that הפשר זהו בישול (→only allows on the hand etc.)
        - b. "זנב"י. stringent שמן is considered בישול even if less than הפשרו זהו בישולו ל→ יד סולדת
          - i. And: ר' יהודה says there is בישול, but הפשרה is not בישול (need יד סולדת בו
          - ii. Then: רשב"ג holds that הפשר זהו בישול (→only allows on the hand etc.)
            - 1. Question: where do ר"ק and ר"ק disagree according to this take?
            - 2. Answer: רשב"ג (כלאחר יד forbids הפשר שמן, even if done רשב"ג (כלאחר דעב"ג (סלאחר יד permits (→on her hand e.g. מותר
      - 2. Final ruling (שמואל): both water and oil are considered יד סולדת בו if they reach יד סולדת בו
        - a. *Measure*: if it would burn the stomach of a baby
  - d. Story: student followed יבי into bathhouse (on שבת) and wanted to put a vial of oil in the מרחץ and he instructed the student to put the oil into a מרחץ (taking water from מרחץ)
    - i. Inferences: oil is subject to כלי שני ; יבישול isn't considered מבשל and moderating its heat (הפשר) is considered בישול
    - ii. Ouestion: how could בי give these instructions? We're not allowed to think about ד"ת הכסא (בית הכסא (בית הכסא)
      - 1. Suggestion: perhaps he said it in לשון חול (Aramaic? Greek?)
        - a. Rejection: we may speak דברי חול even in מרחץ, in a אחל but not בל קודש even הול even בל חול הפודש הי
      - 2. Answer: it is permissible in order to keep someone from a violation (אפרושי מאיסורא)
      - 3. *Example*: student followed "a" into bathhouse and he wanted to do several things for him which were prohibited and "a" stopped him
    - iii. Inference (חמי טבריה): since this story happened after the רביל) אזירה had to be in חמי טבריה (חמי טבריה) if someone cooks using המי טבריה he is חייב, since he instructed him to put the water into a כלי שני
      - 1. Challenge: ר"ח ruled that המבשל בחמי טבריה is exempt
      - 2. Explanation: מכות מרדות (gets מדרבנן מדרבנן (gets מכות מרדות)
  - e. Related story: אבהו 'ז swimmning in a bath on שבת didn't know if he lifted his legs off the ground
    - i. Note: he must have not lifted his legs as ברייתא rules that a person may not swim in a pool even in a yard
    - ii. Defense: if it has no lip (like river) may not swim; if it has a lip, may swim
  - f. Related story: ר"א saw א"ז going into river to bathe; he covered his ערווה with his hand wasn't sure if he touched it
    - i. *Note*: he must have not touched it, per ר' אליעזר's admonition
    - ii. Block (אביי): may have been treated like a מנסך (invading gang) due to their fear, they don't think to מנסך
      - 1. similarly: due to his fear (of the river), he wouldn't have other thoughts
    - iii. challenge: בריתו של א"א ruled that covering up ערווה is like denying בריתו של א"א
      - 1. defense: on way in (no one seeing him no need for צניעות) shouldn't cover; on way out –cover
      - 2. like: students of בי רב אשי; on the way in they would stand straight, on way out, bend over
  - g. story: י"ו (who wanted to make דבר הלכה, against ruling of דבר הואר, per v. 1) wanted to hear one last דבר הלכה from him
    - Heard: him give instructions (in עברית) to his servant teaching that mundane matters may be spoken of in לה"ק
      - 1. And: he instructed thme to breathe in and "out" (perspiration) per שמואל that they air comes in and out
      - 2. And: advised them to drink from waters of מרחץ, per series of מרחץ-instructions
- IV. משנה 2 different kinds of hot water devices מוליאר may be be used if swept; אנטיכי may not, even if coals are swept
  - a. מוליאד has coals on outside and water on inside
  - b. אניטיכי dispute רבה ור"נ
    - i. רבה a hollowed out area inside כירה (but he would allow ר"ג's אנטיכי)
    - ii. דוד היינ on each side, water in middle (and he would certainly ban אנטיכי's אנטיכי
      - 1. Support (ברייתא): if an אנטיכי is swept, still may not drink from it because its floor heats it