2.6.5

61a (ולא בתפילין) \rightarrow 62a (אמר רב פזמקי)

- I. Discussion of תפילין may not wear, but not liable for הוצאה
 - a. שבת זמן תפילין this is true even according to the opinion that שבת זמן תפילין he may carry them הה"ר חוד"א he may carry them שבת זמן תפילין
 - b. שבת לאו זמן תפילין הוא (version2):he isn't חייב even according to opinion that שבת לאו זמן תפילין הוא it is a normal style of dress
- II. Discussion of ממיע (if not "מן המומחה" may not wear, but not liable)
 - a. איז no need for קמיע itself to have proven its efficacy as long as writer is a proven expert valid
 - i. Support: language of מן המומחה" and not "שהוא מומחה" and not
 - b. *הופסתא שבת ד:ט* if it healed three times, whether a written amulet or herbs; whether the הולה is in mortal danger or not; need not be therapeutic, may be "merely" preventative/protective
 - ... He may: tie it and untie it even in מראית העין, as long as he doesn't put it into an animal ring or chain (מראית העין),
 - ii. Challenge: ברייתא teaches that קמיע מומחה is defined as one that healed 3 people at once
 - 1. Answer: that is needed to make the קמיע itself considered מומחה; the writer is in any case
 - c. ברא if he writes 3 קמיעות for 3 people and each heals 3 times both גברא and each קמיעות are considered מומחה
 - i. And: if he writes 3 קמיעות and each heals one person once the קמיעות, not the קמיעות
 - ii. And: if he writes 1 קמיע that heals 3 different people, the גברא, but not the גברא
 - iii. Question: if he writes 3 קמיעות and they all heal the same person
 - 1. קמיע is certainly not מומחה
 - 2. מומחה ל healed 3 times (→מומחה on the other hand, the patient's מזל works with this תיקו? מומחה אחל הקו ?מומחה להברא
 - d. Tangential discussion: do מוקים (which have מוקים and ה' Name written on them) have קדושה?
 - i. Clarification: cannot be asking whether they may be saved from a fire on שבת
 - 1. per: תורה and quotes from שמות and they include שמות and quotes from חורה not saved
 - ii. Perhaps: it is vis-à-vis גניזה (whether קמעין require גניזה)
 - 1. Rejection: explicit ruling (שם׳ סופרים was written on a handle etc. cut it off and bury it
 - iii. Rather: whether he may enter a קמיע with a קמיע
 - 1. Rejection: he may wear קמיע מומחה on קמיע, but if he must remove it for ביה"כ, may carry it →אסור to wear
 - 2. Defense: that is in re: a קמיע of herbs (which may be worn on מומחה if מומחה)
 - a. Challenge: permission extends to both herbs and written קמיע
 - 3. Defense: must be a חולה שיש בו סכנה
 - a. Block: explicitly permitted to any חולה, whether or not he is בסכנה
 - 4. *Answer*: since it heals him, he may even carry it in his hands (!) \rightarrow no גזירה
 - a. Rejection: ר' אושעיא explciiltly permitted it as long as he doesn't carry it דה"ר in רה"ר
 - 5. Rather: it must be covered with leather (→no reason to remove it before entering ביה"כ
 - a. Challenge: תפילין is covered with leather, yet he may not wear them into ביה"כ
 - i. Defense: ש-ד-י, which are all הלמ"מ, which are all

-

 $^{^{1}}$ See תוספ"כ p. 58-"קמיעין does not appear in any version of the תוספתא