2.10.2

91b (משנה ב) $\rightarrow 92b$ (שכן לבלרי מלכות עושין כן)

ז. וְקַלְעִי הֶחָצֵר וְאֶת מְסַךְּ פֶּתַח הֶחָצֵר אֲשֶׁר **עֵל הַמְּשְׁכָּן וְעֵל הַמְּזְבֵּח** סָבִיב וְאֵת מֵיתָרִיו לְכֹל עֲבֹדְתוֹ: *במדבר ג, כו* 2. **עשֶׁר אַמּוֹת אֹוֶךְ הַקַּרֶשׁ** וְאַמָּה וַחֲצִי הָאִמָּה רֹחַב הַקָּרְשׁ הָאֶחָר: *שמות כו, טו* 3. **וַיִּבְּרשׁ אָת הָאֹהֶל עֵל הַמִּשְׁכָּן** וַיָּשֶׁם אֶת מִכְסָה הָאֹהֶל עָלִיו מִלְמָעָלָה כַּאֲשֶׁר צִּוָּה ה' אֶת מֹשֶׁה: ש*מות מ, יט*

- I. משנה ב at one time to be liable
 - a. If: he put a box of fruit on the sill and then either he or someone else took them out פטור
 - i. Reason: the מלאכה wasn't done at one time
 - 1. Note: אסקופה must be a ברמלית, else he would have been חייב immediately or just at last stage
 - 2. Inference: had he not stopped on sill, בין עזאי contra בן עזאי (carrying יה"ב, יה"י רה"י רה"י (פטור כרמלית יה" ל רה"י
 - b. If: he put a box of fruit on the outer sill, even though most of the fruit are outside, פטור
 - i. unless and until: he takes out the entire box
 - 1. חוקיה this exemption is limited to e.g. cucumbers, but he is liable for mustard seed
 - a. Implication: חזקיה holds that אגד כלי does not unify the contents
 - 2. הרדל exemption is unlimited even if box is filled with חרדל, exempt
 - a. Implication: אגד כלי holds that אגד כלי does unify the contents
 - Observation (משנה: משנה is not fully supportive of each, as emphasis is placed on "most" of the fruit, but also on not being liable until he takes the box out
 - a. איי would read that רוב פירות would read that חזקיה. would read that רוב פירות only refers to long ones, such that each still has part inside
 - b. יחקן would read that not only "most" but even if "all" the fruit are out, מי יחקן until box is out
 - 4. *Challenge (to חוקיה*): if someone takes out a box of spices and puts on outer sill, even though most of the spices are outside, he is exempt until he takes out the entire box (assumption: spices are small)
 - a. Defense: reference is long spices (e.g. cinnamon and cloves)
 - 5. Challenge (to יוחען or): if he steals a money pouch on שבת, he is liable (for payment no קלב"מ
 - a. But: if he dragged it out, exempt (per איסור שבת שיסור שבת and איסור משלומין come at same time
 - i. And if: we hold that אגד כלי שמיה אנד, theft happens before חיוב שבת
 - ii. Answer: that would be right if he took it out by the opening of the pouch
 - iii. But: in this case, he took it out by the side
 - 1. Challenge: he could still open up from the side by tearing it open
 - a. Answer: case was a theft of metal bars not liable until they all come out
 - 2. Challenge: since there are drawstrings, he could open those and remove bars
 - a. Answer: either there are no drawstrings, or they are wrapped around the pouch
 - 6. *In אביי* ורבא had same dispute (ר' יוחנן::אביי ;חזקיה::רבא)
 - a. Then: they reversed positions, creating a double contradiction
 - i. Case: if someone carries fruit out
 - 1. אביי is partially indoors) פטור (if כלי is partially indoors) כלי
 - 2. כלי liable only if he uses כלי, but by hand פטור
 - 3. Resolution: change this version ביד חייב is the one who argued that ביד חייב
 - a. Challenge (to שבת א:א: "רבא rules that carrying by hand is exempt (if taken by other)
 - b. Answer: in that case, the item was carried above 3 טפחים, here, below ג"ט, here, below טפחים
- II. משנה ג: normal ways of carrying, for which he is חייב; and unsual methods exempt
 - a. Normal: right or left hand, under his arm or on his shoulders (per משא בני קהת)
 - b. Unusual: back of hand, foot, mouth, elbow, ear, hair, belt with מבה up, between belt and tunic, hem, shoe, sandal
 - c. איי's ruling: if he carries something over 10 טפחים, nonetheless, he is משא בני קהת as that was ייב משא בני קהת
 - d. אנשי הוצל as that is the style of חייב as that is the style of אנשי הוצל as that is the style of אנשי הוצל
 - i. Challenge: they don't set the norm for the world
 - ii. Version2: if one of אנשי הוצל carries something on his head, חייב, as that is their style
 - 1. Challenge: their "style" should be null relative to universal norm
 - iii. Rather: even if one of אנשי הוצל carries on his head, בטלה דעתן אצל כל אדם as בטלה דעתן אצל כל אדם

- III. משנה requirement to complete intent in style of הוצאה
 - a. If: he intended to carry something in front of him, but it swung behind him פטור
 - b. But if: he intended to carry something out behind him and it came before him חייב
 - i. משנה 't it appears to be a "broken" משנה, representing two different views
 - ii. אבא easy explanation in the 1st case, he accomplished better "watching" (before him), unlike סיפא
 - 1. משנה solution was on a careful reading of משנה
 - a. הישא implies that if he intended to carry in back and it stayed there חייב
 - b. שיור implies that he is מינב because it came before him; if it stayed in back פטור
 - 2. לא מיבעיא is presenting לא מיבעיא; certainly if he intended to carry behind him and accomplished it, he is liable as that was his intent; but even if it swung before him, where his intent wasn't fulfilled, nonetheless he is liable for accomplishing better שמירה
 - iii. *Note*: issue of carrying behind him is itself a dispute:
 - 1. ברייתא if he carries money out in his belt with the ברייתא up, he is liable
 - a. But: if the פה is facing down, ר' יהודה maintains ר' exempt
 - i. יהודה argued that if he intended to carry in back and did so, he would be מייב
 - ii. מטור countered that if he carried out on the back of his hand or on foot he'd be פטור
 - 1. Note: ר' יהודה claimed that neither he nor they had an answer to the objection
 - 2. Observation: sounds like לאחריו disagree about לאחריו
 - 3. Correction: they do not, just as he doesn't disagree that carrying כלאחר יד etc. is פטור
 - a. Rather: all agree that סטור is פטור, and חייב is חייב
 - b. Dispute: is whether to compare פה למטה to carrying in back or כלאחר יד or on foot
 - c. באמת if a woman puts something in her apron and it swings back and forth, חייבת, as that is how it works
 - i. Note: any time the משנה uses the phrase באמת אמרו, that indicates הלכה
 - d. הודה same applies to messengers who receive messages don't care if the pouch is before/behind them
 - i. Reason: this is what the king's scribes do to carry the documents of the king