מסכת שבת

2.17.3 124b (משנה ה) →125a (כראב״י)

וְכֹל אֲשֶׁר יִפּׁל מִנְבְלָתָם עָלָיו יִטְמָא **תַּנּוּר וְכִירַיִם יֻתָּץ טְמֵאִים הֵם וּטְמֵאִים יִהְיוּ לָכֶם**: *ויקרא יא, לה*

- I. שברי כלים about using חכמים /ר' יהודה dispute משנה ה
 - a. *חכמים* broken vessels/tools may also be moved as long as they continue to serve some function
 - i. Examples: shattered basket could be used to cover barrel; shattered glass could cover a vial
 - b. *ד' יהודה* must perform some form of their original function
 - i. *Examples*: broken basket to pour in some soup; shattered glass to pour in some oil
- II. Analysis (two versions of שמואל, as reported by רב יהודה)
 - a. Version 1: dispute is only if they broke on ע"ש whether we require the שבר to perform original function
 - i. However: they agree that if broken on שבת, may be moved as they were מוכן as part of original כלי as part of original
 - - a. Note: when were they broken? If on עיו"ט they were just wood (and מוכן for use in a fire)
 - i. *Rather*: they must have broken on ע"ע (→we are more מחמיר about that broken on שבת/י"ט)→
 - b. Version 2: dispute is only if they broke on שבת whether they are consider נולד or מוכן
 - i. However: if broken on מוכן, they agree that they may be used, as they were already מוכן for use
 - c. Three ברייתות 1 rules that we may kindle שברי כלים but not שברי כלים; 1 rules that we may use both and one neither
 - i. נלים (who accepts מוקצה but allows for varied use)
 - ii. Both: מוקצה (who rejects מוקצה)
 - iii. *Neither*: ר׳ נחמיה (who requires כלי to be used only for its original purpose)
- III. Assorted rulings from חכמי בבל
 - a. מותרים בטלטול since they could be used to sit on
 - i. However: if they were lined up (for building) certainly מוקצה
 - b. *שמואל quoting שמואל* permitted to pick up a shard in ררמלית, but not in כלים aren't commonly found)
 - i. *רה"ר (his own opinion*): permitted in כרמלית, but not in רה"ר (since they are sometimes found there)
 - ii. רה"ר even in רה"ר (since they are permitted in חצר to cover כלים they are permitted everywhere)
 - 1. *Story*: רבא cleaned off his muddy clothes with a shard (response to students who criticized him)
 - c. *שמואל* if the seal of a barrel is broken, it may be moved (supporting ברייתא)
 - i. But: he may not deliberately cut off a piece to cover a כלי or prop up a bed-leg
 - ii. And: if he threw it in the dung-pile, it is אסור בטלטול
 - 1. *Challenge (ר"ב*): if he threw his shirt out, it wouldn't be אסור בטלטול
 - 2. Rather: if he threw the shard into the אשפה before מוקצה, it would be מוקצה)
 - d. שמואל the tatters of a mat may be moved just as mat may be used to cover dirt, tatters may cover filth
 - e. 27: tatters of clothes may not be moved
 - i. אביי: that is only if they are less than 3x3 אניים unfit even for עניים
- IV. תוספתא שבת יד:ג dispute about moving pieces of broken oven
 - a. *ה"ת* like any other שברי כלים may be moved in חצר
 - b. חצר may not be moved in ד*ר' יהודה*

c. תנור ישן testified in אב"י name that a broken תנור ישן may be moved and that its cover requires no handle

- Analysis (אביי): they disagree about שברי כלים –each follows his own approach if they require מעין מלאכתן
 - 1. Challenge (רבא): if so, why disagree about שברי תנור let them disagree about שברי כלים

ii. Rather (רבא): they disagree about an oven over a cistern held down with rocks (כלים ה:ו)

- 1. *ד' יהודה* if he heats it above and it heats up below טהור else טמא
- 2. *חכמים* in either case, it is טמא
 - a. *Note*: they disagree about interpretation/application of v. 1
 - i. מחוסר נתיצה only if it מחוסר נתיצה (i.e. only breaking it would keep it from operation properly) טמא
 - ii. *חכמים* end of verse extends to all ovens even if they aren't currently positioned to work
 1. מקבל טומאה they interpret even though יותץ it is אחובר לקרקע
 - 2. א יהודה reads end of verse per שמואל only at first firing must it be set, afterwards טמא טמא
 - a. תכמים אוולא maintain that even first firing could be anywhere (even on "camel's neck")
 - b. *Challenge (ר' אשי*): if so, why disagree about שברי תנור let them disagree about תנור

i.

- iii. *Rather*: as per אבי's understanding but א's challenging ר' יהודה according to his own approach
 - 1. *Case*: it cooks on tiles (מעשה טפקא)
 - 2. To wit: according to ר"מ, it serves a function (at all) \rightarrow טמא;
 - a. But: according to מעין מלאכתן, it should be considered מעין מלאכתן, as it cooks
 - b. *ר' יהודה* not the same
 - i. *Here*: here it cooks outside (not inside, like in oven)
 - 1. *And*: lying down (on shard/tile, not standing up like in oven)
- iv. Comment on יוסי 's testimony: based on ראב"י report, we may move oven covers which have no handles