Introduction to פרק עשרים ושלש - שואל

This chapter focuses on matters relating to business dealings on שבת and related matters. The prohibition is anchored in a concern that the parties will engage in כתיבה. Note: the חווה prohibits lending or borrowing with , רבית קצוצה, the only prohibited interest is , ובית קצוצה, i.e. where there is a set amount determined as usury, יחווה, indirect and undetermined as prohibited; e.g. where an item is borrowed and, at the time of its return the value has gone up (the added value is indirect "רבית maintained that we must be concerned about the possibility of changing values even with relatively small amounts and that any loaned item must first be appraised and the loan relate to the value; שרחום חובים prohibition is anchored in a concern with regards to large amounts.

Note: Along with שמיטה, the 7th year has the impact of שמיטה, all loans are cancelled with the end of the שמיטה year. Someone who wishes to repay a loan that has been cancelled by שמיטה may not do so until the lender first states "משמט אני" and then, if the borrower insists on paying, acknowledges that his debt has been cancelled and that nonetheless he wishes to repay.

2.23.1; 148a (משנה א) →148b (בחובות שאין קבוע להם זמן)

ו. וְזֶה דְּבַר הַשְּׁמִטָּה שָׁמוֹט כָּל בַּעֵל מַשֵּׁה יָדוֹ אֲשֶׁר יַשֶּׁה בְּרַעֵהוּ לֹא יִגֹּשׁ אֶת רֵעֵהוּ וְאֶת אָחִיו כִּי קָרָא שְׁמְטָּה לַה'*: דברים טו, ב*

- I. משנה Borrowing food on שבת
 - a. Wine, bread: a person may borrow barrels of wine or oil or loaves of bread from a fellow on שבת
 - i. However: he may not say הלויני (he should, rather, say השאילני
 - 1. Explanation(אביי לרבא בר ר' חנן): even though we are not השאילני" vs. "השאילני" vs. "השאילני" during the week
 - a. Yet: since we insist on him saying שבת on חשבת, he will be aware it is שבת and avoid writing
 - ii. Tangent: two other answers given by רבא בר ר' חנן
 - 1. Filling jugs: even though we try to do tasks with a ישט סיט, our women fill jugs in the usual way
 - a. Reason: there is no alternative way that wouldn't lead to a violation of יי"ט
 - 2. Dancing etc.: reason we "allow" violations of rabbinic law e.g. dancing, clapping etc. on ביצה ה:ב) יו"ט
 - a. As well as: sitting by edge of מבוי (in spite of רבא's admonition)
 - b. And even: violations מה"ת, such as תוספת ענוי ביוה"כ
 - i. Reason: we prefer to allow שוגגין to sin as מזידין rather than מידין (i.e. they'll do it in any case)
 - b. Bread: implication: during the week, she may borrow bread
 - i. Challenge: הלל ruled that a woman shouldn't borrow a loaf w/o fixing a value (רבית)
 - 1. Defense: if price per loaf is fixed, no רבית problem (as in our משנה)
 - c. And if: the lender doesn't trust the שואל, he may leave a garment behind as collateral (they settle after שבת
 - i. Related discussion: whether or not a loan made on יו"ט can be collected dispute רבה/ר' יוסף
 - 1. יוסף may not be collected else, he may write
 - 2. may be collected else he won't lend and that will keep the putative borrower from שמחת יו"ט
 - a. Challenge: from our משנה supports רב יוסף, as that is why he must leave collateral
 - i. רבה he leaves collateral as lender doesn't want to have to go to בית דין
 - b. Challenge: שביעית י:ב if someone gives out meat on ר"ה of 8th year
 - i. If: month proves to be מעובר (i.e. "ה"ה" was end of משמטה) debt is משמט; if not (א' תשרי) not משמט
 - 1. But if: cannot collect, what is being משמט? (no collection →no cancellation)
 - 2. Answer: if it proves to be מעובר, that day was not יו"ט
 - 3. Yet: סיפא is a challenge to יוסף; if debt cannot be collected, why isn't it cancelled?
 - a. Answer: in that case, the borrower may return it if he chooses to do so
 - b. Challenge: in רישא he may also return it if he chooses to do so
 - i. Resolution: in סיפא, lender has to declare "משמט אני" (v. 1); not in סיפא
 - 4. Note: משכון would take a משכון (for loaning on רבה בר עולא (יו"ט) would use הערמה (taking something owned by borrower and then using it to collect afterwards)
 - d. Similarly: if מבת falls on שבת, one may leave collateral, take his lamb and slaughter it and settle up after יום טוב
 - i. suggestion: our משנה supports מדנה supports מדנה his מקדש his מקדש and his מדנה and his מדנה on חגיגה
 - ii. rejection: could be a case where the was already sanctified and he was just joining the group
 - 1. challenge: ביצה ג:ו may not be ממנה on an animal on יו"ט
 - 2. answer: since they always share the קרבן, it is as if he was included from the beginning
 - 3. parallel: מקדיש a lamb for מקדיש on מקדיש a lamb for מקדיש on יו"ט on מקדיש
 - a. however: it states "מקדיש" (a: means הקדש עלוי מדרבנן animal was already הקדש
 - iii. challenge: די יוחני rules like סתם משנה and ביצה ה:ב rules that אין מקדישין...ביום טוב
 - iv. answer: if it is a חובה with a set time (and that time may pass) permitted