Introduction to פרק ששיי הדר עם הנכרי

In this chapter, we return to our exploration of the laws of אירובי חצירות, specifically the issue of "residence". As we've already seen, a resident who doesn't participate in the עירוב makes it impossible for the other members of the חצר (סבוי to make one together; but the definition of אייר is not yet clear. This will be the major focus of discussion in this chapter Is a non-Jew considered a resident for this purpose? A guest? Other household members? We will explore these and related questions herein.

3.6.1

61b (משנה א) → 62b (כראב"י)

- I. 'משנה prohibition caused by דירת (or sectarian who doesn't accept the principle of עירוב)
 - a.(ר"מ) אירוב. his presence prohibits the rest from making an עירוב
 - b. ישראלים only if 2 דירת גוי live there does the דירת גוי prohibit them
 - i. First assessment (דירת גוי holds that דירת גוי is a residence any amount prohibit
 - 1.But: דירת גוי אינה דירה, why prohibit if there are 2 ישראלים?) איטראלים, why prohibit if there are 2
 - ii. Correction (ברייתא: teaches that דירת גוי holds that דירת גוי is not a דירה
 - 1.Per: דיר בהמה , where he compares it to דיר בהמה may move things in and out at will
 - a) Rather: both agree that דירת גוי אינה דירה and shouldn't affect residents' ability to make עירוב
 - b) Dispute: if we impose מזירה of living w/ישראלי even for only 1 ישראלי (not likely due to fear)
 - c)And: רבנן decreed גיי invalid if a גיי is there, nor can he nullify his שירוב without us renting from him
 - i. And: איסי won't rent to us, as he will be concerned that we may turn the rental into a property claim
 - ii. *Understood*: to ר"ח, who says that we require a "strong rental" (see below)
 - iii. But: according to ר' ששת, who requires only "weak rental", why would the non-Jew protest? 1. Answer: even so, he will be concerned about our use of כשפים and won't rent
 - iii. Tangent: clarification of "strong" and "weak" rental
 - 1.Proposal: strong פרוטה; weak less than a פרוטה
 - a) Rejection: פרוטה plays no role for בני מ we may rent for less and מש"פ is considered ב"נ הזילה נחיל זוילה
 - 2. Rather: "strong" rights to full use; weak less (or "strong" is w/written lease; "weak" is oral agreement)
- II. revisiting עירובין ה:יט re: courtyard of דיר של בהמה considered as דיר של
 - a.impact: permissible to move things from his house to courtyard and vice-versa
 - b. challenge: from our משנה
 - c. resolution: if he's present prohibited, but if he's absent permitted
 - i. challenge: why is this different from דירת ישראל, that prohibits even in absentia?
 - ii. Resolution: they are inherently different
 - 1. *דירת ישראל:* is essentially a דירה; we extend it as a גזירה even when he is absent
 - 2. *דירת נכרי*: is only considered "דירה" as a precaution (as above, to avoid social mixing)
 - a) Therefore: we only extend it when he is present
 - b) Challenge: עירובין ה:ה if someone leaves home for שבת, whether ישראל, still bans others
 - i. Answer: that is only if he is returning that day; else, above permission holds
- III.Final ruling in our dispute: follows ראב"י
 - a. שמואל: considers it "הלכה" (taught publicly)
 - b. היונא noted that the "מנהג" is per "מנהג" (not taught publicly, but may be given as private response to question)
 - c. "נהגנ" noted that "נהגנ" to follow ראב"י not even given as private response; but no sanctions attach to one who relies)
- IV. 'משנה testimony of רבן גמליאל: they lived with a sectarian in 1 משנה will be analyzed from:סור)
 - a. איטול (his father): directed them to use the מבוי so that his ביטול wouldn't be retractable
 - b. ביטול is always retractable