3.7.6 80b (משנה ז') → 82a (סוף הפרק)

> ו. וְאַתָּה קַח לְדְּ חִטָּין וּשְּׂעֹרִים וּפּוֹל וַעֲדָשִׁים וְדֹחַן וְכַסְּמִים וְנָתַתָּה אוֹתָם בְּכְלִי אֶחָד וְעָשִׂיתָ אוֹתָם לְדְּ לְלָחֶם...: *יחזקאל ד, ט* 2. וְעַגַת שְׂעֹרִים תּאבֶלֶנָה וְהִיא בְּגֶלְלֵי צֵאַת הָאָדָם תְּעֻנֶנָה לְעִינֵיהֶם: *יחזקאל ד, יב*

I. משנה ז משנה: replenishing the food of an עירוב

- a. If: the food is diminished (below the requisite "שעור"), he may add, without notifying the others
 - i. Challenge: ברייתא: if he is adding the same type of food, even if completely gone, he may add without informing 1. Answer1: our משנה may be referring to adding the same type and "נתמעט" means בתמטמט completely gone 2. Answer2: may be referring to adding a different type and only if completely gone must he inform
- b. But if: new residents come and join, he adds and must inform the others
 - i. Contra: משנה יא) who rules that עירובי חצירות may be set up שלא לדעת
 - ii. Justification (for note): קמ"ל מבוי that ד"י allows for this only in case of חצר btwn. 2 מבואות but not חצר of 1 קמ"ל
- II. משנה minimal amount of food for עירוב חצירות
 - a. If: there are many people enough for 2 meals (total)
 - b. But if: there are a few people, 1 כגרוגרת for each participant
 - i. parameters: 18 or more is שמואל because 18 ברוגרות because 18 מרובין meals

ווו. משנה משנה 'r's caveat to משנה משנה

- a. In what case: we only have a minimal שעור when setting up the טיירי עירוב; but מיירי עירוב can be any size (כל שהוא)
- b. Note: the only reason for עירוב (when there is a שיתוף in the מבוי) is to ensure that children remember עירובין
- IV. משנה יי: food that may be used for עירוב
 - a. κ "7. any food may be used except for water or salt
 - b. ז' יהושע it must be a complete loaf of bread even a small, cheap one is fine, but a slice of large fancy one is not
 - i. Challenge: we already learned (ג:א) that we may use any food for an בכל מערבין" עירוב
 - ii. Answer (רבה): this is used to oppose ר' יהושע, who required bread
 - 1. Challenge (בכל מערבין: evidently) authored בריתא; ruled that בכל מערבין but required bread for מ"ח but required bread for בכל מערבין. Pathor (מערבין: אביי), אם מערבין אביין אביי
 - 2. Rather (רבב"ח): to oppose ר' יהושע's requirement of a ככר שלם
 - iii. *Note*: reason for '"'s demand of full loaf to avoid enmity (one person gave full, other a slice)
 - 1. And: even if they all gave slices, might revert to giving loaves and enmity still possible
 - iv. Complete loaf: even if כדי דימוע (1/100) or a baker (1/48 per נ-21); but not if כדי דימוע of a baker (1/48 per נ-24).
 - 1. And if: the slices are sown together considered complete loaf
 - a) Challenge: if they are stitched together invalid
 - b) Resolution: if stitching is seen, invalid; if unseen valid
 - v. Alternate grains: rice bread, millet bread and lentil bread
 - 1. Rice bread: all versions of שמואל's ruling have it valid
 - 2. Millet bread: according to שמואל ,ר' זירא validates; according to שמואל ,מר עוקבא does not allow
 - 3. Lentil bread: רב ruled that it may be used
 - a) Challenge: ממואל s day, he once received one and threw it to the dog who didn't eat it!
 - b) Answer: that was made of other grains, per v. 1
 - c) בפא that one was fried in dung (v. 2) and was inedible
 - i. Tangent: דרשה on first part of v. 2 may mean that it should be eaten in שיעורים (small amounts)' 1. Or: means that it is to be prepared as we would prepare barley (w/o much care) not wheat

- V. עירוב including others in the: עירוב
 - a. אליעזר, money may be paid in advance to storekeeper or baker (who lives in חצר דם מבוי) to be included in עירוב
 - i. Challenge: he never made a עירוב on the עירוב
 - ii. Answer (ר' שמואל בר יצחק): just as we allow זכייה on behalf of a buyer of meat at 4 times of year
 - 1. Therefore: if the שוחט, who is forced to slaughter at that point, the לוקח, who didn't take possession, loses
 - a) Reason: we assume his interest in buying at this time and enforce זכייה
 - b) Reason2 (מעות קונות) בין תורה and meat is buyer's w/o משיכה and meat is buyer's w/o משיכה).
 - b. money may not be paid in advance to תנווני/נחתום, but may be given to a בעה"ב who lives there acts as שליח
 - c. ש"ה consent only needed for עירובי, not for עירובי חצירות (or ש"מ) as that is a clear-cut benefit
 - i. And: we may act on behalf of a beneficiary without his consent (זכין לאדם שלא בפניו ואין חבין לא אלא בפניו
 - d. שמואל s limitations: on invalidity of giving to חנווני/נחתום
 - i. Only: need consent if given to תנווני/נחתום, not co-resident (as above)
 - ii. And: even if given to חנווני/נחתום; only if given money1.But: מלים (which can be used for חליפין) valid (as he has made him a שליח
- iii. And: even with money given to חנווי/נחתום if he said "קני לך" it is invalid; but if he said "שליח → made him שליח
- VI. יהודה 's qualification consent only needed for עירובי
 - a. שמואל. the law follows ר' יהודה here, and everywhere in עירובין
 - i. Challenge (ארב יהודה that lost its מבוי even re: ממואל even re: לחי/קורה that lost its מבוי even re: מחיצות that lost its מחיצות (or other הלכות even re: עירובין)
 - ii. Challenge:אימתי said that anytime א'מתי s comment starts with אימתי or אימתי he's qualifying, not disagreeing
 - 1. Therefore: all agree here why state הלכה כר' יהודה?
 - 2.Block: our משנה clearly stated that he has to inform (if new residents) contra ר' יהודה
 - 3. Answer: that is a case of מערב between 2 מבואות can be מערב in either direction
 - a) Therefore: it is a liability (חוב), since either direction cuts out the other מבוי
 - 4. Challenge: ר' שיזבי' observed that, in our ר' יהודה, משנה' 'ה' s colleagues disagree with him
 - 5. Answer: dispute among ריב"ל) אמוראים vs. ריב"ל) as to the rule of אימתי and אימתי
 - a) Tangent: אימתי maintains that בד"א represents a disagreement; אימתי is a qualification
 - b) Challenge: אימתי סנהדרין ג:ג and אימתי when that is their sole livelihood
 - i. חכמים ברייתא disagree whether or not it is his sole livelihood פסול לעדות
 - ii. Answer: in that משנה, משנה and ת"ק agree (אסמכתא קניא)
 - 1. ברייתא: which expands פסול of gambler, follows ברייתא: in re: הפלאת
 - a. He rules: that there is no אסמכתא לא קניא → אסמכתא לא קניא
 - i. Therefore: the פסול is not about אינו עוסק ביישובו של עולם, but אסמכתא) אינו עוסק ביישובו של עולם