3.8.4 (משנה ד') 87a (כי אסיתא) → 87a (משנה ד')



- I. משנה משנה definition of a residence which affects other members of חצר and a legitimate locus for עירוב
  - a. Non residences (אינו אוסר ואינו מקום עירוב): gates, entryways and porticos
  - b. Residences (אוסר ומקום לעירוב): storage sheds and barns
  - c. בעה"ב has automatic access any renter is not considered a resident
    - i. בית שער דיחיד: any location whose resident does not affect other is unfit for an צירוב, except for בית שער דיחיד,
      - 1. And: any location unfit for an שיתוף מבוי is nonetheless fit for a מבוי except for the space of a
        - a) Challenge: both of these rulings are implicit in our משנה
        - b) Justification: בית שער דיחיד (1st clause) and אויר מבוי (2nd clause) needed
      - 2. Support: ברייתא lists our "invalid" locations as valid for עירוב
        - a) Correction: must mean "שיתוף"
        - b) Challenge: it listed שיתוף –but a שיתוף can't be guarded in a מבוי
        - c) Must mean: מבוי of a מבוי
    - ii. שמואל if people were eating as שבת begins, the bread on the table is considered a valid עירוב
      - 1. Alternate reading: it counts as valid שיתוף
      - 2. Resolution (ירבה): if they were eating in a house valid "ע"ח, if in the ש"מ it's a valid "ע"מ it's a valid
      - 3. Support (אביי): after correcting ע"ח ברייתא are in a house in ש"מ ; חצר in a חצר of the מבוי
    - iii. Example of ביסח יד בעה"ב. story of בונייס, who was so rich he would keep his things in all of his rental properties
      - 1. Tangential story: his son came to רבי, who honored the wealthy per דרשה on v. 1 only if they use it for חסד
      - 2. Note: the vessels must be אסורים בטלטול to establish his presence and remove שוכר from consideration as דייר
- II. 'משנה הי: the effect of a co-resident who leaves for
  - a. ד"מ: even a non-ישראלי is still a resident and affects his neighbors
  - b. ישראלי even מ"ישראלי is considered a non-resident and no longer affects his neighbors
  - c. ד' יוסי. non-Iew may return on ישראל ;אוסר ל שבת won't return on שבת →considered non-resident
  - d. שבת even if he went to שבת with his daughter in the same town, he's a non-resident
    - i. גב we rule in accord with ד"ט we rule in accord with
- ii. Caveat: only if he goes to his daughter; if he goes to his son, may have fight with his daughter-in-law and leave III.'ז משנה מחיצה: a cistern straddling 2 adjoining courtyards (part in each) neither חצר may draw water without a proper מחיצה whether from ground down or within lip-space
  - b. *דשב"ג* this is a dispute ב"ש/ב"ה
    - i. מ"ב: must be "below"
    - ii. "az: must be "above"
      - 1. "below" and "above" are literal i.e. from water line up/from ground down
      - 2. *יהודה*. "below" and "above" are below and above water line
        - a) "above": starting above water line but dipping into water
        - b) "below": inside water, with top sticking out
        - c)Challenge: if he's concerned about water mixing below מחיצה
          - i. Then: how can a נסר permit carrying when over water pail may come in from outside
          - ii. Defense: a pail can't move more than ד"ט laterally
          - iii. Block: nonetheless, the waters mix underneath the board
          - iv. Answer: חכמים were lenient concerning a "hanging מחיצה over water
            - 1. Therefore: רב יהודה in accord with ב"ש וב"ה in accord with ב"ש ב"מ in accord with רב הונא

c. א 'יהודה disagrees with fundamental ruling – the wall between the הצרות is no worse than a כותל←) מחיצה suffices)

- IV. Analysis of יר' יהודה's allowance of the divider to suffice
  - a. מחיצה adopts ר' יוסי adopts מחיצה even over dry land
    - i. Source: סוכה א: permits a wall of a סוכה that is סוכה איש , regardless of how far off the ground
    - ii. Rejection: perhaps איסור עשה only applied גוד אחית regarding סוכה which is an איסור עשה
      - 1. And: perhaps יהודה only applied גוד אחית to עירוב חצירות, which is דרבנן
      - 2. Challenge: (since גיפורי was the authority in ציפורי, where this story took place:)
        - a) Story: they forgot to bring ס"ת to ביהכ"נ before שבת
        - b) Solution: they hung sheets over poles aligning the חצר ביהכ"נ
          - i. Note: they didn't hand them (בונה אהל עראי); rather, found sheets already hanging
        - c) *And*: the sheets covered י"ט vertically but were over ג"ט off the ground
        - d) Then: they carried the ס"ת through the ביהכ"ג to the ביהכ"ג
      - 3. Answer: ר' ישמעאל ב"ר יוסי (who accepted ר' יהודה opinion) had already taken over as ציפורי
  - b. ר' חנניא בן עקביא have common approach
    - i. *ה' יהודה* his ruling here (מחיצה תלויה מתרת)
    - ii. אמות a balcony which is 4\*4 אמות and is over water
      - 1. He may: carve out a hole 4\*4 טפחים in floor and draw water through
        - a) Rationale: we imagine 10 טפחים on each side to be bent down ("כוף וגוד")
          - i. And: 4 שמות 24 אמות 24 → there are 10 on each side of the hold, plus the 4 of the hole
      - 2. Rejection: perhaps ר' יהודה only accepts גוד but not כוף (bend) and גוד
      - a) And: perhaps רחב"ע only applies his principle around the כנרת which is bounded by piers and houses 3. אביי applications of "אביי ruling:
        - a) If: if the balcony is within ג' טפחים (=24\*11) of the wall, only need balcony to be 4\*2[+] אמות (=24\*11[+]
          - i. Rationale: only need 10 טפחים on outer side; wall serves as other מחיצה
        - b) *If*: the board is vertical, only needs to be 10\*6[++]
          - i. Rationale: if it is ד"ט from the wall, need 1[+] on each side for לבוד and לבוד for width (→6[++])
        - c) *If*: the board is on a corner, only needs to be 10\*2[++]
          - i. Rationale: it is לבוד to 1 side, needs only 1[+] טפח, needs another 1[+] to get within ג"ט of other wall
        - d) If so: which scenario necessitates the 242 of his original ruling?
          - i. Answer: a pier or freestanding riser