Introduction to פרק רביעי: מקום שנהגו

Note: this מנהגים is devoted to the general topic of maintenance of מנהגים, where and when local מנהגים should be kept; how far travelers should go to avoid distinguishing themselves from the indigenous population etc. As such, we will only briefly touch on issues directly related to שפח – beginning with the first custom mentioned, that of not working on the 14th in the morning. Working on the 14th in the afternoon is אסור מן הדין, but some places had the custom of avoiding work on the morning as well.

4.4.1

50a(ספיחי דעלמא) $\rightarrow 51b$ (משנה א)



- I. ערב פסח מלאכה משנה א' in the morning dependent on local custom
 - a. Rule: visitors follow both customs (town of departure or town of arrival) stringently
 - b. Reason: the avoid differentiating from local custom to maintain peace
 - i. Implication: it is אסור to work on ע"ב in the afternoon
 - ii. Challenge: it is considered ill-advised to work on any עיו"ט and עי"ע and עי"ט
 - 1. Answer1: ע"ש and עי"ש only from mid-afternoon; ע"ש from midday
 - 2. Answer2: עיו"ט and עיו"ע is only "ill-advised'; איוב prohibited (worthy of sanctions e.g. שמתא)
 - a) Tangential observation: diligence may be bad (working on ע"ש) and sloth praiseworthy (taking off ע"ש)
 - i. Tangent: value of doing the right thing for the wrong reason (שלא לשמה) (vv. 1-2)
 - ii. Tangent: livelihoods that succeed and those that don't (v. 3)
- II. 'a משנה ב" (parallel) traveling from place where פירות שביעית are "cleaned out" etc. (explanation on p. 43)
 - a.Dissent: ר' יהודה says that he can say to the local person (already been "cleaned out") "go bring some of your own"
- III. Ancestral customs (בני ביישן) ancestors wouldn't sail on Friday, even for a short trip
 - a. Children: asked if they could travel for business (market day was ע"ש in Sidon)
 - b. Answer: may not travel, due to מנהג אבות (v. 4)
- IV. דברים המותרים ואחרים נהגו בהן איסור: local stringencies
 - a. Case #1: חלה they separated חלה from rice
 - i. זב יוסף. they should be corrected
 - ii. אביים המותרים... אביי ("permissible things that are treated as prohibited...")
 - 1. אסור that ruling only applies to כותים, who won't distinguish and will allow even the אסור.
 - 2. אביי: the חוואים are similarly ignorant
 - a) אבוות חלה if their staple is rice, let them maintain custom, so as not to forget מצוות חלה
 - i. But if: they also eat "real" grains, correct them, so that they don't separate חיוב for מיוב

(Cases #2-4: involving family of Patriarchate - בית הנשיא; found in תוספתא מועד קטן ב:טו-טז)

- b. Case #2: Law: brothers may bathe together (no concern of הרהור
 - i. Even though: prohibited with father, step-father, father-in-law and brother-in-law
 - ii. Custom: בבול they avoided allowing brothers to bathe together, as an extension from brother-in-law
 - iii. Story: 2 sons of כבול and bathed together; there was an outcry; they ceased without correcting custom
 - 1. Addendum: ר' יהודה allowed bathing with father if helping father (כבוד אב)
 - a) similarly: shouldn't bathe with teacher unless teacher needs his help
- c. Case #3: Law: loose fitting shoes (קורדקיטון) may be worn on שבת (no concern of carrying)
 - i. Custom: in town of בירי, they wouldn't wear these shoes on שבת
 - ii. Story: 2 sons of מ"ג came and wore them; due to outcry, they took them off and didn't correct errant custom
- d. Case #4: Law: permissible to rest on שבח (no concern of appearance of doing business)
 - i. Custom: in עכו they would not do so
 - ii. Story: רשב"ג and sat there; as a result of the outcry, got up and didn't correct errant custom
 1.Note: in all these cases, these towns had little access to rabbinic authority and should be treated like כותים

- e. Case #5: Law: it is permissible to eat דאייתרא (kind of animal fat)
 - i. Custom: בבליים avoided eating it
 - ii. Story: רבב"ם came to בבל and was eating דאייתרא, hid it when חכמי בבל came in
 - 1. Reaction (אביי): there was no need; we are not כותים (not ignorant)
 - 2. Challenge: our משנה clearly rules that both stringencies (place of residence as well as present location) apply
 - 3. Answer (אביי): that only applies within א"י or א"י
 - a) Or: from א"י to א"י
 - b) But not: בבל is subservient to א"י and there's no need to observe חומרות בני בבל in that case
 - 4. Answer (א"י< בבל::בבל א"י), rule of "all stringencies" only applies
 - a) If: not returning to original place (מ"י was returning to יבב"ח)
 - iii. Addendum: רבב"ח instructed his son not to eat דאייתרא, since he (רבב"ח) had seen ר' יוחנן eat it, but son hadn't 1.contradiction: רצב"ח reported that ספיחי כרוב אלעזר (?) followed תלמיד רשב"ג student fed him ספיחי כרוב
 - a) Since: the student saw רשב"י eat, he instructed that ריב"א may eat it in his presence only
 - b) Challenge: why did רבב"ת disallow his son from eating דאייתרא even in his presence? (no resolution)
 - iv. Tangent: explanation of ספיחי כרוב (which grew during שמיטה)
 - 1. ה"ש (wild growth) as long as it doesn't have a parallel in planted vegetables/grains
 - a) ברוב. has no such parallel and is therefore permissible
 - b) הכמים: disagree and forbid
 - c)*Note*: both follow ר"ע's interpretation of v. 5
 - i. Dispute: whether ספיחי are forbidden as an extension of איסור ספיחים

מב