### 6.1.2

## 3a (אמר רב שמואל בר יצחק) $\rightarrow 4b$ (וליכא)

Note#1: there is a long list of הלכות that require – or only apply to – a "house", either because (if דיתהא), the textual context is בית, or else because they require a reasonable residence

Note #2: there are three theoretical constructs utilized in הכשר סוכה.

- 1) דופן עקומה literally "a crooked wall", which allows us to consider a wall as continuing horizontallyup to 4 אמות
- 2) מחיצת and literally "the wall of the מחיצה comes down" if a proper טפחים (10) מחיצה doesn't reach the ground, we consider it extending thus
- 3) מחיצתא literally "the wall of the מחיצה goes up" inverse of #2

#### Minimal area of סוכה

- a. ראשו רובו ושלחנו must be able to hold ד' שמואל בר יצחק.
  - i. Challenge: following בית שמאי? (as below requiring שלחן)
    - 1. Challenge: ב"ש וב"ה aren't disagreeing about size, rather placement (as per ברייתא
      - a. Response: but there is also a disagreement about size (רבנן v. רבנן)
      - b. שלחן don't include דבנן.
  - ii. Answer: ב"ש וב"ה disagree about both; ב"ה requires שלחן in a סוכה גדולה
- II. 4\*4 for a "house" (even סוכה agree unlike סוכה, which is definitionally inferior); → if less than 4\*4:
  - a. Exempt: from מזוזה (בית) and בית)
  - b. נגעי בתים: no בית)נגעי בתים
  - c. בתי ערי חומה sale in a walled city is "sealed" after 1 year (בית)
  - d. *עורכי מלחמה* Return from the front if not yet dedicated (בית)
  - e. עירובי חצרות (not fit for habitation)
  - f. שיתופי מבואות (not fit for habitation)
  - g. עירוב תחומין (not fit for habitation)
  - h. עיבוד Cannot become a "bridge" between 2 cities for תחום (not fit for habitation)
  - i. דין חלוקה. Doesn't have the "law of divisible property" applied to it

## III. Diminishing an invalid סוכה (due to height)

- a. *Pillows*: never works (generally people don't neutralize them to the ground)
- b. Straw or dirt: that he neutralizes (ביטל) certainly valid
- c. But straw or dirt: that he hasn't neutralized but doesn't plan on moving (also סתם dirt) dispute:
  - i. ד' יוסי neutralized (→valid)
  - ii. חכמים not neutralized (→invalid)

# IV. uneven סכך

- a. Protruding down: If higher than 20 but leaves protrude down, if their shade (alone) > 50%, valid
- b. Below 10: If exactly 10 שפחים but leaves protrude down, invalid (against אב" s instinct to compare to 1st case)
- c. Above 20: If higher than 20 and he built a pedestal, diminishing the distance to <20,
  - i. If the pedestal: is against the middle wall and is long\*wide enough for a סוכה, valid
  - ii. If the pedestal: is against a side wall, must be <4 אמות from the opposite wall
    - 1. Point: we apply דופן עקומה even here, even though unfit for a wall
  - iii. If the pedestal: is in the middle, must have  ${<}4$  אמות from all the walls
    - 1. Point: we apply דופן עקומה even in multiple directions
- d. If טפחים is lower: than 10 טפחים and he dug a hole, must be <3 ספחים from edge of hole to all walls
  - i. Reason for difference: here we are trying to establish a wall; there one already exists
- e. If higher: than 20 and he built a pillar, wide/long enough and 10 טפחים high:
  - i. אביי should be valid due to גוד אסיק מחיצתא
  - ii. רבא require recognizable מחיצות