12.3.2 22a (והא דרבא) → 23a (עבר ונשא טהור)

## ַניאמר לָה בֹעז לְעַת הַאּכֶל גַּשִׁי הַלֹם וָאָכַלְתָּ מָן הַלֶּחֶם וְטַבַלֹתָ פָתַךְּ בַּחֹמֵץ וְתַּשֵׁב מַצִּד הַקוֹצְרִים **וַיִּצְבָּט לָה קלי** וַתֹּאכָל וְתַּשְׂבַע וַתְּתַר:*רות בּיִיד*

- I. Continuation of dispute (רבא/ר' אילא) regarding reason for אין מטבילין כלי בתוך כלי לקודש # מעלה
  - a. Follows dispute among תנאים regarding טבילה inside an open-mouth basket
    - i. טהור or everything
    - ii. אבא שאול only for תרומה
      - distinction: because the ע"ה will not be disenfranchised if we don't accept his הרומה he'll give it to a כהן ע"ה; regarding קודש, he can only bring it to ביה"מ and when refused, he'll be upset.
      - 2. this consideration (of איבה) is associated with ר' יוסי, who uses this reasoning to argue in favor of trusting מומאה וטהרה all year (not only at time of רגל) regarding their wine and oil.
        - a. ר' פפא: we rely on ר' יוסי to accept them as witnesses
      - 3. challenge: why aren't we concerned that a שבר will borrow this כלי from an כלי
        - a. we see that such was the practice from the defense of ב"ש as to why they distinguished between food inside a כלי (only for him) and the (also for חבר)
      - 4. *defense*: חבר performs טבילת כלי when he borrows it (not applicable to that argument, as he won't lend it for 7 days for הזאה וטבילה)
        - a. caveat: they are believed regarding טבילה of themselves, not their בלים
        - b. caveat: they are believed to say "never כלי בתוך כלי" but not nuanced testimony ("I was מטביל כלי בתוך, but only in wide-mouth "כלי")
- II. Analysis of מעלה #2 separation of segments of כלי for חרומה, not for קודש
  - a. support for distinction re: אחוריים
  - b. definition of בית הצביטה
    - i. place to hold on (as per v.1)
    - ii. small receptacle on top of the כלי (for fastidious folk)
- III. Analysis of מעלה #3 permission to simultaneously carry מרומה and תרומה (but not קודש)
  - a. קודש of not carrying קודש on account of an incident
    - i. man carrying barrel of קודש wine, sandal strap (which was טמא מדוס) broke, he held it up and it fell in
    - ii. follows ר' חנניא בן עקביא who limited גזרות of this sort to exact same conditions as incident which led to גזרה
      - 1. example: מי חטאת against carrying מי on a boat, in water, over bridge etc. due to incident that took place on boat in ירדן
      - 2. ר' חנניא בן עקביא: only applies to a boat, only in ירדן (no other waters)
    - iii. Question: what if barrel is unsealed; what if shoe is טהור; what if he violated the גזרה and carried the קודש anyway?
      - 1. answer to last question: dispute among אמוראים