13.5.3

52a (עשה מאמר ובעל) → 52b (תיקו)

ז. אָשֶׁה זֹנַה וַחֶלֶלָה לֹא יָקָחוּ וָאָשֶׁה **גָּרוּשָׁה מֵאִישָׁה** לֹא יָקָחוּ כִּי קַדשׁ הוּא לֵאלֹהֵיו: *ויקרא כא, ז*

מסכת יבמות

- I. Continued analysis of a משנה if he did מאמר and then had ביאה that is the proper sequence and מצוה
 - a. Apparently supports: ר' הונא is first to be מצוה is first to be ביאה, then ביאה
 - b. Rejection: our משנה merely states that if he did it in this order, it is also satisfactory
 - i. Challenge: this is obvious
 - ii. Answer: per the ruling that after מאמר, there is no more זיקת יבמין, rather זיקת אירוסין ונישואין, rather אירוסין, ונישואין
 - 1. Therefore: we would think that there is no מצוה done here קמ"ל
- II. Revisiting מאמר ייבום s description of ideal מאמר ייבום followed by בעילה
 - a. However: if he did ביאה first, it is valid
 - b. Challenge: this is obvious
 - i. Rather: if he only did ביאה, still valid
 - ii. Challenge: ברייתא rules that in such a case, he gets מכות
 - 1. Answer: these are מכות מרדות, as מכות מרדות
 - (a) Per: קידושין for unseemly מכות מרדות for unseemly ,
 - (i) Including: אני , קידושי ביאה in the public square, שליד שידוכי , cancelling a גע, claiming a גע, claiming a אידוכי , someone who doesn't respond to a שמתא for 30 days and a man who lives in his mother-in-law's house.
 - 1. *Note*: only if he resides there \rightarrow if he passes by, it is acceptable
 - a. Challenge: מכות to a man who would pass by regularly
 - b. Answer: there were rumors about the two of them
 - (ii) Alternate (רב :only gave מכות for מקדש בביאה בלא שידוכי
 - 1. According to some: even if there were שידוכי, as it is unseemly
- III. Process of מאמר ביא) ברייתא ב:א)
 - a. כסף gives her כסף or שווה כסף
 - b. שטד gives her a papyrus or potsherd, worth less than ש"פ, with "הרי את מקודשת לי" written on it
 - i. שטר כתובה guestion in ברייתא is about שטר כתובה (wording presented)
- IV. מי בי gave the מתרת ', stipulating that she is not thereby מתרת to anyone else
 - a. Lemma1: גט established גט for במה along lines of גט דאורייתא since such a גע would be invalid, same here
 - b. Lemma2: they "validated" this as a precaution against a proper מיבום and disallow him from subsequent ייבום
 - c. Answer (דבה): they declared it valid as a precaution
 - i. Challenge (רבה בר חנן): then we should even declare a blank piece of paper to be "valid"
 - ii. Defense: that doesn't even render her unfit for כהונה, unlike a אנט with that stipulation
 - 1. Per: v1 even if she is only divorced from her husband; this is the "כהנה that invalidates for הרנה that invalidates for
- V. Realted question posed by רמי בר חמא "advance יבמה for יבמה
 - a. Premise: if someone instructs a סופר to write a גע for his ארוסה, valid after בישואין it is a valid גע it is a valid גע
 - i. *Reason*: he has the purview to divorce her at this point
 - ii. But: this doesn't work with a woman with whom he has not current relationship
 - iii. Question: would it work for a יבמם if he writes it when she is זקוקה, to take effect after תיקו) (תיקו)
 - 1. Lemma1: since she is linked to him (זיקה) she is like his ארוסה (→valid) OR
 - 2. Lemma2: since he didn't perform מאמר on her (yet), invalid
- VI. Related question posed by מאמר if he wrote a זיקה to break only זיקה (not both) valid? (תיקו)
 - a. Lemma1: מאמר "rides" atop איקה and it is as if he divorced half a woman (→meaningless) OR
 - b. *Lemma*2: perhaps each component is separate (→meaningful)
 - c. Question: why not resolve it from ruling of ב: if he gave a אמר for his אמה, the ארה is freed
 - i. Answer: this matter is obvious to רב חנניה, but not to רב חנניה