13 15 3

(סיום הפרק) 118b (משנה ד2)

ז. וַיֹּאמֶר שִׁמְשׁוֹן **תָּמוֹת וַבְּשִׁי עִם פְּלְשְׁתִּים** וַיֵּט בְּלֹחַ וַיִּפֹּל הַבִּיִת עַל הַסְּרָנִים ... שופטים טז.ל

- I משנה ד2: conflicting testimony
 - a if 1 witness testified to death and she married:
 - i if 1 witness arrived and countered the 1st testimony she doesn't leave new husband
 - 1 clarification: even if she didn't yet marry, she never abandons her first permit (may marry לכתחילה)
 - if 2 witnesses arrived and countered 1st testimony she must leave new husband
 - 1 challenge (obvious):
 - (a) answer1: all of them are ב' כחמיה, following ר' נחמיה when we use 1 witness, we follow majority
 - (b) answer2: 1st witness is a woman, 2nd two are women
 - (i) א"'s meaning is 2 women<->1 woman::2 men<->1 man (but 1 עד מיתה =many women)
 - b if 2 witnesses testified to death and then 1 witness came and countered that testimony she may marry לכתחילה
 - i even if 2 witnesses are מסולי עדות, following מינ (anywhere we accept 1 witness, follow majority of voices)
- II משנה ה': contradictory testimony
 - a 2 wives:
 - i 1 testifies that he died and 1 testifies that he didn't die:
 - 1 the one that testified that he died may remarry and collect כתובה
 - 2 the one that testified that he didn't die may not remarry nor collect כתובה
 - (a) this is true even if she is silent, since one wife's testimony is invalid for another's
 - (b) we need to teach that if she says "לא מת" it is accepted and we don't suspect her of lying a la v. 1
 - ii 1 testifies that he died and the other testifies that he was killed:
 - 1 since they contradict each other, neither may marry
 - (a) question: why doesn't מ"ז dispute the 1st case?
 - (i) Answer1 (ר' אלעזר): he does disagree and the ר"י is authored by ר"י ור"ש
 - (ii) Answer2 (לי יוחנן: he doesn't disagree, since "לא מת" isn't affirmative testimony
 - 1. challenge: end of our משנה (below [b i]) supports ר' יוחנן and refutes כר"מ) and refutes בי יוחנן
 - 2 רי יהודה, ר"ש since they concur that he is dead, both may marry and collect כתובה
 - b Witnesses
 - i 1 (עד כשר) testifies that he died and 1 testifies that he didn't die may not marry
 - ii 1 (e.g.) woman testifies that he died and 1 woman testifies that he didn't may not marry
- III 'משנה וי: testimony of 1 woman and its affect on another
 - a if a woman returns from מדה", testifies that her husband died
 - i she may marry and collect כתובה
 - ii her צרה may not remarry, may not collect, but regarding תרומה;
 - 1 ר"ט allows her to continue eating
 - 2 ב"ע is stringent and disallows
 - b (משנה זו) if a woman returns from מדה", testifies that her husband died and then her father-in-law died:
 - (i.e. according to her testimony, she was no longer the כלה of this woman when חמיה died)
 - ii she may marry and collect כתובה
 - iii her mother-in-law may not remarry, may not collect, but regarding תרומה;
 - 1 ר"ט allows her to continue eating
 - 2 י"ע is stringent and disallows
 - 3 הלכה follows ברייתא (in both cases) supporting ברייתא
 - c justification for both cases and disputes
 - i in 1st case, suspect her b/c she hates the ערה due to "shared bed" & will try to hurt her →2nd case, rule like ν 7 due to "shared bed" & will try to hurt her
 - ii from 2nd case, perhaps ר"ע accedes to קמ"ל in 1st case קמ"ל

- IV משנה זב: debt to 1 of 5 claimants
 - a כתובה: he married 1 one of 5 women (not sure which), give גע to each, regarding כתובה:
 - i ב"ט leave money in middle and run away
 - ii ר"ע must pay each one a full כתובה
 - b גזלה: he stole from 1 of 5 people (not sure which), regarding repayment
 - i ר"ט leave money in middle and run away
 - ii ר"ע must pay each one full value of גזלה
 - c משנה follows version of ישב"א who limits their dispute to גזלה (מקח and נישואין) (מל (ישראין) (מל tot) משנה
 - i קידש בביאה means קידש
 - ii case of קידושין taught to show extent of "מ"ל s position although he "only" violated איסור מד"ס, we fine him
 - iii Case of איסור מה"ת taught to show extent of איסור הפים taught to show extent of איסור מה"מ, he isn't fined
- V משנה ח': wife's credibility vis-à-vis ייבום
 - a woman, husband and son travel abroad, she returns alone and says:
 - i first the husband died (no זיקה) then the son believed
 - 1 supports her חזקה of being מותרת לשוק before she left
 - ii first the son died, then the husband (זיקה) not believed
 - 1 violates חזקה of being אסורה לאח, but we're cautious and have her do חליצה
- VI משנה טי: Woman and husband travel abroad, she returns alone and reports that she bore a son there and:
 - a first the son died then the husband died believed
 - i supports her חזקה of זקוקה ועומדת
 - b first the husband died then the son died not believed
 - i violates חזקה of being אסורה לשוק, but we're cautious and have her do חליצה
- VII משנה יי woman and husband travel abroad, she returns alone and reports that her husband had a brother born:
 - a whether she reports that her husband or the יבם died first, she is believed. (had חזקה חזקה מותרת לשוק ח מותרת לשוק ח
 - b If a woman, her husband and her יבם went abroad, and she returned alone, reporting that husband and יבם died in either order not believed (violates חזקה לשוק on חזקה)
 - c Rule: woman isn't believed to testify that her יבם died (so she can marry) or her sister died (she can marry him)
- d Rule: man isn't believed to testify that his brother died (so he can perform יבום) or his wife died (marry her sister) VIII Tangential discussion:

 - b Answer: from our משנה since we are cautious, we don't assume she either loves or loathes the יבם
 - c Question: (רבא asked רבינא): if a man accepts a גט on behalf of a woman when she is squabbling with her husband do we consider it a זכות or perhaps, she may prefer being married in any case and it is to her detriment
 - d Answer: based on ר"ל's dictum טב למיתב טן דו מלמיתב של we assume it to be a חוב
 - i *Supportive observations*: amoraic aphorisms about women who prefer staying married to their husbands, no matter how lowly, in order to have the "honor" of being considered a married woman
 - 1 Addendum but they all are guilty of infidelity