14.2.8 23a (ואם משנשאת) → 24b (ואם משנשאת)

c.

ז. יֵשׁ רְעָה רְאִיתִי תַּחַת הַשְׁמֶשׁ **פְּשְׁגָה שִׁיּצָא מִלְבְנֵי הַשַּׁלִיט**: ק*הלת פרק י פסוק ה* 2. וַיֹּאמֶר שְׁמְשׁוֹן **תַּמוֹת וַבְּשִׁי עָם פְּלְשָׁתִים** וַיֵּט בְּכֹח וַיָּפֹל הַבַּיִת עַל הַסְרָנִים וְעַל כָּל הָעָם אֲשֶׁר בּוֹ...:שופטים פרק טז פסוק ל

- I. Analysis of caveat in משנה if she already married, לא תצא
 - a. Interpretation 1: relates to רישא (2 witnesses come after she remarries and testify that she was אשת איש)
 - b. Interpretation 2: relates to סיפא (2 witnesses come after she marries [כהן] and testify that she was שבויה)
 - i. Analysis: if we apply it to סיפא, may not apply to שבויה we are lenient regarding שבויה
 - ii. Suggestion: dispute is whether to accept רב המנונא's dictum
 - 1. *dictum*: a woman is not capable of saying "you divorced me" if its untrue (→believed)
 - 2. *rejection*: all accept his dictum; dispute is whether it applies in his absence
 - addendum: she need not have married; once בי"ד issues her permit, c'est fini
 - i. *challenge*: conclusion is לא תצא
 - ii. answer: לא תצא means "she doesn't leave her earlier permitted status"
 - d. *Addendum*: if she claims she was a captive but is סהורה and has witnesses to support it we don't wait for her witnesses; we permit her to marry a כהן immediately
 - i. If: witnesses later come and can't support her claim לא תצא
 - ii. If: witnesses come and testify that she was, indeed, raped even if she has children תצא
 - iii. Story: involving שמואל 's father, שמואל, his daughters and their eventual captors and the יד ב"ד א" יח ב"
 - 1. possible challenge: seems as if would witnesses come תצא
 - 2. *deflection*: only if witnesses to her טומאה come would she have to separate
- II. משנה ו' mutually supportive עדות
 - a. if 2 women were captured (and there are witnesses to that) and they claim אהורה אני not believed
 - b. *however*, if they testify to each other's believed believed
 - c. *explicatory ברייתא* 2 women captured, 1 testifying about both of them:
 - i. *rule*: any testimony she offers to benefit herself (שהורה) isn't believed; both inversions are:
 - 1. *herself*: טמאה believed
 - 2. *the other woman*: טהורה believed (חמאה not believed)
 - 3. *therefore*:
 - a. *if she says*: אני וחברתי טהורה believed on both counts
 - b. *if she says*: עדים not believed (must be עדים)
 - c. *if she says*: we're both טמאות believed only about herself (must be no עדים)
 - d. *if she says*: we're both טהורות believed only about the other (must be no עדים)
 - ii. *challenge*: seems as if 2nd and 4th cases involve witnesses, 3rd involves no witnesses
 - iii. answer1 (אביי): indeed only "middle" case involves no witnesses
 - iv. Answer2 (ר׳ פנא): all cases involve עדים; in 3rd and 4th case, 1 witness inverts her testimony:
 - 1. 1st case: she says אני etc. and 1 witness says she is טהורה
 - a. *result*: she is טמאה חד"א) (שויא אנפשה חד"א); the other is טהורה as per her testimony
 - 2. 2nd case: she says אורה אני etc. and 1 witness says she is טמאה
 - a. *result*: she is טמאה (as per witness) and the other is טהורה due to witness
 - 3. 3rd case: she says "we're both "טמאות" and 1 witness says "you're both "טהורות"
 - a. *result*: she is טהורה (witness) מויא אנפשה חד"א) and other is טהורה (witness)
 - b. *question*: why is this 3rd case needed (same as 2nd)?
 - c. Answer: אהורות both are שהורות and she is trying to "take her friend down with her" (as per v. 2) טמאה that we believe her vis-à-vis herself שמ״ל נ
 - 4. *4th case*: she says "we're both "טהורות" and 1 witness contradicts her
 - a. *result*: she is טמאה as per witness; other is טהורה based on her testimony
 - b. *question*: why is this case needed (same as 1st)?
 - c. answer: קמ"ל believe her & permit the other only if she invalidates herself קמ"ל

- III. משנה ז': another example of reciprocal testimony:
 - a. if 2 men come, each claiming to be a כהן not believed
 - b. if they testify about each other's כהונה believed
 - i. 'ה לכהונה disagrees and doesn't allow העלאה לכהונה based on 1 witness
 - 1. r'': only if someone challenges his status; otherwise, we accept 1
 - 2. העלאה לכהונה we always accept 1 witness for העלאה לכהונה
- IV. Justifying the need for all the examples of פה שאסר
 - a. *If we only had case #1* ("") and the father's field):
 - i. I would have said: he's believed because his 1st statement puts him at financial risk
 - ii. *But*: case #2 (witnesses claiming the signatures are invalid) have no such risk and their 2^{nd} statement should be understood to be a reversal (\rightarrow rejected)
 - b. *If we only had case #2:*
 - i. *I would have said*: witnesses are believed since their words affect another (only)
 - ii. *But*: case #1 is for his own benefit not believed
 - c. If we had cases #1&2:
 - i. I would have said: they're believed since it's (only) a financial matter
 - ii. But: case #3 (א"א) admits she was married, claims also divorced) is איסור not believed
 - d. Once we have case #3: why do we need case #4 (captive who claims "טהורה אני")?
 - i. Answer: for לא תצא if she already married, לא תצא
 - 1. challenge: that only works according to the מ"ד that it applies to סיפא טיפא
 - 2. *but*: if it applies to רישא (married woman)...?
 - 3. *answer*: because we need to teach case #5 2 captive women (גומלין)
 - 4. *question*: why do we need case #5?
 - 5. answer: we would think not to believe them, due to the reciprocity (גומלין)
 - 6. *if so*: why do we need case #6 (men testifying about each other's כהונה)
 - 7. answer: to teach the dispute between ר' יהודה/רבנן regarding העלאה לכהונה ע"פ עד אחד
- V. Analyzing the dispute between ר' יהודה/רבנן (part 1)
 - a. ברייתא: 1 man testifies that he and his friend are כהנים:
 - i. יוחסין believed for האכלת תרומה, not for יוחסין,
 - ii. הרי יהודה יו: not believed even for תרומה need 3 (a 3rd who substantiates each's testimony about the other)
 - 1. *contradiction*: ר' יהודה (here) doesn't accept reciprocal testimony; רבנן do
 - 2. *contra*: regarding grain sellers, ר' יהודה accepts reciprocal testimony about הפרשת תרו"מ
 - a. *answer1(רב*): switch the positions in ברייתא דדמאי
 - b. Answer2 (אביי): no need to switch: we're lenient regarding דמאי
 - i. *Challenge (רבנ*ן): that only answers רבנן; what of רבנן?
 - c. Answer3 (res):
 - i. *ר' יהודה* solved as per אביי
 - ii. *reciprocity* is obvious) both grain-sellers have their tools of sale out (reciprocity is obvious)