14.4.7 47b (חייב במזונותיה) → 48a (על הציבור)

- י. אָם אַחֵרֵת יָקַח לוֹ **שָאֵרָה כְּסוּתַה וְעֹנֵתַה** לֹא יְגַרַע:שמות כא, י
- ג וַאָשֵר אָכָלוּ **שָּאֶר עָמֵי** וְעוֹרָם מֵעַלֵיהֶם הַפְּשִיטוּ וְאֶת עַצְמֹתֵיהֶם פְּצֵחוּ וֹפַרְשׁוּ כַּאֲשֵׁר בַּסִיר וֹכְבַשֵּׁר בְּתוֹךְ קַלְּחַת: מ*יכה ג*, ג
 - אָם **תִּעָנָה** אֶת בִּנֹתֵי וְאָם תִּקָּח נָשִׁים עַל בִּנֹתֵי אֵין אִישׁ עִמָּנוּ רָאֵה אֱלֹהִים עֵד בֵּינִי וּבֵינְדְּ:בראשית לא, נ
 - איש אִישׁ אֵל כָּל **שָאֵר** בִּשָּׁרוֹ לֹא תִקְרבוּ לְגַלוֹת עֵרְוָה אֵנִי יִקְוָק: *ייקרא יח, ו*
- די אָעָקּד וּוַרְעָבֶּדְּ וַאַאָכָלָדְ אֶת הַמָּון אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדַעָתָ וְלֹא יָדְעוּזן אֲבֹתֶידְּ לְמַען הוֹדְעָדְ כִּי לֹא עֵל הַלֶּחֶם לְבַדּוֹ יְתְיֶה הָאָדָם כִּי עַל כָּל מוֹצָא פִי ה' יְתְיֶה הָאָדָם: *דברים ח*,ג 💽 וַיְּעָקָּדְּ וַאָרָתְ בָּרֹא יָדְעָתָ וְלֹא יָדְעוּזן אֲבֹתֵידְ לְמַען הוֹדְעָדְ כִּי לֹא עֵל הְלֶחָתָם

וענין הכתוב, שאם יקח אחרת, קרוב בשרה של זו וכסות מטתה ועת דודיה לא יגרע ממנה, כי כן משפט הבנות. *דמב"ן שמות כא, ט*

- I Continued analysis of husband's rights as per 'משנה ד
 - a Quid pro quo:

husband's rights		husband's responsibilities
Her wages	for	Feeding her
נכסי מלוג of נכסי	for	Ransom her if captured
(he inherits it)	for	Burial (if she predeceases him)

- i Comment on פירות: even though it may have been easier to leave them in her trust as a guarantee against ransom; it might be not enough so he is given מירות against the (unlikely) need for ransom
- ii 2nd comment on the list: frequent rights were set up for frequent responsibilities (wages/food)
- b 3 approaches to explaining the דאורייתא obligations found in v. 1:
 - i food, clothing and conjugal relations are מה"ת
 - 1 possibility #1: (מ"ק) שאר is food as per v. 2; כסות means clothing and עונה is relations as per v. 3
 - 2 possibility #2: (א"ר) שאר is relations as per v. 4; סטות means clothing and עונה is food as per v. 5
 - ii (ראב"יי) only clothing is שאר מה"ת means it has to fit the body, עונה means it must be seasonally appropriate
 - iii (ר' יוסף) only relations is שאר מה"ת refers to קירוב בשר (if he refuses to undress for relations, she may demand a divorce w/מב"ן may refer to bedclothes/sheets see מסות) (כתובה)
- II Discussion about חלילין 'ז' dissent in the משנה regarding minimal burial (2 חלילין and a "dirge-singer" [מקוננת]
 - a Question: if this is the regular custom, why would ה"ק not require it; if not, why would ר' יהודה require it?
 - *Answer*: it is his family's custom, not hers
 - 1 ק, she only "goes up" with him and not down only applies while she's alive
 - 2 *יהודה* rule applies even after death
 - 3 ruling: ר' חסדא (in the name of מר עוקבא) הלכה follows ר' יהודה
 - 4 Additional ruling (from בי"ד): if someone loses his mind, בי"ד seizes his property in order to feed his wife, sons, daughters and "דבר אחר" (?)"
 - (a) Challenge (רבינא): why distinguish from case of man who left the country; דבר אווי seizes his property to feed his wife, but not his children nor "דבר אחר"
 - (b) Answer: distinguish between someone who left voluntarily and not (lost his mind)
 - (i) Definition of דבר אחר.
 - 1. ב' חסדא jewelry (certainly would not allow them to pay out charity)
 - 2. ייסף: charity (but may still allow them to pay out for jewelry)
 - 5 *additional ruling (רב הונא*): if someone left the country and his wife died, they seize his property to arrange a burial as befitting the higher standard of either of them
 - (a) implication: she "moves up" and not "down" with him even after death
 - 6 associated ruling: (רב מתנה) if a man states that if he predeceases his wife he doesn't want her to have his estate cover her funeral
 - (a) challenge: this is obvious, since the money goes to his heirs who are not obligated to bury her
 - 7 rather: if he states that when he dies he doesn't want the estate to cover the funeral we **don't** obey his wishes
 - (a) reason: he has no right to enrich his estate and have his burial made the responsibility of the community