14.9.4 88b (סיום הפרק) → 90a (סיום הפרק)

- I משנה טו: collection in case of missing שטרות
 - a גט but no כתובה she collects all
 - i *implication*: we write a שובר
 - ii argument: if we didn't, she could use the כתובה later and collect again (as a feigned אלמנה)
 - iii *counter*: רב referent is a location where כתובות aren't written (rely on תנאי ב"ד)
 - iv Alternatively: רתובות even where they write כתובות
 - 1 *Explanation*: if it's a location where they don't write one, the husband must prove that he did; if a location where they do write, she must prove that he didn't
 - 2 *Flipback*: רב agreed that our משנה could refer to either place he ruled that if she only brings the גע, she collects עיקר כתובה only if she brings the כתובה only.
 - 3 *Challenge*: 2nd clause in our משנה if she brings a כתובה, he must prove that he paid it, otherwise she collects
 - (a) works for שמואל; referent is a location where they don't write and he claims he wrote a כתובה he must prove it
 - (b) for רב, she should at least be able to collect the עיקר כתובה
 - (c) *answer*: case where there are no עדי גירושין, he has a מיגו (he could have claimed that he never divorced her therefore believe him that he paid)
 - (d) *challenge*: from אסיפא, where רשב״ג allows collection (after סכנה) →must be עדי גירושין
 - (e) *rather*: entire משנה is רשב"ג (חסורי מיחסרא):
 - (i) *if there are עדי גירושין* she can collect אוז with כתובה with
 - (ii) 100/200 only collected w/גט/
 - (iii) אנט after סכנה, she can collect 100/200 without גט
 - v *question*: if she can only collect 100/200 with גע, how does a widow collect?
 - 1 Provisionally: testimony of death
 - 2 Challenge: perhaps he divorced her beforehand and she'll double-collect
 - 3 Answer: only if she was living with him at time of death
 - 4 *Challenge*: what if he divorced her just before death
 - 5 *Answer*: he hurt his own cause by doing so
 - vi Related question: how does an אלמנה מן האירוסין collect?
 - 1 Answer: same as above but she may take different עדי מיתה and collect several times
 - 2 Defense: in such a case (and others like it), we write a receipt (שובר)
 - vii Tangent: attempts to demonstrate that אלמנה מן האירוסין collects כתובה
 - 1 *Proofs*: from cases where we learn that she collects עיקר or he doesn't inherit כתובה (if ארוסה dies)
 - 2 Block: may be referring to cases where he voluntarily wrote a אירוסין at אירוסין א
 - viii *Question:* according to גט) reallows her to collect) aren't we concerned that she may double-collect?
 - 1 *Answer*: we tear שטר, writing on top of it that it was torn in spite of validity (so she can remarry)
 - b כתובה but no גט (she claims it was lost, he claims his receipt for כתובה was lost) no collection
 - c בע״ח who comes to collect after שמיטה without his בע״ח no collection
 - since the times of danger (decrees forbidding מצוות) we allow payment in these cases
- II משנה vehicles for claiming משנה טב
 - a if she produces 2 כתובות she collects both
 - b if only 1 כתובה or both + testimony of death only collect once
 - i *reason*: if he remarries her, it is premised on the 1st כתובה
 - ii *question*: may she use either of the כתובות to collect? אטר pointed out that a later שטר cancels the earlier one
 - iii *answer*: ¹/_n agrees if the 2nd one adds anything that it doesn't cancel. (here also, the 2nd must be more than 1st)
 - c Note on above: if the גט predates the כתובה, she collects 2 כתובות; if the גט predates the גט, only one
 - i *Reason*: as above, 2nd marriage was based on 1st כתובה
- III משנה משנה איז written by someone who is currently invalid (קטן, גר)
 - a Still valid, since he continued the marriage on that premise
 - b משנה thought the intention of the משנה was to maintain entire amount, but it's only 100/200 (כר׳ הונא)