15.3.8

30a (לא מזבין ליה) → 31b (משנה ו')

Note: משניות ו-יא detail the meaning of particular targets of a משניות ו-יא and who is included in this group; this always follows local meaning

1. וְעַתָּה שְׁנֵי בְּנֶיךְ **הַנּוֹלְדִים** לְךְּ בְּאֶרֶץ מְצְרָיִם עַד בֹּאִי אֲלֶיךְ מִצְרַיְמָה לִי הֵם אֶפְרִים וּמְנַשֶּה כְּרְאוּבְן וְשׁמְעוֹן יְהִיוֹ לִי: *בראשית פרק מח פסוק ה* 2. וַיִּקְרָא עַל הַמִּזְבֶּח בִּדְבַר ה' וַיֹּאמֶר מִזְבֵּח מַזְבֵּח כֹּה אָמֵר ה' הַנָּה בֹן **נוֹלְד** לְבֵית דָּוִד יֹאשׁיָהוּ שְׁמֹו וְזָבַח עֶלֶיךְ אֶת כְּהָבוֹ הַ הַּבְּמוֹת ...: *מלכים א פרק יג פסוק ב* 3. וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים אֱל אַבְרָהָם אֵל יֵרַע בְּצִינֶיךְ עַל הַנַּעַר וְעַל אֲמֶתֶךּ כֹּל אֲשֶׁר תֹאמֵר אֵלֶיךְ שָׂרָה שְׁמַע בְּלְלָה כִּי בְּּלְהָה כִּי בְּיִבְּים אֵל יֵרַע בְּצִינֶיךְ עַל הַנַּעַר וְעַל אֲמֶתֶךּ כֹּל אֲשֶׁר תֹאמֵר אֵלֶיךְ שָׁרָה שְׁמֵע בְּלְלָה כִּי בְּלְבְּיִם הָב

- משנה ו' anning "seafarers" excludes those who live on land; "those who live on land" includes seafarers
 - a note: משנה ends with mention of "not those who go from Jaffa to Acre, rather serious seafarers"
 - i Possibility 1: it is an addendum to רישא only serious seafarers are included
 - ii Possibility 2: it is an addendum to סיפא even serious seafarers are included among "those who live on land" because they do, as do all humans
- II ימשנה "those who see the sun" includes the blind intent is "those for whom the sun shines"
 - a excluding fish, embryos
 - b argument: it doesn't state "those who see"
- III משנה ח': banning "those with blackened heads" includes the bald and elderly
 - a reason: intent is to include all men (men were thus called
 - b *excluding*: women and minors
- IV ילודים משנה ט' vs. ילודים:
 - a banning "those who are born" (ילודים) doesn't include those yet to be born
 - b "those who will be born" (נולדים) includes those already born:
 - i dissent: ר"מ says that נולדים doesn't include the ילודים
 - ii Response: חכמים his intent was to include all who reproduce (excluding fish and fowl)
 - iii Observation: נולד is an equivoke (v. 1 contra v. 2) but colloquy defines נולד and people mean "to be born" (as v.2)
- V משנה יי and כותים by religious norms and practices¹
 - a banning "those who observe שבת includes both (they are both commanded and keep שבת
 - b banning "those who eat garlic [on Friday night]" includes both
 - c banning "those who make pilgrimage to Jerusalem" includes only ישראל) (since כותים don't revere ירושלים)²
- VI משנה יאו: banning בני נוח includes all non-Jews and excludes all Jews
 - a note: even though all humanity is descended from נח, once we entered the בני אברהם, we became known as בני אברהם
- VII משנה יאב: banning "seed of אברהם" includes Jews only
 - a note: in spite of ישמעאל's parentage, on account of v. 3
 - b note: in spite of Esau's parentage (צמחק, and not all of יצחק, are considered the "seed of אברהם")
- VIII משנה יאב: solutions to one who bans commerce with other Jews in one form or another
 - a form1: if he bans himself from benefiting from all Jews → solution: he buys high and sells low
 - b form2: if he bans other Jews from benefiting from him → solution: he buys low and sells high
 - i caveat: if they agree, but they have no responsibility to enable this
 - c form3: if he bans both → solution: he can engage in commerce with non-Jews
 - d שמואל if A borrows a vessel from an artisan (to see if he likes it), he is a שואל and responsible for אונסין
 - i *implication*: benefit of sale goes to buyer (borrower benefits here)
 - ii challenge: 1st clause of our משנה, why must he sell low –selling at market price is still benefit to the buyer
 - 1 answer: our משנה is referring to a case where the merchandise isn't moving any sale is benefit to seller
 - 2 challenge: why must he buy high even buying at market price is still benefit to seller
 - 3 additional challenge: in 2nd clause, why must he buy high even buying even is his benefit
 - 4 rather: our שמואל is "normal" sale, where each side benefits (at market price); שמואל scase is a "hot market" where the buyer gains
 - (a) *support*: if he borrows vessels to see if they'll be accepted as a gift, agreeing to buy them if they are desired and, if not, to pay טובת הנאה
 - (i) ruling: if they break on the way to the potential recipient, "buyer" pays; if not, exempt
 1. possible challenge: story about middleman buying donkey –liable all the way back to seller's door
 2. answer: even at that point, it could have been sold (was similar to "on its way" in earlier case)

-

¹ The מכים ב' יז mentioned here are the transplants from כותים mentioned in c. 722 BCE; through the Tannaitic period, they maintained the Law, with significant deviances, and were considered Jewish (with caveats) until the Amoraic period – see פימ"מ ברכות ח: ² The Samaritans, until this day, maintain that the "holy place" is הר נריזים