15.10.5; 71b (איבעיא להו) → 73a (לבדה)

i

- ַר. **ןשָׁמַע אִישָׁה** בְּיוֹם שָׁמְעוֹ וְהֶחֵרִישׁ לָה וְקָמוּ נְדָרֶיהָ וֶאֱסְרֶה אֲשֶׁר אָסְרָה עַל נַפְשָׁה יָקֵמוּ: *במדבר ל, ח* 
  - 2. כָּל נֵדֶר וְכָל שְׁבֻעַת אִסֶר לְעַנֹת נָפֶשׁ אִישָׁה יְקִימֶנּוּ וְאִישָׁה יְפֵרָנוּ: במדבר ל, יד
- ג וְאָם בְּיוֹם שְׁמֹע אִישָׁה יָנִיא אוֹתָה וְהֵפֵר אֶת נְדְרָה אֲשֶׁר עָלֶיהָ וְאֵת מְבְטָא שְׁפָתֶיהָ אֲשֶׁר אָסְרָה עַל נַפְשָׁה וַה' יִסְלַח לָה: במזבו פרק ל פסוק ט.
  - 4. **ןהִשְׁקָה** אֶת הָאִשָּׁה אֶת מֵי הַמָּרִים הַמְאָרֵרִים וּבָאוּ בָהּ הַמֵּיִם הַמְאָרֵרִים לְמָרִים: *במדבר ה, כז*
- I Question posed about קיים הנדר is divorce considered קיים or just silence?
  - a *Split the difference*: if he heard, was silent, divorced her and took her back the same day can he reject the reject the same day can he reject the same d
    - Attempted proof: נתרוקנה (dealt with above) detailing the parameters of נתרוקנה
    - 1 *Proof*: since  $\rightarrow$  divorce must be = קיים
    - 2 Rejection: same "narrow" read of the סיפא leads to the opposite conclusion
    - 3 *Rather*: that תוספתא cannot be a proof; either the רישא or the סיפא is narrowly constructed and the other is loosely constructed in order to be symmetrical with other half
    - ii Attempted proof: our משנה if she was divorced, the latter fiancé may still reject the נדר
      - 1 *Implication*: divorce = silence (since otherwise the latter fiancé couldn't reject it)
      - 2 *Rejection*: perhaps in that case, the first fiancé never heard the vow
        - (a) *Challenge*: if so, why limit it to the same day he has until the day he hears of it(b) *Answer*: it is the day that the father heard
    - iii Attempted proof: ברייתא if he divorces her and takes her back on the same day, he can no longer reject the
      - 1 *Implication*: divorce = קיום הנדר
      - 2 *Rejection*: perhaps in that case he fully married her on the same day and a husband cannot reject vows from before the marriage (as opposed to a fiancé, who may do so)
- II הלמידי תכמים custom of תלמידי תכמים regarding their daughters and wives
  - a as father before daughter leaves his domain, he rejects all נדרים she may have taken
  - b as husband before wife enters his domain, he rejects all נדרים she may have taken beforehand
    - i *reason*: once he marries her, he has no purview over pre-existent (אין הבעל מיפר בקודמין)
  - c related questions of רמי בר חמא

i

- can a husband reject נדרים that he hasn't heard (analyzing necessity of phrase in v. 1)
  - 1 attempted resolution: our משנה after all, he never heard the vow
    - (a) *rejection*: perhaps he has to reject each of them again when he hears them
      - (i) *block*: if so, what is the import of this declaration?
      - (ii) Defense: demonstrates that ת״ח initiate such a conversation to provoke her to admit to נדרים
  - 2 Attempted resolution: from 2<sup>nd</sup> clause in our משנה
    - (a) Rejection: perhaps, here also, he has to reject again when he hears them
  - 3 Attempted resolution: next משנה a man's ability to set up הפרה -in-advance (in anticipation of a journey) is subject to a dispute (ר״א/רבנן) so he doesn't need to hear them for הפרה
    - (a) Rejection: perhaps he has to reject each one when he hears it
      - (i) *Block*: then why make the statement let him reject it when he hears it
      - (ii) *Defense*: he is concerned that he may be occupied at the time
        - 1. *Note*: in other words, the הפרה is invalid until he hears it, but according to ר״א, he may make the הפרה in advance
- 4 *Attempted resolution*: dispute between ר׳ יונתן as to whether a husband can appoint a trustee to reject his wife's utrustee to reject his wife's gone
  - (a) *Con*: ר' יאשיה, following v. 2, notes that it must be the husband himself
  - (b) Pro: ר' יונתן, following general rule ר' יונתן, שלוחו של אדם כמותו
    - (i) Note: all seem to agree that husband doesn't need to hear the נדר
  - (c) *Rejection*: perhaps he has to reject each one when he hears it
    - (i) Block: then why make the statement let him reject it when he hears it
    - (ii) Defense: he is concerned that he may be occupied at the time
- ii Can a deaf man reject his wife's נדרים
  - 1 *Lemma1*: perhaps he needs to *be able* to hear, as per conceptual rule of איז (בילה דר' זירא)
  - 2 *Lemma2*: since the husband may not have to hear the נדר to reject it, he may also reject it
  - 3 *Answer*: v. 1 excludes the wife of a deaf man (מדרש הלכה)
- iii Tangential question: may a husband reject vows of his two wives as one? (is אותה in v. 3 to be read narrowly?)
  1 Answer: dispute as to whether אותה (implicit in v. 4) is read narrowly or not in re: השקיית סוטה

© Yitzchak Etshalom 2015