16.4.1 20b ((ודאי ) 22b → 22b (ודאי)

ז. וַעַשָּׁה הַכֹּהֵן אֶחָד לְחַטָּאת וָאָחָד לְעֹלָה וְכִבֶּר עָלִיו **מָאָשֶׁר חָטָא עָל הַנְבָּש** וְקִדָּשׁ אֶת רֹאשוֹ בַּיּוֹם הַהוּא: *במדבר ו, יא* 

## I משנה א' linked נזירויות

i

- a if A accepts נזירים and B says "ואני" (and C says "ואני) they are all נזירים) they are all נזירים
  - i *note*: only if the response was stated בתוך כדי דיבור of the declaration
    - 1 *definition*: שלום עליך רבי time it takes to say שלום עליך רבי
    - *question*: are all subsequent occurences of ואני tethered to the first or are they chained?
      (a) Split the difference: if all tethered to the first, more than 2 or 3 cannot tether (תוך כ״ד)
      - (b) *Proof*: our משנה has "B" and "C" saying אווי (and no more)  $\rightarrow$  tethered to first
      - (i) *Rejection*: has to list 2 more to set up situation of retraction (as below)
        (c) *Proof*: משנה rules that if 1<sup>st</sup> is retracted, all retracted → middle doesn't effect that (all linked to 1<sup>st</sup>)
        - (i) Rejected: משנה "Isternatical an renatical sinitatic doesn't encer matchininked to (i) Rejected: משנה used "Ist" for only case where all of them become permitted
      - (d) *Proof*: משנה rules that if last is retracted, only he → if there were a middle, it'd be also המותר (i) *Rejection*: perhaps "last" means "after 1<sup>st</sup>" (middle
      - (e) Conclusion: explicit ruling that if middle is released, all later "links" are released → chained
  - ii if the first has his נזירות retracted, all are released
  - iii if the last has his גזירות retracted, the rest remain bound by נזירות
- b if A accepts מירות and B says "my mouth will be like his mouth" or "my hair is like his hair" he is a נזיר
  - i *challenge*: a הקדש needs to attach to a vital organ (e.g. heart, half the person etc.)
  - ii answer: he said "my mouth is like his vis-à-vis wine" or "my hair is like his vis-à-vis cutting"
- c if A accepts נזירות and his wife says "ואני", he may reject hers and leave his intact, however...
- d if his wife accepts גוירות and the husband says "ואני", he may not reject hers
  - i *supposition*: since his rejection uproots the LTT, he'd be rejecting his own along with it
  - ii *rejection*: his statement of קיום is a קיום
- e *fundamental question*: does the husband's rejection fully uproot the vow or just cancel it from here on?
  - Split the difference: if she took a ban and a friend tethered to it and her husband released it is #2 released?
  - 1 *Proof*: our משנה, husband cannot reject her נדר (to which he tethered)→he uproots
    - (a) *Rejection*: perhaps he only cancels; here, his response of אואני is a קיום is a קיום
  - 2 Proof: if a woman takes a vow of גזירות and designates her חטאת and then it is מופר
    - (a) *If*: the animal belongs to her husband back to the flock
    - (b) *If*: the animal is hers it dies
    - (c) *argument*: vow must be cancelled, else the חטאת would, in any case, return to the flock

(i) Rejection: since the original הפרשה was valid (at the time), it is now a הטאת שמתו בעליה (ה חטאת שמתו בעליה), it is now a המות אות שמתו לה מות אות היא מות לה מות היא מות לה מות לה

- 3 *Proof*: if a woman takes a vow and then drinks etc. מכות
  - (a) Argument: must be a case where the husband rejected the גדר, nonetheless she gets מכות → cancels
    (i) Rejection: husband didn't reject the vow; parallel construction with סיפא
- 4 Proof: if a woman takes vow and is then נטמאת and her husband rejects brings only brings only (a) Argument: must be "cancelled", else why would she bring any קרבן
  - (b) *Block*: husband may still uproot; חטאת brought as per ר"א הקפר (above and v. 1)
- 5 Conlcusive proof: A takes vow, B tethers to it and husband of A rejects B אסורה → husband only cancels
  (a) Note: ר״ש if the tethering is phrased as הריני כמותך, the rejection of A unbinds B
- ii *Analysis*: this question seems to be the same as that of רמי בר חמא:
  - 1 If: tethering a אנחיד שלמים סו נותר (after די tethering a אותר ל זרה"ד)? (מותר ל זרה"ד)?
    - (a) *Rejection*: in that case, even the status afterwards is still קוש (must be eaten בטהרה, in ם-י etc.); here, if the rejection is valid no א מנדר all
    - (b) *Note*: some ignore this rejection and read the question as absolutely parallel to "רב"ת's question
- II משנה ב' further on linked נזירויות
  - a if A accepts מוירות and says ואת (to his wife) and she answers אמן both א both נוירים, he may retract hers, however...
  - b if his wife accepts נזירים & says ואתה (to her husband) & he answers אמן both are נזירים and he may not retract