## 18.02.04

18a (ר"ש מכשיר)  $\rightarrow 19a$  (בההיא כריש לקיש סבירא ליה)

- I Analysis of ב"ש"s dissenting opinion, validating a מ (only) that was signed the night after it was written
  - a Reason: he maintains that once a man decides to divorce his wife, he loses פירות
    - Therefore: it doesn't matter when it was signed; the minute it was written he already loses פירות
  - b Parameter of "ל"ש's "leniency":
    - i שיי only the night afterwards, but 10 days later we are concerned that they reconciled (and the יד" only the night afterwards, but 10 days later we are concerned that they reconciled (and the יד").
    - ii יוחנן. even after 10 days; if they reconciled, we would know about it (it has a "יירע")
- II Role of "extra" witnesses in case of כולכם:
  - a עדים they are all עדים
  - b עדים and the rest are a condition he set up to effect the (תנאי)
  - c split the difference:
    - i if 2 signed on the day it was written and the rest days later:
      - 1 ר"ל invalid
      - 2 ר' יוחנן valid
    - ii if 1 of them turned out to be an invalid witness (קרוב או פסול):
      - 1 ר"ל invalid
      - 2 ר' יוחנן valid
  - d twist:
    - i if the first to sign was קרוב או פסול
      - 1 some say: still valid, he acts as part of the תנאי
      - 2 some say: invalid, as a precaution against a normal case of קיום השטר
  - e case:
    - i someone brought a ריב"ל where the husband had said כולכם and 2 witnesses signed that day and the rest several days later
      - 1 ruling: "we can rely on ד' שמעון in a exigent case"
        - (a) challenge: ר"ל ruled that י"ט only permitted it if signed the next night
          - (i) answer: ריב"ל understood ריב"ל that he permitted even days later
        - (b) *Challenge*: but כולכם" maintains that the rest of the "כולכם" are a condition, so we don't need to rely on מולכם" to validate this עג
          - (i) Answer: ריב"ל understands the law of ריל like ר"ל they're all witnesses