## 18.06.02; 63b (משנה בו) → 65a (עד שיהא בן עשרים)

- I משנה בו requirement of 2 sets of עדים for שליח קבלה (1 witnessing designation, 1 set witnessing his receipt and tearing of נגט
  - a note: both "sets" can be one pair, or 1 from each and a common second witness
- II related discussion: a dispute between the husband and his agent
  - a husband: gave the פקדון (not to be given)
  - שליח: it was given as a גט (to be delivered)
    - i רב הונא: husband is believed (גע), if given, is invalid)
      - *argument*: had he wanted to divorce her, he could have given it directly to her
    - ii רב חסדא: agent is believed (valid)
      - 1 argument: husband entrusted to שליח
    - iii challenge (to בעל דין: agent is believed over the בעל דין
      - 1 answer: only in reference to financial matters (גיטי/שטיר ממון mentioned שטרות and שטרות mentioned (גיטי/שטיר
    - iv challenge (to אסדא ס'): from our משנה need for 2<sup>nd</sup> set of עדים proves that we don't believe agent
      - 1 he's only believed when he's holding the גט which isn't the case here
      - 2 challenge: why the need for the 2<sup>nd</sup> set (קבל)?
        - (a) Answer: follows א"ז who requires עדי מסירה as the essential עדות
        - (b) Note: "tearing" in משנה refers to time of Hadrianic persecutions when holding a was dangerous
    - v Note: דב הונא would agree that if she claimed that husband gave שליח א שליח היט in her presence as שליח היט is believed
      - 1 Reason: she could claim that it was given directly to her (מיגו)
  - c If: husband states that he gave it to שליח (גירושין concurs and wife claims she got it and lost it
    - i Ruling (דבר שבערוה and we require 2 witnesses (that she received it)
      - 1 Challenge: why not believe the שליח?
        - (a) Answer: it's not in his possession
      - 2 *Challenge*: why not believe the husband?
        - (a) Explanation: if a husband claims that he divorced his wife he is believed
        - (b) Answer: he never claimed that he divorced her rather, that he gave the שליח to the שליח
      - 3 Challenge: why not assume that the שליח שליחותו) שליחותו) שליחותו) שליחותו
        - (a) Support: if a man sends a קידושין to "someone" he may not marry anyone (anyone might be an אידוש by dint of kinship with his "wife")
        - (b) Answer: we only apply that חזקה strictly (לחומרא)
      - 4 Challenge: why not believe her as per חזקה a mit that a woman cannot say גירשתני to her husband if false
        - (a) Answer: that חזקה only applies if she has no corroborating evidence; here, there's a "out there"
- III משנה ב2: status of נערה וקטנה vis-à-vis
  - a בערה she or her father may accept her נערה she or her father may accept her נערה
    - i dissent: יהודה only allows father her יד only works when not in conflict with father's
  - b קטנה if she cannot hold on to her גט, she cannot be divorced
    - i definition: if she can distinguish between her גע and other things (else, she is a שוטה and cannot be divorced)
  - stage-development of קטן vis-à-vis קנינים:
    - i רב יהודה (quoting ר' אמי): if he distinguishes between a rock and a nut he can acquire for himself but not for others; if he can return an item to its owner after a while he can acquire on behalf of others
    - ii שמואל (after he heard ר"י's report): both are the same
      - 1 meaning (ר' חסדא): in both cases, he can only acquire for himself
      - 2 *Challenge (שיתוף מבוי nego may acquire the שיתוף מבוי* on behalf of all the residents
        - (a) Explanation: there can never be an אמה עבריה who is an adult she would already be free
      - 3 Response: (silence, but he should have answered): דרבנן are דרבנן
        - (a) Comeback: תורה formulated their rules as per the תורה
        - (i) Defense: that only holds when it's a law based in תורה Challenge: a שפחה עבריה may act as an agent to redeem מע"ש
        - (a) Answer: must be תרומה (e.g. a potted plant with a sealed bottom)
    - iii אבא 3 stages:
      - 1 can distinguish between a rock and a nut can acquire for himself but not others (קידושי מיאון)
      - 2 בעוטות transactions are valid (girl can accept a גע given to her father)
      - 3 עונת נדרים (1 year before majority) נדרים והקדשות are valid, girl may perform חליצה
        - (a) However: selling father's estate only at 20 years old