19.01.16; 18a (ת"ר יש בעברי שאין בעבריה) $\rightarrow 19a$ (הפדה) אמר רחמנא והפדה)

1. אָם זָרְחָה הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ עָלָיו דָּמִים לוֹ שַׁלֵּם יְשַׁלֵּם אָם אֵין לוֹ וְנִמְכַּר **בּוְנֵבְתוֹ**:שמו*ת כב, ב* 2. אָם רָעָה בְּעֵינֵי אֲדֹנֶיהָ אֲשֶׁר לוֹ **יִעְדָה וְהָפְדָּה** לְעַם נָכְרִי לֹא יִמְשׁל לְמָכְרָה **בְּבְּדוֹ בָה**:שמו*ת כא, ח* 3. **וְאִישׁ** אֲשֶׁר יִנְאַף אֶת **אֲשֶׁת אִישׁ** אֲשֶׁר יִנְאַף אֶת אֵשֶׁת רֵעֵהוּ מוֹת יוּמַת הַנֹּאֵף וְהַנֹּאָפֶּת:י*ויקרא כ,י*

- I ברייתא and its analysis distinctions between אמה מעבר and יעבד עברי
 - עבר עברי: leaves after 6 years, at יובל, at the death of his master
 - i as opposed to: אה"ע who doesn't leave in those circumstances if ייעוד took place
 - i note: סימנין (mentioned in 2nd clause, below) work only if there was no ייעוד
 - b סימנין: leaves at סימנין, cannot be sold twice...
 - i Implication: עבד עברי may be sold twice
 - 1 Challenge: v. 1 implies בגובתו –not for ממל (if found to be an ממל) nor may he be sold twice
 - (a) *Answer1* (**יבא**): if he stole twice, he may be sold twice (etc.)
 - (i) Challenge (בגנבתו implies multiple thefts
 - (b) Answer2 (אב"): if he stole from different victims, may be sold twice
 - ii ברייתא: if the theft is greater than his worth, he is sold twice; if less, he isn't sold at all
 - 1 dissent (ר' אלעזר): he is only sold if his value is equal to the theft
 - (a) *Note*: ר"א רבא "beat" the rabbis his position is consistent, while theirs is not
 - c and may be redeemed against his (?) will
 - i interpretation (רבא): against will of master
 - 1 challenge (אביי): why should he accept a שטר for her worth and let her go, rather
 - interpretation 2 (אביי): against will of father to maintain dignity of family
 - 1 challenge: why not apply this rule to עבד עברי, for the same reason?
 - (a) Answer: he'll resell himself and keep getting redeemed
 - (i) Challenge: why not raise same concern vis-à-vis אה"ע ?1. answer: since she may not be resold, irrelevant
 - iii authority: חכמים, as per dispute with חכמים:
 - 1 שפחות he may sell/betroth his daughter twice except for שפחות after betrothal
 - 2 שפחות he may never sell her to שפחות after being sold or betrothed
 - 3 dispute follows בגדו בה re meaning of בגדו בה (unvocalized) in v. 2
 - (a) א"ז (who reads it בגדו as per the masoretic vocalization once he has covered his *garment* (בגד) over her (=marriage) he may not sell her
 - (b) א"ז (who reads it יש אם למקרא as per simplest vocatlization of written form יש אם למקרא once he has acted *treacherously* against her (by selling her as אמה an act of בגידה) he may not sell her
 - (c) אם למסורת accepts both אם למקרא and אם למסורת
- II ייעוד generate ייעוד 's question: does ייעוד?
 - a Split the difference: טומאת מת (if he's a כהן) and הפרת נדרים
 - b Above ייעוד ("garment") assumption referring to ייעוד (even after ייעוד he may still marry her off)
 - i Explanation; if it means נישואין, the father has no more authority over her →must be אירוסין
 - ii Rejection (ינב״י): refers to general קידושין i.e. once father has handed her over to someone responsible for her garb (בגד), he has no more authority to "sell" her.
 - c Ruling: dispute (מכמים/ר"א) if he may sell her to קרובים; all agree that he may sell her אלמנה לכה"ג (and אלמנה לכ"ג (גרושה לכ"ג
 - i *Question*: how is she an אלמנה? if she accepted her own קידושין, not called אלמנה (meaningless); if her father did, he may not sell her אלמנה מקידושי ייעוד sand we answer it is אלמנה מקידושי ייעוד
 - 1 Note: must follow מיוס בר יוסי בר יהודה (→she wasn't "given for מעות קידושין ' original מעות קידושין לאשות (→she wasn't "given for מעות קידושין
 - 2 Also: must be אירוסין nonetheless, how can father "sell" her after אירוסין?
 - (a) Answer: her אירוסין is different from her father's (can't say about נישואין which is essentially the same)
 - (b) Note: to רנב"י (holds that even ריב"י agrees that original כסף קידושין) attributes ר"א to ברייתא
 - d Question: may the master be מייעד her to his minor son?
 - i Answer: he may not, since ייעוד requires יבם (v. 3 refers to ביאם at 9, whose ביאה is fit; nonetheless doesn't generate חיוב מיתה for מיצוף of that relationship)
 - ii Alternatively: we only require her דעת (as per v. 2 יעדה)
 - 1 Note: that is only according to ירב"י, who maintains that there is need for new קידושין
 - (a) might mean: מעות ראשונות לקידושין ניתנו (even if he holds that, he may require ש"ש left due to והפדה