19.01.25; 27b (וזוקקים את הנכסים) $\rightarrow 29a$ (אטו הדיוט לאו במי שפרע קאי)

1. וּבָאוּ הַמַּיִם הַמְאָרָרִים הָאֵלֶּה בְּמֵעַיִךְּ לַצְבּוֹת בֶּטֶן וְלַנְפִּל יָרֵךְ **וְאָמְרָה הָאִשָּׁה אָמֵן אָמֵן**: במדבר ה, כב

- 2. וְהֹשְׁבִּיעַ אֹתָהּ הַכֹּהֵן וְאָמֵר אֶל הָאִשָּׁה אָם לֹא שָׁכַב אִישׁ אֹתֶדּ וְאָם לֹא שֶׁטִית טַמְאָה **תַּחַת אִישׁדְּ** הָנָקִי מִמֵּי הַמְּרִים הַמְאָרֶרִים הָאָלֶה: *במדבר ה, יט*
 - נ. וְנָקָה הָאִישׁ מֵעָוֹן וְהָאִשָּׁה הַהְוֹא תִּשָּׁא אֵת עֲוֹנַה: במדבר ה, לא
 - 4. וָאָם גָּאל יִגָאַל אָת הַשָּׁדֵה הַמַּקְדִישׁ אֹתוֹ **וְיַסֶף** חֲמְשִׁית **בְּסֵף** עֵרְכָּךְ עָלָיו **וְקָם לוֹ**: *ויקרא כז, יט*
- I Last Clause of 'גלגול שבועה (we can only administer a שבועה); if, however, such a שבועה is being administered, the plaintiff can add in claims he has against the defendant regarding real estate via גלגול שבועה)
 - a Source: סוטה (vv. 1-3) since he can administer an oath even vis-à-vis her behavior as an ארוסה which is off-limits must be done via גלגול שבועה
 - i Question: how can it be applied to ממונא?
 - ii Answer (ק"ו: 'די שמעאל): ק"ו:
 - 1 If: סוטה, which cannot be accused without 2 witnesses (of סחירה), allows for גלגול שבועה
 - 2 Then: certainly ממונות, which can be claimed based on one witnesses (קם הוא לשבועה) allows for גלג"ש
 - iii Challenge: how can we apply טענת ספק to a case of טענת ספק?
 - iv Answer (מקדש) פחנות (the ממונות administered "inside" [מקדש]) equates ממונות, so too ממונות (administered "outside")
 - b Question: extent of גלגול שבועה
 - i רב: claiming that someone is an עבד
 - 1 *challenge*: in such a case, we excommunicate the accuser
 - (a) note: if he calls someone "ממזר", he gets בי"ד "רשע" ahas no recourse but he is considered an enemy
 - 2 rather (רבא): if he claims that someone was sold to him as an עבד עברי
 - (a) challenge: this is a perfectly good claim of financial debt
 - (b) answer: רבא is following his own approach y''y is physically owned by master
 - (i) Challenge: if so, it's the same as קרקע (which, we already know, is accessible via גלג"ש (גלג"ש
 - (ii) Answer: קמ"ל but ע"ע is sometimes sold quietly possibly no קה, but ע"ע has a קמ"ל קול

II חליפין :משנה וו

- i Rule: anything that is exchanged after appraisal (נעשה דמים באחד), once the appraised item is received, the other party takes full ownership of (and is liable for losses to) the bartered item.
- ii *Example*: if an ox was swapped for a cow or a donkey for an ox once the 1st party receives (ox/donkey), the 2nd party is full liable for losses to the (cow/ox).
 - 1 Suggested interpretation (rejected): money is being used here teaching that מטבע נעשה חליפין
 - 2 Rejection: רב יהודה (as per explanation above);
 - Support: examples don't involve money, but two (appraised) animals being swapped
 - (a) Note: according to original (mistaken) understanding, what was the purpose of those examples?
 - (i) Answer: to teach that מירות can be used for חליפין i.e. the meat of the ox for a cow etc.
 - 1. note: this explanation is only valid for ששת (פירות עבדי חליפין), not for ד"ג, not for מירות עבדי חליפין
 - 2. ד' נחמן if he swapped the money of an ox הריפין if he swapped the money of an ox for a cow etc.
 - a. explanation: money can operate in the same way as חליפין
 - 3. note: he must agree with מעות קונות ,מה"ת that מעות קונות (the transfer of money effects the קנין
 - a. משיכה is a rabbinic institution to protect the buyer (as above)
 - b. in this case: they didn't enforce משיכה, since it's an unusual form of barter
 - c. note: according to משיכה is explicit in the תורה must hold like ר' ששת
 - d. comment: this conclusion is only within the (rejected) first understanding of the משנה
- III משנה קנינין :משנה to משנה) interepreted along lines of תוספתא א:ט quoted in גמרא
 - a acquires with משיכה = חזקה) not needed), unlike regular citizenry (משיכה
 - b an oral commitment to הקדש handing it over in a non-setting setting
 - i meaning: his commitment, even regarding something out of reach, immediately transfers it to הקדש
 - ii benefit to הקדש.
 - 1 if: someone wanted to redeem from הקדש, took it and it appreciated before he paid higher value (v. 4)
 - 2 if: he took it and it depreciated before he paid higher value (הדיוט shouldn't be worse off than הדיוט shouldn't be worse off than הדיוט
 - 3 if: he paid more and it depreciated before he took higher amount remains as per v. 4
 - 4 *however*: if he paid less and it appreciated before he took it's redeemed, since even a would have to honor that price (or be under the curse of מי שפרע...הוא יפרע ממי שאינו עומד בדבורו)