19.02.07

47a (אמר רב המקדש במלוה) → 48b (אמר דעתיה אפרוטה)



המקדש במלוה I

- a בי: invalid since a מלוה is given for the borrower's use (מלוה להוצאה ניתנה) →she receives nothing
 - i challenge: apparent dispute between תנאים if it is valid (but all agree that as a vehicle for מכירה it's valid)
 - 1 correction: dispute is in re: his own loan (debt) to her if the promised amount was short
 - (a) validity: she isn't ashamed to claim the rest
 - (b) *invalidity*: she is ashamed to ask for the missing amount
 - (c) challenge: ר"א rules that if he promises 100 for קידושין and gives her 1, it's valid and the rest is owed
 - (i) answer: she'll never be ashamed to collect 99/100 of the debt, but 1/100 she may be embarrassed
 - 2 challenge: if he gives her a פקדון and then declares it to be used for קידושין if it was lost or destroyed but there was a מקודשת left מקודשת
 - (a) dispute: whether this rule applies to רשב"א) or a loan doesn't even require a ש"פ remaining (ת"ק)
 - (b) *response*: the ruling in re: פקדון is also unreasonable:
 - (i) if: she accepted אחריות, then it's the same as a loan
 - (ii) if: she didn't accept אחריות then the ruling should be qualified "only if she didn't accept אחריות
 - (c) rather: invert rulings: ת"ק even if a ש"ע remains, invalid (מקדש במלוה); מקדש equates to פקדון
 - (i) core of the dispute:
 - 1. students of זב, whether a מלוה (that hasn't been spent) is in the domain of the lender
 - a. and: the same applies to מלוה (if the מלוה was destroyed or lost)
 - b. positions: חכמים domain of borrower (→ המקדש במלוה אינה מקודשת domain of lender
 - 2. אונסין: re: אונסין all agree that it is owned by the borrower; dispute only re
 - a. *Challenge*: ר' הונא when used is this שאלה only becomes property of שואל when used is this מח' תנאים?
 - b. Answer: all agree in re: שאלה that is returned as is that without use, it remains ברשות בעלים
- b Suggestion: מקדש בשטר חוב מחלוקת תנאים is disputed (isn't this her own מקדש בשטר him?)
 - i Rejection: this is in re: שטר חוב of others (that owe him, and he transfers it to her); מלוה בשטר in re: מלוה בעל פה
 - 1 Dispute re: מלוה בשטר
 - (a) May be: whether we accept יבי's leniency that אותיות נקנות במסירה OR
 - (and שטר (and שטר asigned over) do we accept "מל שעבודיה" must include "כל שעבודיה" OR
 - (c) All accept שמוא (and that was written) do we accept שמואל that the creditor can מוחל the שמוא after selling OR
 - (d) All accept שמואל: (he could be מוחל does a woman rely on his not forgoing debt?
 - 2 Dispute re: מלוה על פה
 - (a) Do we accept: מעמד שלשתן's ruling in re: מעמד שלשתן (or does it only apply to הלוואה)?)
- c Suggestion: רב's ruling is subject to dispute about קידושין with a שטר invalid; רב''א valid; חכמים only if paper is ש"פ only if paper is
 - i Rejection: case is שטר אירוסין שטר אירוסים are unsure if to rule like ה"מ in re: עדי חתימה in re: עדי מסירה/עדי
 - ii Or: case is שטר קידושין written שטא do we accept ר"ל's ruling (per v. 1) that it must be written לשמה?
 - iii Or: case is שט"ק written לשמה but without her consent dispute among later אמוראים if it's valid
- d Suggestion:בי's ruling subject to קידושין re: קידושין where she requests work on his part: is it valid before she receives it
 - i Rejection: dispute is whether שכירות is paid along the way or only at the end (אינה לשכירות אלא לבסוף)
 - ii Or: all agree that שכירות is paid along the way; dispute whether artisan owns the appreciated value
 - iii Or: all agree that artisan doesn't own appreciated value; in this case, the artisan added some of his own material
 - 1 Dispute: פרוטה on a loan (the כלי (his added material) does she focus on פרוטה or פרוטה
 - 2 Note: follows dispute between ר' and רבי and ר' נתן+חכמים
 - (a) שכירות disagree if שכירות is paid along the way, agree that she focuses on הלוואה
 - (b) מקודשת she focuses on פרוטה, therefore if he adds anything to it מקודשת