19.04.11

79b (משנה י') → 80b (אבל משקים עכורים - לא)

Note: following the model of סוטה, the rule of ספק טמאה is that if the ספק סככעררed in דה"ר, it is הרה"ר, it is הוה, if in a secluded area – ספק טמא השפע, this is only true if the person to whom the ספק טמא happened could be asked about it (as a יש בו דעת לישאל); this is called יש בו דעת לישאל. Otherwise, even in יש בו דעת לישאל.

- נמשנה יי משנה demonstrating מחוס of one's wife under circumstances where no one knows her
 - a case #1: someone goes to מדה"י with wife & returns with wife and sons and claims her to be his wife and they her sons:
 - i ruling: he's believed that they are מיוחסים (since she was already "vetted" when they married)
 - case #2: in above case, he returns with sons and claims that she died overseas
 - i ruling: he's believed that she was מיוחס but not re: the sons
- II משנה יא: same as above, but he left for overseas alone
 - a case #3: he comes back with wife and children, having married her overseas
 - ruling: must bring proof re: the woman, but not re: the sons
 - concept and she case #4: he comes back with sons and claims that he married overseas and she died
 - i *ruling*: must bring proof re: both the woman and the sons
 - c note: all of these (assumption of ייחוס בנים when wife is alive) only apply if they cling to her (demonstrating filiality)
 - i *supporting ברייתא* only needs to bring proof re: adult children
 - ii *caveat*: only if there was one wife; if 2 wives (married one there and she died and this is #2) require proof for all children even קטנים (they may be children of the first wife)
 - 1 limitation (ל"ל): we only accept ייחוסי based on children clinging to wife for ותרומה) but not for יוחסין) but not for יוחסין
 - 2 dissent (ד' יוחטן: we accept it even for
 - (a) Consistency: מב"ד rules that we give מכות based on חזקה (but we don't burn הר' יוחנן based on חזקה)
 - (i) בהודה as per מכות if she was known to be מכות by neighbors, husband can receive מכות on her account
 - (ii) דיבה בר ר' הונא as per רבה בר ר' הונא if people grew up in a house together, they're assumed to be kin → חיוב מיתה 1. supporting story: woman who raised child and then had ביאה with him and was stoned
 - (iii) הין שורפין על החזקות as ר' יוחנן himself rules אין שורפין על החזקות dissents)
 - 1. parallel: their dispute about ruling in re: a baby found next to dough
 - a. ר"מ dough is טהור
 - i. reason: a few babies don't mess with שרצים and the עיסה had a חזקת כשרות
 - b. יטמא dough is טמא
 - i. *reason*: minority of "careful" babies are insignificant; רוב (of babies that play with שרצים) trumps חזקת כשרות (חזקה <רוב)
 - c. *comment of ד"ל*: this is an example of burning תרומה based on חזקה (really רוב
 - d. dissent (ד' יוחנן): this תרומה isn't burned
 - i. but if: dough found in house with טהור) and frogs (טהור) & pieces found in dough:
 - ii. If: majority שרצים dough is טמא, if majority frogs dough is טהור
 - (b) Support for הכמים teaching that there are 2 things that have no חכמים but דעת לישאל equated them with twent דעת לישאל (see note):
 - (i) baby (as above) and
 - (ii) dough found in house with chickens and משקים und there and there were peck-marks in the dough:
 - 1. we regard the dough as תולין) ספק טמא don't eat, don't burn)
 - a. limitation: if the liquids aren't clear, unless we see liquids on dough טהור