20.1.03

5a (האי תנא ירושלמי הוא דתני לישנא קלילא) → 6b (האי תנא ירושלמי הוא דתני לישנא קלילא)

- I Justification of listing of all אבות in the תורה (explanation of לא הרי)
 - a *Meaning of clause #1*: we could not infer any one from any other single one
 - b *Meaning of clause #2*: we could not infer any one from any of the other two:
 - i *However*: if we throw בור into the mix, all could be inferred (from בור and any other) except for קרן
 - 1 *Reason*: קרן doesn't start out מועד
 - 2 But if: we emphasize the perspective of קרן that it is intentional damage it is also inferred from ארבור+1
 - ii Therefore: each was written for its unique consequences:
 - 1 קרן: to distinguish between תם/מועד
 - 2 שן ורגל to exempt damages in רה"ר: רה"ר
 - 3 בור to exempt כלים (if they fall in and are damaged owner of בור not liable)
 - (a) Note: אדם who finds the owner liable in such a case –exempts אדם (if a person falls in and is hurt)
 - 4 בושת, צער, רפוי, שבת: to obligate him to pay the four (extra) payments (בושת, צער, רפוי, בושת, צער, רפוי, אדם
 - 5 אש: to exempt for נזקי טמון (damages to buried items)
 - (a) Note: לר׳ יהודה who finds the igniter liable for נזקי טמון to exempt a case where the fire singed the furrow
- II Analysis of the additional phrase הצד השווה שבהן and what it comes to include:
 - a אב״: a knife (e.g.) let he left atop a roof that fell due to a usual wind and damaged
 - i *Case*: must be that they damaged after falling and the owner relinquished ownership (הפקר)
 - Argument: unlike בור, in that other force (wind) is involved; אש counters, as it has that כח אחר (a) *Counter:* אש typically moves to damage בור counters נוחזר הדין...
 - b בור a moving בור (e.g. a rock that only damaged after being kicked to another place) in the public domain
 - i *Case*: must be that the owner relinquished ownership (הפקר)
 - Argument: unlike אור בור, in that his own actions didn't generate the שור נזק counters, as it also wasn't אור גרמו לו (a) *Counter:* שור typically moves to damage בור counters בור...
 - c רי אדא בר אהבה: refuse from sewers and caves (even if allowed to do so)
 - i Case: must be that they damaged after coming to rest and the owner relinquished ownership (הפקר)
 - Argument: unlike בור, which he had no right to dig; שור counters, as he had the right to walk it in רה"ר (a) Counter: בור typically moves to damage בור ...
 - d רבינא: a tree or fence that fell and damaged after the time that ב״ד assigned him to remove it
 - i *Case*: must be that the owner relinquished ownership (הפקר)
 - 1 Argument: unlike גזק, which is a גזק from its inception; שור counters, as it isn't a מזיק from birth
 - (a) *Counter*: ווחזר הדין typically moves to damage בור counters ...
- III Analysis of the beginning of the final phrase חב המזיק
 - a Rhetorical note: our ירושלמי, who uses abbreviated language ("חייב" should be "חייב")

1