20.10.2

h

112a (תן לחכם ויחכם עוד) → 113a (ת״ר והשיב את הגזילה אשר גזל)

ד. וְהָיָה כִּי יֶחֱטָא וְאָשֵׁם **וְהַשְׁיב אֶת הַגְּוָלָה אֲשֶׁר גָּוָל** אוֹ אֶת הָעֹשֶׁק אֲשֶׁר גָשָׁק אוֹ אֶת הַפָּקָדוֹן אֲשֶׁר הָפְקָדוֹן אֲשֶׁר הָפְקָדוֹן אֲשֶׁר הָצָבָד אָשֶׁר גָאָד מַנָא: *ויקרא פרק ה פסוק כנ* 

- 2. וְאָם שׁוֹר נַגֶּח הוּא מִתְּמֹל שִׁלְשׁם וְהוּעַד בְּבְעָלָיו וְלֹא יִשְׁמֶדֶנוּ וְהַמִית אִישׁ אוֹ אִשָּה הַשּׁוֹר יָפָקֵל וְגַם בְּעָלָיו יוּמָת: שמות פרק כא פסוק כט
  - נ. הַן לְחָכָם וְיֶחְפַם עוֹד הוֹדַע לְצַדִיק וְיוֹסֶף לֶקַח: משלי פרק ט פסוק ט 3
- I Interpretation and application of v. 1: return the גזלה as is
  - a Application: our גולן fed it to his children and died, they are exempt from returning it
    - *But*: if it was intact, they must return it (regardless of their age)
      - i Dissent: סומכוס only adult heirs must return it
      - ii Story: a particular קטן shut a door in the face of ר׳ ירמיה, who claimed that he had bought it from the קטן's father
        - 1 And: he offered to bring witnesses to that effect
        - 2 Block: we cannot bring witnesses without the presence of the בעל-דין (who is a קטן)
        - 3 Response: yes we can, as evidenced by ruling above even קטנים have to return גולה have to return
        - 4 *Rejoinder*: but סומכוס's opinion prevents action against קטן
        - 5 *Comeback*: will everyone rule like סומכוס just to keep me from claiming what is mine?
          - (a) *Next stage*: ראבהו heard about it and responded (in favor of ר' ירמיה) that it parallels case of א קטן who seizes another's slaves we take them away and when he reaches majority he may bring suit to get them back
          - (b) Disanalogy: in that case, he has no חזקת אביי on which to rely, as opposed to our case
- II Tangential discussion: testimony in absence of בעל דין
  - a אבתאי: such testimony is permissible (ר' יוחנן) amazed at statement: explained by ר' יוסי בר חנינא):
    - i Explanation: if either the בע״ד or witnesses were ill; or the witnesses needed to travel and he didn't respond to בע״ד
    - ii Additional support: מר עוקבא concurs explained by מר עוקבא)
      - *Explanation*: if the case already began and he didn't respond to הזמנה; else he can claim he is going to ב"ד הגדול
        (a) *Challenge*: if so, he can even make that claim in the middle of the case
        - (b) Answer (רבינא): if the opposite litigant is holding a certificate from ב"ד הגדול subpoenaing him to that court
  - b *Tangent*: confirming a שטר in absence of בע"ד
    - i *عت*: may be done
    - ii *אי יוחנן* may not be done as per v. 2
    - iii Ruling (רבא): as per אשטר, but if he is claiming that he needs to time to get witnesses to challenge שטר, we allow it
      - 1 If: he comes, fine;
      - 2 *If*: he doesn't arrive, and still says that he plans to come to challenge שטר; we wait M-Th-M,
        - (a) If: he still doesn't come, we write a writ of seizure on his property
          - (i) *However*: we don't seize for 90 days; assuming that for 30 he is trying to borrow; for 30 he is trying to sell his property, and for 30 for the buyer is gathering funds to buy from him
      - 3 Note: all of this applies to loan; re: a פקדון, it is written immediately
      - 4 *Note*: writ of seizure only written on קרקע; if we allow seizure of goods, claimant may spend them and then when defendant successfully challenges , שטר, won't be anything to return
        - (a) Suggestion: if קרקע has אדרכתא we may write מטלטלין on קרקע an be seized back)
          - (i) *Rejected*: land may lose value
          - (ii) *Additionally*: we only wait for defendant to get information, agent to return and then we seize
    - iv שליח ב"ד: we trust שליח ב"ד (to tell us he has informed the accused/defendant) but only for שליח עליח ב"ד. Reason: since he is losing money, as he has to pay סופר need 2 witnesses that he was alerted
    - v Note: we give him time if a woman or neighbor is going to tell him and don't consider him delinquent
      - 1 However: this is only if he isn't in town, or if he needn't pass the "" when returning or isn't returning that day
    - vi אמתא a writ of שמתא due to contempt isn't destroyed until he complies
      - 1 *Rejection:* it is destroyed as soon as he says that he accepts their authority and will comply
    - vii Further regulations of writ of contempt:
      - 1 *Must give 2,7,2 to respond*: only if man, but a woman who isn't working must respond immediately
      - 2 Times we don't issue: עיסן/תשרי or (harvest) or עי"ש ועיו"ט; but we may issue in ניסן/תשרי for afterwards
      - 3 איים who take advantage we do issue רגל זי כלה we don't issue during איים but now, due to רמאים who take advantage we do issue
- III Note on final clause דבר שיש בו אחריות
  - a רבי taught his son ר"ש could even be a cow with which the son is plowing
    - i even applies to a bed upon which he is sleeping (application of this takes wisdom v. 3)