## 20.1.12; 14b (משנה ג') → 15b (לא תשים דמים בביתך)

- 1. בַּעַל הַבּוֹר יְשָׁלֶם כֶּסֶף יָ**שִׁיב** לְבָעָלִיו וְהַמֵּת יִהְיֶה לוֹ: שמות כא, לד 2. דַּבֵּר אֶל בְּנֵי יִשְׁרָאֵל **אִישׁ אוֹ אִשָּׁה כִּי יַעֲשׁוּ מִכְּל חַטּאת** הָאָדָם לְמְעֹל מַעַל בַּה' וְאָשְׁמָה הַנְּבֶּשׁ הַהְוֹא: ב*מדבר ה, ו* 3. וְאֵלֶה הַמִּשְׁפָטִים אֲשֶׁר תָּשִׁים לְבְּנֵיהֶם; שמות כא, א 4. וְאָם שׁוֹר נַנְּח הוּא מִתְּמל שִׁלְשׁם וְהוּעֵד בִּבְעָלִיו וְלֹא יִשְׁמְרֶנוּ **וְהַמִּית אִישׁ אוֹ אִשְׁה** הַשׁוֹר יִסְּקֵל וְגַם בְּעָלָיו יוּמָת: שמות כא, כט 5. כִּי תִבְנֶה בַּיִת חָדָשׁ וְעָשִּׁיתָ מַעֲקָה לְנִגֶּךְ **וְלֹא תָשִּׁים דָּמִים בְּבֵיתֶך** כִּי יִפֹּל הַנֹבֵּל מִמֶּנוּ *זברים כב, ח*
- ו משנה ג': More categorical statements about נזיקין
  - a שום כסף (appraisal of property)
    - i Meaning: all appraisals must be done using כסף as the standard (i.e. goods are not exchanged directly as reparation)
  - b שווה כסף
    - i meaning: not מטלטלין=) itself (מטלטלין=) (other suggestions that which isn't liable for אונאה or bought with מיס are rejected)
      - 1 as per ב"ד :ברייתא seizes land for payment of ניזקן, but if the מטלטלין seized מטלטלין, the מטלטלין may collect from these
      - 2 challenge: v. 1 allows for any goods to be used as payment
      - 3 answer: our משנה refers to seizing from the estate (heirs)
        - (a) challenge: end of ruling stipulates that if the ניזק seizes goods, ב"ד may use for collection not true re: יתמי
        - (b) answer: case where the מטלטלין seized the מטלטלין while the מזיק was still alive
  - c בפני ב"ד
    - i suggested meaning: excluding a case where the מזיק sold his land before coming to ב"ד sold his land before coming to
      - 1 rejection: we always allow for liens to be seized from buyers
    - ii rather: excludes מומחים (i.e. נזיקין may only be judged by מומחים)
  - d ועל פי עדים בני חורין בני ברית
    - i מזיק admitted his guilt before עדים excludes case where עדים admitted his guilt before עדים
      - 1 note: this is valid only if we accept the ruling that מודה בקנס ואח"כ באו עדים he is exempt
      - 2 however: if he is still liable phrase is there for end of phrase בני חורין וב"ב
    - ii בני חורין excluding slaves, even though he is obligated in מצוות
    - iii בני ברית excluding non-Jews, even though they have מיים (i.e. relations and obligation within family)
  - e הוזק women are equal players in the world of נזיקין; sources:
    - i תנא דבי ר"י v. 2 equates men and women for all punishments
    - ii דבי ר"א v. 3 equates men and women for all civil/criminal laws
    - iii חזקיה v. 4 equates men and women for all deaths
      - 1 *Justification*: if we only had...
        - (a) Punishments: סד"א that the תורה helps her w/atonement, but not civil laws (men are involved in business)
        - (b) Laws: she must also live, but מצוות is only paid for man who fulfills מצוות
        - (c) Deaths: the תורה extends it due to loss of life, but not the others קמ"ל
  - f שור תם) they cooperate in payment (שור תם)
    - i Categorization of חצי נזק for תם for

www.dafyomiyicc.org

- ממון ר' פפא ; should really be fully liable, but the תורה gave him "a break"
- 2 ר' הונא בריה דר"יי; should really be fully exempt, but the תורה charged him ½ to ensure that he watch animal
  - (a) Challenge: our משנה rules that the ניזק participates; only appropriate if חצי נזק ממון
    - (i) Answer: refers to פחת נבילה (depreciation comes out of ניזק's pocket)
      - 1. Challenge: פחת נבלה already taught as alluded to in phrase תשלומי נזק
        - a. answer: once for מועד both needed; תם wasn't yet attested, מועד pays all
  - (b) challenge: ברייתא contrasting תם/ omits "not paying for תם by his own admission" (מודה בקנס פטור)
    - (i) answer: list is incomplete also omitted distinction of תם) כופר לעפר doesn't pay יל מופר (כופר אם doesn't pay יל
      - 1. block: follows ריה"ג who maintains that תם (who kills person) pays ½ כופר
  - (c) challenge: if he admits that his ox gored pays, but not if he admits that his ox killed a slave (ס קנס) of 30)
    - (i) answer: entire ברייתא refers to מועד
  - (d) challenge: rule of קנס any payment which is more than he damaged doesn't pay based on his admission
    - (i) implication: if he pays less does have to pay (should've said "anything different than the damages)
  - (even though it was refuted due to wording of ברייתא couldn't state "anything different"
    - (i) reason: 1/2 damages for ממון are ממון (as per הלמ"מ)
    - (ii) implications: if an unusual נזק happens, no collection in דיני קנסות (no דיני קנסות),
      - 1. but: if he seizes property for payment, he may keep it; he may also request a court date in א"י.
      - 2. in any case: he must get rid of מזיק, as per v. 5