20.8.9

91a (גופני קני דקלי, דקלי לא קני גופני) → 92a (איבעיא להו יש אומד לנזקין).

1. או נֶפֶשׁ פִי תַשְׁבַע לְבַשָּׁא בִשְׁפָתַיִם **לְהָרַע או לְהֵיטִיב** לְכֹל אֲשֶׁר יְבַשָּא הָאָדָם בִּשְׁבֵעָה וְנֶעְלַם מִמֶּנוּ וְהוּא יָדַע וְאָשֵׁם לְאַחָת מֵאֵלֶה: *ויקרא פרק ה פסוק ד* 2. **וְאַדְ אֶת דְמְכֶם לְנְפְשׁתֵיכֶם אֶדְרֹש**ׁ מִיַּד כָּל חֵיָּה אֶדְרְשֶׁנוּ וּמִיֵּד הָאָדָם מִיַּד אִישׁ אָחִיו אֶדְרֹשׁ אֶת נֶפֶשׁ הָאָדָם: בראשית פרק ט פסוק ה 3. וְעֵשָׁה הַפֿהֵן אֶחָד לְחַטָּאת וְאֶחָד לְעֹלָה וְכְפֶּר עָלָיו **מֵאֲשֶׁר חָטָא עַל הַנְפָּש**ׁ וְקַדַּשׁ אֶת ראשו בַּיוֹם הַהוּא: במזבר פרק ט פסוק יה

4. וְאִישׁ אִישׁ מִבְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל וּמן הַגֵּר הַגֶּר בְּתוֹכָם אֲשֶׁר יָצוּד צֵיד חַיָּה או עוף אֲשֶׁר יֵאָבָל **וְשָׁפַדְ** אֶת דְמוֹ **וְכִסְהוּ** בֶּעָפָר: ויקרא פרק יו פסוק יג

5. רַק עַץ אֲשֶׁר תַּדַע כִּי לֹא עֵץ מַאֲכָל הוּא אֹתוֹ תַשְׁחִית וְכָרָתָ וּבָנִיתָ מָצוֹר עַל הָעִיר אֲשֶׁר הִוּא עֹשָׂה עִמְךּ מִלְחָמָה עַד רִדְתָּה: *זברים פרק כ פסוק כ*.

Question (following discussion of presenting evidence in ד"ב) – does ב"ד do an assessment for damages or not?

- a Proposed proof: (ה:ה) בור a בור must be י"ט for killing; if less, only liable for damages
 - i Assumption: anything less than 10 generates liability for נזקין
 - ii *Rejection*: it means if it's a bit less than 10, liable for של → we do assess for נזקין
- b Proposed proof: ruling that if a master strikes a slave near his eye and he loses sight (e.g.) doesn't go free
 - i Implication: need for assessment
 - ii *Rejection*: he doesn't go free as we assume that it was his own reaction of fear that caused the loss of sight
 1 *As per*: list of moral culpability without legal liability (חייב בדיני שמים), including hurting near limb leading to loss of use of limb
- c Proposed proof: ruling that the 5 payments are given immediately רפוי ושבת are paid based on assessment (see below)
 - Modification: we all agree that רפוי ושבת require assessment question asked in re: נזק
 - 1 Note: in that case, if he heals quicker or slower, same payment is made addition (or delay) is משמים

d Proof: אבן או אגרוף (see p. 85) – אבן או אגרוף must be brought to court as evidence – for assessment

II Completion of משנה –

i

- a Spittle only applies to hitting skin, not his clothes, even though this is tantamount to verbal shaming \rightarrow verbal shaming isn't liable
- b Ruling that "all is according to his station"
 - i *Question:* is ת"ק lenient (amounts are ceiling) or stringent (amounts are base)?
 - ii *Answer*: from η '' s response (enhancing honor of even the poorest) $\rightarrow \eta$ '' is lenient
- c Story (see above):
 - i *Challlenge1*: we don't allow a delay in payment
 - 1 Answer: delay not allowed for נוק, where there is real financial loss; but allowed for payment of בושת
 - ii *Challenge* 2: in other version, *y*″¬ told him that a person is allowed to hurt himself
 - 1 Answer1: in re: חבלה, not allowed; in re: בושת, permitted
 - (a) Block: in our case, it was בושת and ר"ע stated (as per משנה) that he isn't allowed
 - 2 Answer2: he pointed out that certainly בושת, to which a person may subject himself is still forbidden for another to cause – and generates liability; even חבלה which a person may not do still doesn't generate self-חייב-100 אובלה
 - iii *Challenge3*: a person should be allowed to hurt himself, as per application of v. 1 (להרע):
 - 1 *If*: someone made an oath to "harm" himself, he must carry it through
 - (a) Assumption: means to physically harm himself
 - (b) *Rejection*: means to fast
 - (c) *Block*: this isn't applicable to others
 - (d) *Answer*: it is if he locks someone away without food
 - (e) *However*: in re: "others", example is physical harm
 - (f) Rather: entire issue of permission to harm self is subject to dispute
 - (i) *Question*: who is authority who forbids?
 - 1. Cannot be: application of v. 2 to suicide death is different
 - 2. *Cannot be*: application of v. 3 to clothes perhaps since they don't "heal" (supportive anecdotes)
 - 3. *Rather*: ר״א הקפר in re: רטאת נזיר (v . 4)
- III Final clause of משנה prohibition of cutting down one's own trees ('tho he is exempt)
 - תנא taught in front of בי *if*: מזיק claims to the owner that he asked him to cut down his trees (etc.) exempt
 - Block: this makes all torts untenable; rather, interpret as case of tree about to be cut down (or ox about to be killed)
 - 1 Note: מצוה is wanted to fulfill the מצוה himself (as per v. 5 and ר"ג fining someone who "steals" a מצוה)
 - b $\eta = 1$; if a palm tree produces 1 $\eta = 1$ (or an olive tree $-\frac{1}{4} = -\frac{1}{4}$) may not cut down (as per v. 6)
 - i *However*: if it is more valuable as wood permissible (as per interpretation of v. 6) but deciduous trees come first
 - Stories: of אמוראים directing uprooting of fruit trees for greater benefit or to preserve neighboring trees

а

i

ii