21.3.6

39a~(אמר הונא אין מורידין קטן) \rightarrow 40a~(אמר קמי דקטן הוא)

ז. וַיַּכֵּר יוֹסֵף אֶת אֶחָיו וְהֵם לֹא הָכַּרָהוּ:בראשית פרק מב פסוק ח

- I א'ר' הונא's limitations to rule allowing relative to take control of captive's property
 - a קטן may not take control he may destroy it
 - b A relative may not take control of אין property <u>doesn't know to protest קרוב may take full possession</u>
 - c A relative of a relative may not take control of פסץ's property <u>maternal brother's paternal brother may be מחזיק</u>
 - d Implication: ר' הונא maintains that we cannot effectively take possession of נכסי קטן
 - *Even*: if the possessor stayed on the land for 3 years after he came of age
 - 1 Suggested limitations:
 - (a) Relation: only applies to paternal brothers, who may claim inheritance, but not maternal brothers
 - (b) Property: only applies to land, but not houses, as neighbors will testify that it belongs to קטן
 - (c) Writ: only applies if there is no writ of division granting part of the property; if there is, it is well known
 - 2 Rejection: applies to both types of brother, to houses as well as land and even if there is an עיטדא
 - e Story: exposition: a woman had 2 living daughters, and a grandson from a deceased daughter
 - i event: woman and a daughter were taken captive and their fate was unknown
 - 1 considerations (אביי): we cannot give control to sister, as woman may have died and we cannot give a relative control over נכסי קטן (the baby is an heir of at least 1/3); we cannot give child control as woman may not have died and we cannot put a קטן in control of נכסי שבוי
 - 2 ruling: we give ½ to the free sister and the other ½ is given in trust to the קטן
 - (a) dissent (מבא): since we appoint an אפוטרופוס for the ½, we appoint one for the entire property
 - ii Events: news of the old woman's death came
 - אב"): we give 1/3 to the sister, 1/3 to the baby, then, regarding the portion of the captive sister (whose fate is still unknown), 1/6 is given to free sister and 1/6 is given in trust to סטן
 - (a) Dissent (אבא): since we appoint אפוטרופוס on 1/6, we appoint one over other 1/6 (given to sister)
 - f Story: מרי בר איסק had a fellow show up from בי חוזאי, claiming to be his brother, demanding he share father's land
 - i מרי he may indeed be a brother that מרי doesn't recognize, as per v. 1
 - ii Ruling: new brother must bring witnesses that he is, indeed, a son of איסק
 - 1 Response: cannot do so, as מרי is powerful and witnesses are afraid
 - 2 Ruling: מרי must bring witnesses that he is **not** a brother
 - (a) Challenge (מרי): this isn't the law המוציא מחברו עליו הראיה!
 - (b) Answer (מרי חסדא): it is the law in case of powerful people (like מרי) who frighten witnesses
 - (c) Protest (brother): witnesses will come but be afraid to testify against מרי
 - (d) Response (א' חסדא): they won't go that far (they might evade court, but not lie)
 - 3 Event: witnesses came and testified that the newcomer was a son of איסק
 - (a) Claim: he also claimed half of the orchards and gardens that מרי had improved
 - (b) Ruling (ר' חסדא): in support as per ב"ב ט:ג if adult children improved property, minors share proceeds
 - (i) Challenge (מב"ב): disanalogous; in משני, adults were aware of minors & forgave that half, not so here
 - (ii) Eventually: issue came to די אמי, who ruled in favor of newcomer as per our ruling that a קרוב who is placed in control of missing relative's property is paid like a sharecropper
 - 1. Block (מרי, חסדא): in that case, the ב"ד allowed him to take control; here, מרי took control on his own
 - 2. Additionally: the newcomer was a קטן at the time
 - a. ד' אמי accepted ruling, since he didn't originally know that newcomer had been a קטן