21.5.12 74a (היה הוא תחלה) → 75b (סיום הפרק)

```
ז. אֶת־פַּסְּפְּהְּ לְא־תָתֹּן לְוֹ בְּנֶשֶׁהְ וּבְמַרְבָּיִת לֹא־תַתֹּן אָכְלֶהְ: ״קרא כה:לו
ב. אַל־תַּקָח מֵאְתּוֹ נָשֶׁהְ וְתַרְבָּית וְעָרָאתְ מֵאֱלֹתֵיף וְתִי אָחֶיף עְמַהְי: ״קרא כה:לו
ב. אִס־בַּסְףוּ מֵאְתּוֹ נַשֶּׁהְ וְתַרְבָּת וְעָרָאתְ מֵאֱלֹתֵיף וְתִי אָחֶיף אֲנִי יְבְיּיִהְ אִי בְּיִשְׁה לְא־תָתְּן מְבְּשֶׁל וְיְרָאתְ מֵאֱלֹחֶיף אֲנִי יְנִי הִי: ״קרא יט:יז
לֹצְכְרְי תַשִּׁיף לְאָחִיף לָא תָּתְּן הְבְּבֶּיְ שֵׁרְ לְּאַרְ וְבָרְבְּךְ הְיְ אֱלֹדִיף בְּכֵל מַשְׁלְח יֻבֶּיף עַלְיבָר יִבְּיִי שְׁהָר לָא תִתּן מְבְּשֶׁל וְיְרָאתְ מֵאֱלֹחֶיף בְּכֹּל מַשְׁלְח יֻבָּיף עָבְיבָר הְיִ אֱלְדִי מְבָּלְים בּכֹּי מַשְּׁלְח יֻבָּיף עַלְבְּרָ מְלֵבְי שָׁהְרֹ לְאָחִיף לְאַחָיף לְאִחִיף לְאַחְיִּהְ לְבְּעִוֹ וְבְרַכְף הְיִ אֱלֹהָיף בְּכֹל מַשְּׁלְח יֻבֶּיף עַלְיבְרָ בְּאַבְי שְׁבָּה וְבְּלְיח בָּאְשׁ וּבַמֵּיִם וַתּוֹצִיאָנוּ לֵּוְיָיה: מַהְלֹח יְבֶיף עַלְבְירָ מִלְייִף בְּעִבְּי שְׁבָּבְי בְּבִיי שְׁבָּבְי בְּבְיִבְי בְּבְּי שְׁבְּבְי שְׁבְּבְי שְׁרְ לְבְּלְיתְּי בְּבְּבְי שְׁבְּבְי בְּבְּבְי בְּבְי בְּבְיִבְּי בְּבְיִבְ בְּבְי בְּבִּבְי בְּבְי בְּבָּבְ בְּתְ שֵׁבְיּה וְבְבְּיתְה בְּאָבִי שְׁבָּר הְבָּבְיְם בְּעִבְי בְּבִי בְּרִי בְּיִי בְּבִיי בְּבִי בְּבִי בְּבִי בְּבְי בְּבְי בְּבְי בְּבִי בְּבִי בְּבִי בְּבְי בְּבְי בְּבְים בְּבְּבְי בְּבְיִבְ בְּבְּבְי בְּבִי בְּבִי בְּבְיבְ בְּבְים בְּבְּבְי בְּבְיוֹבְי בְּיִבְיִי בְּעִים בְּבָבְים בְּבְי בְּיִים בְּעִבְּי בְּבְיִבְּי בְּבְיִבְּבְי בְּבְיִבְּי בְּבְיִבְּיִי בְּנִים בְּבְבְיבְ בְּבְיִבְים בְּבְיִבְּבְים בְּבִים בְּבְבְים בְּבָּבְים בְּבְיבְּבְים בְּבָּבְי בְבְּבְים בְּבְים בְּבְים בְּבְיבְים בְּבְבְיוֹב בְּיוֹב בְּבְיבְבְיְבְבְים בְּבְבְים בְּבְבְים בְּבְבְים בְּבְבְיבְבְים בְּבְבְיבְים בְּבְיבְבְיבְבְיבְים בְּבְבְים בְּבְיבְים בְּבְבְים בְּבְבְים בְּבְבְיבְים בְּבְבְיבְבְיבְבְיבְבְים בְּבְבְים בְּבְיבְבְבְבְים בְּבְּבְיבְיבְּבְי בְּבָּבְיבְבְיבְרְבְבְי בְבָבְי בְבְּבְבְי בְבְבְי בְבְבְיבְי בְבְיבְיבְבְיי בְּבְבְי בְבְבְי בְבְבְי בְבְבְי בְּבְבְּי בְבְּבְיבְי בְבְּבְבְבְיי בְבְּבְבְי בְבְּבְבְבְי בְבְּבְבְבְי בְ
```

- I Continued analysis of משנה: if he was the first harvester...
 - a 27. as long as there are only two tasks left in the process; if 3 (or more), may not yet set price
 - b מלאכות if the מלאכות are in man's control, no matter how many may set price; if בידי שמים even 1 may not yet פוסק
 - c Challenge: our משנה allows at דיש still missing 4 steps (including winnowing)
 - if it was already dried out לדב.
 - ii שמואל possible to use a hand-sifter for winnowing
 - d Challenges: from the other three items in משנה
 - i Answer (for all): if some of these steps were already done and the local custom was for the buyer to do last step
 - 1 Note: for שמואל no need for plaster to be set in as long as it is able to be set in
 - e Clay "eggs": ר"מ ברייתא may not set price until the clay "balls" are made; ר' יוסי this only applies with white clay
 - *But*: with dark clay if he doesn't have it, someone else does.
 - ii Story: אמימר paid from the moment that they brought the clay in
 - f Dung: חכמים seem to have same position as ת"ק
 - i Difference: in rainy season (לת"ק always available; חכמים -only in summer months)
- II Expansion on last clause he may set price per "high" rate
 - a Story: a man gave money for dowry and was dispatched by father-in-law (to be) to buy jewelry
 - i In the meantime: the value of the jewels went down fiancé wanted to back out
 - ii שער if the agreement was per שער הגבוה, he must pay per current rate; if not, per original rate
 - iii Challenge (students to קינה): why pay per original rate? It is just money, which was not קינה yet
 - 1 Answer (ר"ב): only meant that מי שפרע obtains;
 - (a) If: they agreed on שער הגבוה, then seller is under ban of מי if he renges; if not buyer is under מש"ם
 - iv *Question*: perhaps this is per שי" who says מעות קונות (above, מד,), and that's why if he didn't set כשער הגבוה, he must pay per original agreement but רבנן would allow him in any case to pay per current (low) price
 - v Answer: we assume that שער limits his ruling to a case where there is one שער, not 2
 - 1 Proof: else, how is there ever a מי שברע on the buyer? Since he can never legally renege, ban never applies
 - (a) Note: we certainly accept that מש"פ applies to buyer, per מכל מקום" of "מכל
 - vi Challenge (שליח (ר' אחא לד' אשי): fiancé is a שליח of the father-in-law; why can't he cancel שליחות?
 - 1 Answer: case where fiancé himself was a salesman (גאונים but see רש"י bot see אמיו of שליח ה
- III משנה permitted to lend to his share-cropper wheat for wheat (סאה בסאה) for seed, but not for food
 - a Per: י"ג; and if the value changed, he would take the lesser amount as a supererogatory practice
 - b אריס permission only until אריס has gone to the field to work it
 - i Explanation: our משנה refers to place where אריס provides seed; regardless of whether he's gone down, valid
 - 1 But: תנא ברא owner provides seed; so, in this case, where the owner is "lending" seed to אריס, until the goes down, owner may cancel relationship →if price goes down, he goes per lower price.
 - (a) But if: the אריס already went down, owner may not remove him →it is a loan →סאה בסאה (::) אסור
 - c ברייתא. a man may borrow a set amount of wheat as long as they set a value;
 - i If: the price goes down, he returns wheat; if appreciates, gives the money value
 - 1 Question: didn't they set a value?
 - 2 *Answer* ("""): if they *didn't* set a value, then if it goes down etc.

IV משנה prohibition of סאה בסאה

- a Prohibited: to lend a כור (e.g.) of wheat in order to pay it back at harvest time
 - i Reason: price of wheat may go up → רבית
- b Permitted: to borrow it if the borrower has wheat but doesn't currently have access
 - i אי הונא. may only borrow per the amount he currently has
 - ii ד' יצחק. as long as he has any wheat, may borrow a lot of wheat
 - Support: ruling that if he doesn't have a drop of wine, may not borrow wine
 - (a) Implication: if he has even a drop, may borrow a lot
- c אמרא prohibits (הלכה ר"נ, but גמרא rejects this position)
 - i Per: הלל rules that a woman may not borrow
 - ii שמואל. we rule against הלל and allow unspecified borrowing (e.g. without measure)
 - iii שבת a group that eats together on מקפיד to have exact divisions violates שבת משקל, מנין מבת מול, משקל, מנין
 - 1 And: violation of lending and borrowing (שבת כג:א) on יום טוב
 - 2 And: per הלל, they violate רבית
 - iv שמואל are allowed to lend each other ברבית!) ברבית
 - 1 Reason: they all know that רבית is prohibited and are מוחל the difference
 - 2 Story: of שמואל borrowing 100 peppers for 120 and confirming that it was ok
 - v ברבית permitted for a man to lend his household members ברבית to let them see what it feels like
 - 1 Rejection: they may end up doing so later in life

ע משנה י: various laws regarding רבית

- Permitted: to "swap" jobs I'll plow with you today and you plow with me tomorrow
 - i However: prohibited if jobs are different (e.g. plowing and sowing)
 - ii And: prohibited to do same jobs during different seasons
 - 1 However: all days of one season are considered the same
- b Extension of דבית. to pre-loan and post-loan, both prohibited
 - i דשב"ג. pre-loan borrower sends gifts explicitly made to encourage lender to lend
 - 1 Post-loan: borrower sends gift, expressly stating that this is for the fact that he held the other's money
 - ii מלוה werbal" רבית such as giving מלוה information in which he has a known interest
 - 1 ברייתא per v. 5 even "verbal" רבית, such as an unaccustomed greeting to מלוה considered רבית

VI משנה יא – range of violaters and violations involved

- a Violaters: include lender, borrower, cosigner, witnesses (per הממים even scribe) are all in violation of:
- b Violations: אל תתן (v1), אל תקר (v2), לא תהיה לו כנושה (v2), אל תקח (v3) לא תשימון עליו נשך (v3) לא תהיה לו כנושה (v4)
 - i אב" lender violates all; borrower violates vv. 4, 5, 6; אבי and עדים violate v3
- c ב"ש .*גרייתא* usurers lose more than they gain:
 - i Furthermore: they imply that מרט" and his תורה were foolish, that had he known how much could be made with interest he never would have forbade it
- d ייד. if someone is owed money and he knows that his debtor doesn't have it, prohibited to pass before him per v3
- e ד' אמי ור' אסי. it is as if he punished him in fire and water (per v7)
- f עדים lending without עדים is a violation of v4 (he may consider denying the debt)
 - i 5"7. also violation of v8
 - ii Story: אטר ועדים wouldn't lend שטר ועדים money, even when time was of the essence, without שטר ועדים
- g ברייתא. there are 3 whose complaints are ignored by the court:
 - i מלוה. someone who has money and lends without עדים
 - ii *קונה אדון לעצמו*. someone who keeps his money under the name of a non-Jew
 - 1 *Or*: someone who writes over his property to his children while he is still alive (they "own" him)
 - 2 Or: someone who has a bad fortune in his own town and doesn't move
 - iii אשתו מושלת עליי. someone whose wife controls him (financially)