21.6.6

81b (שמור לי ואמר לו) → 82b (שמור לי ואמר לו)

ו. הָשֵׁב תָּשִׁיב לוֹ אֶת הַעֲבוֹט כְּבֹא הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ וְשָׁכַב בְּשַׂלְמָתוֹ וּבֵרֶכֶךָ **וּלְדְּ תִּהְיֶה צְדָקָה** לְפְנֵי ה' אֱלֹהֶיךּ: *דברים פרק כד פסוק יג* 

- I Comment on משנה of a משנה if the אומן tells the owner to come pick up his item and bring money ש"ח
  - a הנא if the owner says הנח לפניך he isn't even a ש"ח (no liability)
    - i Question: what if he just says הנח does it mean לפניך or לפניך?
      - 1 Crossed implications: from our משנה → no liability; from ד' → liability (no soliution from here)
      - 2 Suggestion: it follows רבי, קבלת שמירה si חצר assume permission to put in a רבי, קבלת שמירה מו חצר doesn't
        - (a) Rejection: perhaps רבנן only regard it because a חצר is a place of שמירה; perhaps רבנן only regards it not because intent is that owner will bring it in and watch on his own; if השות meant "I'll watch it" no need for רשות
- משנה ז' משנה (presented on :פ: n a loan with a משנה מ"ש for the שכר) משנון for the משנות of lending)
  - a א"ש: if he borrowed money ש"ח: if he borrowed perishable goods ש"ש
    - i Analysis: our משנה is contra ר"א:
      - 1 שבועה and it is stolen, he may take a פשיעה (no פשיעה) and collect debt (ש"ח) and collect debt (ש"ח)
      - 2 ה"ע: borrower can respond משכון was to cover debt; if משכון is gone, debt is erased
        - (a) But: if the loan was made משכון (שעבוד קרקע $\star$ ) משכון was clearly for collection; if lost, debt erased
    - ii Suggestion: perhaps our משנון comports with אוי, that ruling was re: a משנון made at time of debt
      - 1 But: if made at time of collection, clearly for collection and lender is ש"ש
      - 2 Rejection: both (ברייתא and ברייתא) use phrase הלוהו על המשכון → at time of debt
      - 3 Save: our משנה when he borrowed ברייתא בירות when he borrowed money
        - (a) Rejection: from יהודה 's distinction between the two → מ"ק equates them
          - (i) Save: entire משנה may be ר"י, following חסורי מיחסרא
            - 1. Rejection: then our משנה is contra ר"ע (of ברייתא)
            - 2. Rather: indeed, our משנה is contra ר' אליעזר
      - 4 Suggestion: ר"א\ר"ע disagree in case שמואל isn't worth value of debt and they disagree whether to accept שמואל
        - (a) משכון erases entire debt
        - (b) Rejection: no one accepts שמואל's position if משכון is worth less than debt
          - (i) משכון lose nothing; ד"ע. lose as per value of משכון only
          - (ii) Perhaps: disagreement in case where it is worth the debt, whether we accept יצחק:
            - 1. בע"ח בע"ח acquires the משכון as per v. 1
              - a. Rejection: משכון only stated this in case where משכון is made after loan
          - (iii) Rather: everyone accepts משכון s ruling in case משכון was made later
            - 1. Disagreement: whether to regard ש"ש with משכון with משכון) as ש"ש or משכון ש"מ with ש"ש:
              - a. ש"ח ::שומר אבדה :*רבה*:: ש"ח
              - b. ש"ש::שומר אבדה :*ד' יוסף*
              - c. Suggestion: is יוסף's position dependent on ר' יוסף')?
              - d. Rather: everyone accepts ר' יוסף
              - e. Dispute: whether to regard a loan, where מצוה that he will use as a מצוה that he will use as a מצוה
                - i. r'' it is still the מצוה of הלואה
                - ii. ה"א he's benefiting himself (to use משכון) no מצוה → not ש"ש (no שכר מצוה (שכר מצוה)
  - b אבא a lender may rent out the משכון of a poor man that he is holding and have the debt decrease with use
    - Note: הלכה כא"ש and he only applies it to items which bring in significant rent and have little depreciation (e.g. tools)