21.7.6; 88b (אשכחן אדם במחובר ושור בתלוש) → 89b (כי קבצם כעמיר גרנה)

1. כִּי תָבֹא בְּכֶרֶם רֵעֶךְ וְאָכַלְתָּ עֻנְבִים כְּנַפְשְׁךְ שִׁבְעֶךְ וְאֶל כֶּלְיְךְ לֹא תִתַּן: דברים פרק כג פסוק כה
2. כִּי תָבֹא בְּקָמַת רֵעֶךְ וְקַטְפְתָּ מְלִילֹת בְּיֶרְךְ וְחֶרְמֵשׁ לֹא תָנִיף עֵל קְמַת רֵעֶךְ: דברים פרק כג פסוק כו
3. אַל תַּחְסם שׁוֹר בְּדִישׁוֹ: דברים פרק כה פסוק ד
4. לֹא תַחְסם שׁוֹר בְּדִישׁוֹ: דברים פרק כה פסוק ד
5. וְהַמָּה לֹא יָדְעוּ מַחְשְׁבוֹת ה' וְלֹא הֵבִינוּ עֻצָּתוֹ כִּי קַבְּצָם כֶּעָמִיר גֹּרְנָה: מִיכה פרק ד פסוק יב

- I Continuation of investigation of source for אכילת פועלים
 - a given: finding rights of human worker to eat במחובר (vv. 1-2) and animal בתלוש (v. 4)
 - b Search: source for inverse (אדם בתלוש, שור במחובר)
 - i Search A אדם בתלוש
 - 1 Solution #1: שור from שור
 - (a) Block: חסימה of חסימה, which doesn't apply to כנפשך (as per כנפשך)
 - 2 Solution #2: double-mention of קמה (v. 2) extra is applied to אדם בתלוש
 - (a) Alternative source (מסוק as v. 1 could even apply to a porter מסוק, as v. 1 could even apply to a
 - ii Search B שור במחובר
 - 1 Solution #1: אדם from אדם
 - (a) Block: we are obligated to sustain fellow people, not oxen (as per v. 3)
 - שור במחובר (v. 1) extra is applied to שור במחובר
 - c Alternative source for both (רבינא): v. 4 doesn't have to mention שור (could've stated לא תדוש בחסימה); we could infer שבת and apply to all animals; stated in order to compare muzzler with muzzled & vice-versa:
 - i *Just as*: muzzler may eat במחובר, so may muzzled (שור)
 - ii And just as: muzzled (שור) may eat בתלוש, so may muzzler (אדם)
- II Exegesis on v. 4 use of דיש
 - a ברייתא#1: unique feature of דיש grows from ground, excluding milking, making cheese etc.
 - i Challenge: unneeded, as v. 1 indicates a vineyard
 - 1 Answer: סד"א that v. 2 (קמה) extends to all בעלי קומה, might even extend to non קמ"ל גידולי קרקע
 - b ברייתא, excluding pruning (e.g.) , גמר מלאכה, excluding pruning (e.g.)
 - i Challenge: unneeded, as v. 1 already states ואל כליך, indicating time of harvest
 - 1 Answer: סד"א in case of pruning by thinning out field via early-harvest, קמ"ל
 - c ברייתא #3: unique feature of דיש worker may eat only of that which isn't process-complete for תרו"מ, excluding separation of fruit stuck together, which have already become liable for מעשרות workers don't eat
 - i Challenge: ruling that a worker may eat from such separated dates/figs
 - 1 Resolution (מ"מ): that's in re: bad dates that are pickled, such that separation isn't גמר מלאכה
 - d ברייתא #4: unique feature of איד worker may eat only of that which isn't process-complete for חלה, excluding kneading etc.
 - i Challenge: but in such a case it has already become liable for תרו"מ
 - 1 Answer: ruling in re: מעשרות, where there is no liability of מעשרות
 - (a) Blcck: חר"ל n, there is no liability for חלה either
 - 2 Rather: ruling is in re: first 14 years in the Land, when איז שמא already in effect, but מרו"מ not yet
 - (a) Block: liability for מעשרות isn't the issue, rather גמר מלאכה
 - 3 Rather (*רבינא*): enfold א ברייתא within #3 limited to things which aren't yet process-complete for חלה & תרו"מ
- III Question: is warming up the kernels of grain considered a violation of ענבים ודבר אחר or not
 - a Attempted answer: the employer and workers are each allowed to manipulate the food to increase/decrease appetite
 - i Rejection: using other food to affect workers isn't the question, is using other food to enhance food permissible?
 - ii Answer; workers may wait to eat until they get to sunny corner of vineyard, but may not heat them up
 - 1 Rejection: that is due to time wasted from work; if family members are there to heat it up, is it permissible?
 - iii Answer: ruling that he can't heat up, break on a rock or bury in ground
 - 1 Rejection: that, again, is due to time wasted from work (evidenced by use of rock doesn't sweeten food)
 - (a) Defense: it certainly sweetens it, if only a little
 - iv Answer: workers may not dip in salt (rejection: dipping in salt is certainly "other food", may not be about מהבהב
 - 1 Challenge: workers may dip in salt
 - (a) Resolution #1 (אביי vs. א"י א"י (in א"י, dipping considered מעשרות for מעשרות)
 - (b) Resolution #2 (רבא): if he takes two at a time, dipping in salt is קובע למעשרות as per v. 5