21.7.7

(תנו רבנן: פרות המרכסות בתבואה) → 90b (תנו רבנן: פרות המרכסות בתבואה)

- ז. לא תַחָסם שור בִּדִישוֹ: דברים פרק כה פסוק ד
- 2. לא תקלל חרש ולפני עור לא תתן מכשל ויראת מאלהיד אני ה': ויקרא פרק יט פסוק יד
- בּיַיַן וְשֶׁכֶּר אַל תֵּשְׁתְּ אָבֶּיָך אָתָּךְ בְּבֹאֲכֶם אֶל אֹהֶל מוֹצֵד וְלֹא תָמֻתוּ חָקַת עוֹלָם לְדֹרֹתֵיכֶם: וּלֲהַבְדִיל בֵּין הַקּדֵשׁ וּבֵין הַטָּמֵא וּבִין הַטָּמֵא וּבִין הַטָּמוּר:י*יקרא יִיט*׳
 - I Continuation of investigation of the transfer (v. 1)
 - a Technically: if threshing in soaked grain (already had מעשר (if taken early) no violation of מעשר (if taken early) no violation of מעשר
 - i However: as a precaution against מראית העין, we bring a basket of the same grain and put around her neck
 - 1 Dissent: כרשינין bring her כרשינין, which is better than anything else
 - b Challenge: ruling that if they are threshing in מעשר or מעשר, violation applies
 - i Resolution #1 (תרומה): violation applies to גידולי תרומה
 - ii *Resolution #2 (מעשר)*: (can't answer חולין, as they are strictly חולין and wouldn't be called "מעשר"), as they are strictly
 - 1 Rather: violation applies to מעשר ראשון (which is treated as חולין
 - 2 Or: both refer to מע"ש; violation only applies following ממון הדיוט) ר' יהודה contra (ממון גבוה) (ממון גבוה)
 - (a) Challenge: since we must interpret case as where he declared מעשר before threshing, how does ר"י permit
 - (i) Explanation: must be eaten within walls of לא תחסום doesn't negate לא תוכל לאכול בשעריך לאכול בשעריך
 - (b) Answer: he was threshing inside walls
 - 3 Or: violation only applies to מעשר דמאי, not מעשר וודאי
 - (a) Note: we could answer same for תרומה,
 - (i) Block: there is no תרומת מאי were careful about עמי הארצות were careful about תרו"ג
 - (ii) Save: may refer to תרומת מעשר דמאי
 - c Question: what if the animal immediately excretes what it eats, must be continue to allow it to eat
 - i `lemma1: is it due to nutritional benefit which doesn't happen here OR
 - ii Lemma2: is it because the animal sees the food and is pained by not eating which is alleviated here nonetheless
 - 1 Answer (רששת): from רשב"י s ruling (above), due to nutritional benefit (doesn't apply here)
 - d Snippet of ברייתא above: when the owner is not the muzzler and only one is ישראל
 - i If: the owner is ישראל and the muzzler a non-Jew no violation
 - ii If: the owner is a נכרי and the muzzler a ישראל violation
 - 1 *Question*: is it permissible to tell a non-Jew to muzzle
 - (a) Lemma1: אמירה לנכרי only an issue vis-à-vis שבת, which is severe OR
 - (b) Lemma2: does it apply here as well
 - 2 *Proof:* from אסור לכתחילה which notes *no violation* \rightarrow but it is אסור לכתחילה for the נכרי to muzzle a נישראל ox
 - (a) Rejection: even permitted; issue of violation is in parallel with סיפא, where there is a violation
 - iii Related question: asked of ישראלים' who arrange for non-Jews to steal and neuter their cattle (to improve them for plowing) what is the status?
 - 1 *Answer*: we fine them for "trickery" and must sell cattle
 - 2 Note: א"י follows ר ה' חידקא non-Jews are banned from neutering, which makes this a violation of v. 2
 - (a) Suggestion (רבא): they must sell for שחיטה (significant loss)
 - (i) Rejection (אביי): the fine of selling them at all is enough
 - 3 Note: we understand that his adult son is an "other" and selling to him permits using the neutered cattle
 - (a) Question: is selling to his minor son a viable method?
 - (b) ד' אחי. forbidden; ר' אחי permitted
 - (c) Note: מרימר ומר זוטרא used to swap their cattle and use them for plowing
 - e Questions of מב"ח if the animal got a thorn stuck in its mouth (and can't eat from the דישה), must the owner remove it?
 - i And: if a lion sat over the cattle and frightened it from eating must the owner move it away?
 - ii And: what if the owner put it's young nearby, or it was very thirsty or he put smooth pelt over the דישה -
 - 1 Possible solution of last one: owner may starve cow and borrower may feed extra to control what it eats from דיש
 - (a) Rejection: in those cases, it is eating amount is being manipulated
 - f Question asked of ד' סימאי, what if he muzzled the animal outside of the ד' סימאי? Is the emphasis on ברישו
 - i Answer: v. 3 teaches that entering drunk not necessarily drinking in the מקדש, is the violation
 - ii Parallel: when entering the מקדש, should be no inebriation; when threshing, should be no muzzling