21.9.5

106b~('ז משנה ז') אורא ורתקא  $\rightarrow 107b~($ משנה ליה שורא הא - דלא מהדר ליה שורא ורתקא, הא - דלא מהדר ליה שורא ורתקא,

- 1. שְּאֵרִית יִשְׂרָאֵל לֹא יַעֲשׁוּ עַוְלָה וְלֹא יְדַבְּרוּ כָזָב וְלֹא יִמָּצֵא בְּפִיהֶם לְשׁוֹן תַּרְמִית כִּי הַמָּה יִרְעוּ וְרָבְצוּ וְאֵין מַחֲרִיד: צ*פניה פרק ג פסוק יג* 
  - 2. בָּרוּךְ אַתָּה בָּעִיר וּבָרוּךְ אַתָּה בַּשָּׁדֵה: דברים פרק כח פסוק ג
  - 3. בָּרוּךְ אַתָּה בְּבֹאֶךְ וּבָרוּךְ אַתָּה בְּצֵאתֶךְ: דברים פרק כח פסוק ו

- I משנה ז' paying חכירות from the field itself
  - a If: he rented a field for 10 פור per year and it suffered, he needn't buy good wheat but may pay from the yield
  - b And if: the field yielded excellent wheat, he may not pay from other wheat but must pay from the field
    - i Story: man leased field to plant feed, it produced it and then he plowed it and planted barley which had blight
      - 1 Ruling: in this case, the land "did its job"
    - ii Story: man leased orchard for 10 barrels of wine, which went bad (vinegar)
      - 1 Consideration: perhaps, following משנה, he pays from these (bad) barrels
      - 2 Ruling (ד' אשי): in this case, the land "did its job" and he must pay with good
        - (a) However: אי אשי agrees in a case where the grapes became wormy or the sheaves were blighted
- וו משנה ח': changing the terms of the
  - If: he leased a field to plant barley, he may not change to wheat (harder on field) but may change wheat  $\rightarrow$  barley
    - i Dissent (דשב"ג): may not change in either direction (as per v. 1)
  - b If: he leased a field to plant grain he may not plant legumes (harder on field), but may change legumes  $\rightarrow$  grain
    - i Dissent (דשב"ג): may not change in either direction (as per v. 1)
      - l Challenge (די חסדא: ו"כ" allows moneys given for פורים to be used by poor man for whatever he chooses
        - (a) *Answer (אביי*): as per ר' חסדא own instruction: rotating crops is bad for the field (unless he plows twice between harvest and planting)
    - ii *Note*: in בבל, they taught the opposite (grain →legumes is ok)
      - 1 Resolution: in בבל, legumes are easier on the land than grain
    - iii Tangential rulings of רבין בר ר"נ (to רבין בר ר"נ):
      - 1 cress growing among flax isn't considered גזל (if taken)
        - (a) Reason: it helps the flax as the cress destroys some of it
        - (b) *However*: if it grows on the border of the property it is
          - (i) And: if it hardens for sowing, in any case, considered גזל
          - (ii) Reason: whatever loss was incurred happened already
      - 2 Neighboring fruit trees: some belong to this owner, some to the other owner
        - (a) As per: דב treesplanted on the border are owned by whichever side the branch leans to
          - (i) Dissent (שמואל): split
          - (ii) Challenge (to 27): if a tree is on the boundary, the owners split the fruit
          - (iii) Response (שמואל on behalf of ירב that's in case the tree fills the entire width of the boundary
            - 1. Challenge: in that case, the split is obvious
            - 2. Justification: case where the weight of the tree leans in one direction
              - a. Reason: other can argue why divide it widthwise, divide it breadthwise
      - 3 Advice about אין הרע. don't buy land near city (as per רב's dictum about not looking at another's field with grain fully ripe)
        - (a) Challenge: דב interpreted vv. 2-3 as a blessing that included having the field near the city
          - (i) Note: שכר פסיעות as proximity of בית הכסא to the table; so as to increase שכר פסיעות
          - (ii) Answer: if the field is fenced, it is better to have it near town; if not, better to be afar