21.10.1

116b (משנה א) → 117b (משנה א)

ז. וְיוֹסֵף הוּרֵד מִצְרֵיְמָה וַיִּקְגֵּהוּ פּוֹטִיפַר ּסְרִיס פּרְעָה שַר הַטַבָּחִים אָישׁ מִצְרִי מִידּ הַיִּשְּמְעֵאלִים אֲשֶׁר הוֹרְדֶהוּ שֲׁמָּה. בראשית לט:א

- I משנה : Division of remains of collapsed building among owners
 - a If: a (floor-level) house and loft belong to two partners and the entire structure collapsed
 - b Then: they split the materials (wood, stone, dirt)
 - c But: we assess which stones were more likely to have shattered (based on direction of collapse)
 - i *Implication*: we can tell if they were crushed or fell over so why split (evenly?) in the first clause?
 - 1 Argument: if they fell over, the upper ones broke; if they crushed, the lower ones broke
 - 2 Answer: if it fell at night and were removed by anonymous passersby before morning
 - (a) Challenge: why not see in whose domain they fell; other is considered מוציא מחבירו
 - (b) Answer: if it is in a joint or public domain, or since they are partners, רשויות aren't reckoned
 - d If: one of them claimed to recognize his (unshattered) stones, he takes them and they reckon those when dividing rest
 - i Question: what does the other claim?
 - 1 If: he assents, it is obvious that the first one keeps them
 - 2 *If*: he dissents, why should the first one keep them?
 - 3 Rather: must be that the other one says that he doesn't know (שמא ע ברי)
 - (a) *Note*: this should be a challenge to אר" who ruled (contra רב יהודה) that if A claims money from B and B says he doesn't know if he owes it that B is exempt
 - (b) Block: ר"נ explains our case as a case where there was already עסק שבועה between them
 - (i) Example (רבא): A claims B owes him 100; B admits to 50 and doesn't know about other 50 1. Since: B is liable for מודה במקצת) but can't swear ("איני יודע") must pay
 - ii The reckoning: רבא assumed that he counts them parallel to broken ones;
 - 1 Evidently: רבא holds that his response of איני יודע harms him
 - 2 אמניי the first one's position is worse since he recognizes these few, he doesn't recognize others
 - (a) Rather (אביי): he reckons them against other full stones
 - (b) Yet: the first one gains in that he gets good rectangular stones (etc.)
- II משנה ב: Rights of the lost-resident who is renting in case the floor is opened up
 - a If: loft-floor opens and owner doesn't want to fix it, the loft-resident may come and live downstairs until he repairs it
 - i יינסי. the owner is responsible for the ceiling (beams etc.) and the loft-resident for the roof/floor (plaster etc.)
 - b Discussion: how much had to open to generate this ruling?
 - \mathbf{z} a majority of the floor if only 4x4 opens, he can live partially upstairs and partially below
 - ii שמואל. 4x4 we can't ask him to live partially above and partially below
 - c אלייה (if it was עלייה it's gone; if עלייה he can rent other) original rental had to be termed as "this loft which I'm renting to you –if it's up, stay there; if it comes down, come down with it"
 - i Challenge: then it should be obvious that he can come down and live there
 - ii Rather (יב אשי): terms were "this loft over this house" made house "encumbered" to loft
 - iii Parallel: man sold arbor over peach tree; tree was uprooted; ר' חייא ruled that owner must plant new one
 - d אבא בר ממל 'r's questions: when he descends, does he live there alone or with house owner?
 - i Argument: house owner can claim that he never rented loft to be ousted from his own house
 - ii *If*: we accept that argument, does loft-resident keep entering through roof (as it was originally)
 - 1 *Or*: can he argue that he never agreed to go up (outside) and down (inside)?
 - 2 If: we accept that argument, if there were 2 lofts and floor between them broke, can owner make him live on top or can renter claim that he never agreed to going up 2 floors? מיקו
 - e Story and analysis: upstairs was leaking into downstairs and causing damage
 - i Dispute: רחב"א upper resident must repair; ה' אלעי downstairs resident must repair (hint: v. 1)
 - ii Suggestion: they're adopting positions of (ב"ב בי(א) רבנוער"י as to whether ניזק ים מזיק must distance self
 - 1 Rejection: ניזק holds ניזק must move (unlike his position here)
 - 2 Rather: local dispute (ר"י/רבנן) is what is the purpose of the flooring to support roof (→on lower דייר
 - (a) Or: is it to even out holes in floor (\rightarrow on upper resident)
 - (b) Challenge: ר' יוסי agrees that if the "arrows" cause מזיק must move
 - (c) Answer: in this case, the water pooled up and then fell (not גירי דיליה)

- III משנה ג: Rights of the renter if the entire house w/loft collapses and owner refuses to rebuild
 - a Upper renter: may may build the house and live in it until the owner pays him for his expenses
 - b הודה. he would then have to pay rent to the owner
 - i *Rather (י' יהודה)*: the renter builds both stories, roofing the upper, and lives in the lower house rent-free until the owner pays him
 - c יוחען. in 3 places ר' יהודה taught that a person is not allowed to get a "free ride" from another's property
 - i One: our case
 - 1 Rejection: while he lives in the house, his use of it depreciates it
 - ii *Two*: ב"ק ט:ד regarding the dyer who dyed wool the wrong color (dyer gets lower of appreciation or expenses)
 - 1 Rejection: perhaps it is because the dyer was מנ"מ ו:ב) כל המשנה ידו על התחתונה and
 - iii Three: ב"ב י:ה if someone paid off part of his debt and entrusted the שטר to a middleman, saying "if I don't pay rest until a set date, return שטר to lender" יוסי allows it; יוסי מלווה טו שטר לווה שטר מלווה טו שטר
 - 1 Rejection: perhaps it is simply אסמכתא (אסמכתא לא קניא ר' יהודה)
 - d *יעולא*: modifications to original design
 - i If: lower wants to change to larger stones, better wood, fewer windows or to lower the building- we allow it
 - 1 But: if he wants to change to smaller stones, weaker wood, more windows or raise building –don't allow
 - 2 *Inverse*: is true for changes proposed by upper resident
 - e ימין ''s ruling: if neither of them have land below, the lower resident gets 2/3 of the material, upper gets 1/3.
 - i Dissent (אחרים): lower gets 3/4 and upper gets 1/4.
 - ii רבה. we favor ירי נתן s ruling since the loft typically takes up 1/3 of the house