## 22.1.05

6a (סמך לו כותל אחר)  $\rightarrow 6b$  (אינו זקוק לו)

- I Analysis, expansion and application on סיפא if he built an adjacent wall, he must now pay his share for all
  - a Liability if he builds a partial wall (רש"יי either covering part of the width *or* part of the height)
    - i ה"ה: liable for all (but he accedes in the case where he built onto the corner of the wall)
    - ii only liable for area corresponding to what he built (ד"ג מודה that beams, set for adding on, obligate full payment)
  - b ר' הונא: if A put in windows, doesn't prove that B already paid in; A may anticipate B paying; wants to maintain structure
  - r: if the neighbor has been allowed to lay his light beams on the wall, that doesn't give him rights to lay heavy beams
    - i However: if he has been allowed to lay his heavy beams on the wall, he has a חזקה for the light beams
    - ii Dissent (ק"י יוסף): שיקה works in both directions
    - iii Variation: ר"ג agrees and חזקה works in both directions
  - d ב"נ: if the neighbor has allowed him to let his rain spout go in to his אחדה for rain drops not the inverse
    - i Dissent: ר' יוסף allows for חזקה in both directions
    - ii *Variation:* ה"ז agrees and חזקה works in both directions
      - 1 But: that doesn't allow him to make a slanted roof which will direct water into other's חצר
      - 2 Dissent: ר"י allows even that (and ruled that way in practice)
  - e בה בר אבוה: if someone rents an apartment in a large building, he may use parts of the wall (and beams) up to א"ד from his apartment
    - i But: he may not use the garden
    - ii אר"ל (his own opinion): he may use the garden, but not the back yard
    - iii דבא. he may even use the back yard
  - f בינא a beam used to support a roof, left leaning on another's wall, isn't a חזקה until 30 days (owner need not protest)
    - i However: if it is a סוכה during the חזקה, it is a חזקה after 7 days
      - 1 But if: he cemented it on, the חזקה is immediate
  - g אביי: solution to privacy problem for rooftops facing each other with הרה"ר in the middle
    - i Each rooftop makes a parapet covering a bit more than ½ the width, with a slight overlap
      - ו Challenge: this is true even if it is רה"י
      - 2 *Answer*: this is needed, since one owner could tell the other that, in any case, he needs to erect a barrier from the public
        - (a) *Response*: public only sees during the day, and only when he is standing and only if they look intently; whereas neighbor can see at any time and with ease, even when other fellow is seated
      - Justification: if one built his half, other could pay him to build all of it; קמ"ל that he must share the burden of weakening his building with the parapet.
  - h (בשם שמואל): if someone's roof is adjacent to another's חצר, he must build a parapet א"ז: if someone's אוויד ווינ (בשם שמואל).
    - i *But*: not to separate rooftops
    - ii Dissent (י טפחים on his own): only need י' טפחים high
      - 1 *Challenge*: what is the purpose of מ"ט?
        - (a) If: for היזק ראיה, we require ד"א
        - (b) If: marking off the boundary (to prevent a גנב from "slipping in") than even a reed-marker is enough
        - (c) If: to keep animals out, all that is needed is to keep them from coming in at one shot
      - 2 Answer: to mark the boundary; if a simple reed-fence is there, he may move it, claiming it stretched
      - 3 Challenge: we have a ruling that if a מצר was higher than a rooftop, there is no requirement at all
        - (a) *Correction*: no requirement of א"ט, but there is a requirement of י"ט, but there is a requirement of י"ט
  - i ארונא are adjacent, one higher than the other, the lower one builds up and the higher one builds from his floor up
    - i Dissent: ר"ת the upper one must assist the lower in his fence (support from ברייתא).
      - 1 Addendum (in א חצר if his חצר was higher than a rooftop, no requirement (explained above)