22.3.19

52b (במה דברים אמורים) $\rightarrow 53b$ (אף פנימי לא קנה)

- I Analysis exclusionary clause of חזקת ג' שנים משנה only needed for "מחזיק"
 - a Challenge: all of these are "מחזיק" what is the contrast?
 - b Answer: משנה is deficient and should be completed as follows:
 - i "this only applies to": a case where there is a claim (and a challenge), e.g. purchase
 - ii "but in case where": there is no claim, e.g. a gift, brothers dividing an estate or seizing נכסי הגר where there is no challenge to the ownership,
 - 1 then: if he puts up a door, a fence or makes an opening of any size immediate חזקה
 - c variation: in פרץ זס (נעל גדר added that any נעל גדר in the presence of seller/giver is valid
 - i inference: if the מקנה isn't present, this isn't sufficient
 - 1 explanation (לכא): if he is present, he need not confirm with "לך חזק וקני"; if absent, he must do so
 - ii question (רב): does this also apply to a מתנה (or only to מכירה)?
 - 1 Response (שמואל): why the question? If מכר is receiving money, still requires לך חזק וקני, certainly a מתנה requires it
 - 2 Defense: דב maintains that a gift is always given generously (בעין יפה) may be unnecessary
- II Clarification of amount of building to be done to be considered כל שהוא states משנה נעל גדר ופרץ
 - a שמואל: if he added to a fence so that it was now 10 שמואל high, or made an opening that he could go through
 - i question about the fence: if it was impassable and is now impassable he didn't accomplish anything
 - 1 and if: it was originally passable and now impassable he did a lot (not "כל שהוא")
 - 2 answer: it was originally easily impassable and now only with great difficulty
 - ii question about the opening: if it was accessible and now accessible he didn't accomplish anything
 - and if: it was originally inaccessible and now passable he did a lot (not כל שהוא)
 - 2 answer: it was barely passable and now easily accessible
 - b יוחנן: if he added a stone or took one away from the fence and it helped קנה
 - i question: what does this mean
 - 1 proposal: that it means that he put a stone in to keep water out or took one out to allow water out
 - (a) rejection: this is just helping, as anyone would do for a fellow, and doesn't prove ownership
 - 2 rather: he added a rock which (brought water into his field רמב"ם) (kept water in his field רמב"ם) or he took one away which allowed more water to come in
- III ר' יוחנן: two fields with a boundary between them
 - a if: he was מחזיק on the field he intended to acquire valid
 - b if: he was מחזיק on one, intending to acquire both only the one he possessed is his
 - c but if: he was מחזיק on one intending to acquire the other neither is his
 - i spinoffs:
 - 1 אירא. what if he made חזקה on one to possess both and the boundary
 - (a) lemma1: the land is all one, including the boundary
 - (b) lemma2: each property is independent and he acquires nothing תיקו
 - 2 ד' אלעזר. what if he seized the boundary itself
 - (a) *lemma1*: the boundary is like the reins of both properties and he acquires both OR
 - (b) lemma2: the boundary is independent and he acquires nothing תיקו
 - parallel רבה בר אבוה , quoting רבה בר two houses, one inside the other
 - *if*: he took possession of the outer one in order to...
 - (a) acquire it this is valid (only for חיצון)
 - (b) acquire both only valid for חיצון
 - (c) acquire the פנימי he has nothing
 - 2 *if*: he took possession of the inner one in order to....
 - (a) Acquire inner one valid
 - (b) Acquire both valid for both
 - (c) Acquire outer one he gets nothing