22.3.21

55a (אמר רבה הני תלת מילי) $\rightarrow 56a$ (אמר הני תלת מילי)

ַ וַיַּעַל מֹשָׁה מִעַרְבֹת מוֹאָב אֶל הַר נְבוֹ וֹאשׁ הַפְּסְגָּה אֲשֶׁר עַל פְּנֵי יְרֵחוֹ וַיַּרְאֵהוּ ה' אֶת כֶּל הָאָרֶץ אֶת הַגּּלְעַד עַד דְּן: וְאֶת כָּל נַפְּמָּלִי וְאֶת אֶרֶץ אֶפְרִיִם וֹמְנַשֶּׁה וְאֶת כָּל אֶרֶץ יְהוּדָה עַד הַיָּם הָאִחָרוֹן: וְאֶת הַבָּבֶר נְאֶת הַבְּכֶּר בְּקְעַת יְרַחוֹ עִיר הַתְּמָרִים עַד צֹעַר*: דברים לד, א-ג*

- I 3 dicta of שמואל as preserved by רבה
 - a דינא דמלכותא דינא
 - b The standard of sharecropping contracts is 40 years beyond that is a חזקה
 - c If tax collectors sell land that they've seized for collection the sale is valid
 - i Note: only for property tax (טסקא) but not "head tax" (כרגא)
 - 1 Reason: head tax is a personal liability and divorced from his property
 - ii Dissent (ר' הונא בריה דר"יי): everything he owns (even "barley in the jar") is under lien for כרגא
 - 1 Challenge (אמימר): if so, we've erased ירושת בכור
 - (a) Explanation: since a בכור only takes double in that which father held, free and clear, before death, and now nothing is free and clear (only "ראוי לבוא לאחר מיתה") no פי-שנים
 - (b) Response: that could even be true about property tax but we can answer that if he paid and then died
 (i) Similarly: he could have paid מרגא and then died (and all property is free and clear for ירושת בכור)
 - (c) Report: רבא's scribes claimed הלכה follows ר' הונא בריה דר"י but that isn't the case, they were just trying to confirm their own שטרות of sale of such properties that were seized by כרגא -collectors and sold
 - d Related ruling of פרדכת a ברדכת (someone who isn't working and pays no income tax) must help community with the tax
 - i Note: only if they relieved him of his share; if the tax collectors forgave it, this is סייעתא דשמיא and he needn't help
- II יוחנן's ruling about boundaries and urginea maritima (planted as boundaries)
 - a נאסי (according to ייחנן): is considered a boundary for נסי הגר, but not for פאה וטומאה
 - שבת and טומאה and פאה aut not for ניבין: considered a boundary for מיבה and מומאה but not for שבת but not for מיבת
 - i מאה: as per פאה ב:א paths, ravines etc. which divide field and generate independent obligations for פאה
 - i טהור was טומאה as per א"ז's opinion (טהרות ו:ה) if be entered the area where the טהור was טהור
 - c dissent (רבא): even considered a boundary for ברייתא, as per ברייתא:
 - If: he carried $\frac{1}{2}$ שעור שעור out to משנר and placed it there, then brought out another $\frac{1}{2}$ and placed it
 - 1 If: it was in one period of forgetfulness חייב; if he remembered in between פטור
 - 2 Dissent (ר' יוסי): even if one פטור רה"ר, if he placed each half in a distinct פטור
 - (a) רבה as long as there is a רבה between them
 - (b) אביי even if there is a כרמלית between them, but not just a wood platform
 - (c) אבר וחצב even a wood platform (and, in our case, even a מצר וחצב)
 - (i) note: איבת follows his own reasoning; he equates domain definitions of אישנת with those for גיטין
 - d what if: there is no boundary or חצב? (how much is acquired in נכסי?)
 - i אר' יחנן (in י's name): whatever is called the א'גר property whatever he would water from his cistern
 - e notes about רב .חצב that was what יב"נ used to mark boundaries in א"י
 - f tangent (כדב) all cities mentioned in יהושע (chapters 15-19) are border cities
 - g Tangent (שמואל): only the area which 'ה showed משה (v. 1) has obligation of תרו"מ
 - i Excluding: קיני קניזי קדמוני (cf. בראשית טו:יט)
 - ii ד"מ. excluding southwest Jordan
 - iii ד' יהודה. excluding southern Jordan
 - iv ד"ש. excluding areas in Syria and Asia Minor