22.4.7 68a (משנה ז') → 68b (המוקצין הימנה)

> י. הַנֹּתֵן מַטַר עַל פְּנֵי אֵרֵץ **וִשׁלֵח מַיִם עַל פְּנֵי חוּצות**: אי*וב ה*,י **שְׁלָחַיָּהְ פַּרְהֵס רְמּוֹנִים** עִם פְּרִי מְגָדִים כְּפָרִים עִם נְרָדִים:ש*ה״ש ד,יג*

משנה ז': sale of a city I

1

- includes: houses, cisterns, caverns etc. bathhouses, dove-cotes, olive-presses and בית השלחין (discussed below) а excludes: moveable property (including oven, as per above)
  - ii however: if he stated "all of its contents", includes even animals and slaves
    - *inference*: מטלטלין are considered עבדים
      - (a) meaning of "even" (אפילו): we might distinguish between moveable מטלטלין (e.g. slaves) and "stable" קמ"ל – (like an oven) מטלטלין
      - (b) *development*: if so, עבד could even be considered קרקע; he isn't sold otherwise as he's "movable" קרקע
  - לשב"ג selling the city includes selling the סנטר (discussed below) iii
    - meaning: "here" they render סנטר as gendarme; ר' שמעון בן אבטולמוס outer fields
    - (a) note: "here" they would certainly agree to the outer fields, but אישב"א would not accept gendarme (b) *testing approaches*:
    - - (i) *our משנה* makes explicit mention of בית השלחין
        - 1. *version 1*: we assume בית השלחין are fields (as per v. 1)
          - a. *read*: רשב"ג already allowed fields, רשב"ג must be extending to city guard
          - b. *block*: בית השלחין may mean gardens (as per v. 2)
            - i. In which case: רשב"ג is extending to outer fields
        - 2. version 2: we assume בית השלחין are gardents (as per v. 2)
          - a. *read*: רשב"ג allows for gardens, רשב"ג extends to outer fields
          - b. *block*: בית השלחין may mean outer fields (as per v. 1)
            - i. In which case: רשב"ג means "gendarme"
        - 3. *results*: inconclusive
      - (ii) אנקולמוס is not included, but אנקולמוס is included אנקולמוס is not included, but ר' יהודה ברייתא
        - 1. we assume: that אנקולמוס is a position (person) → סנטר (gendarme) a. *rejection*: perhaps one is a position, the other is a field (reject implied parallel)
      - (iii) *challenge*: how could we suggest that according to "7, fields aren't sold?
        - 1. Support: ברייתא of that ברייתא: when selling a city, the following are excluded:
          - a. שייריה which are interpreted as patches of land disconnected from main fields
            - i. *implication*: regular fields are included
            - ii. *fix*: סנטר *includes* אנקולמוס, excludes אנקולמוס
            - iii. *Challenge*: רשב"ג cannot agree with רשב"ג, as they disagree about hamlets
            - iv. Answer: he agrees with him about fields, disagrees about hamlets
          - b. the associated hamlets
          - forests that are connected to the city C
          - d. animal, bird and fish preserves
            - challenge: ruling that animal reserves etc. are included i.
            - ii. answer: if they open to the city, included; if not excluded
            - iii. challenge: the forests which are connected to the city are excluded
            - iv. answer: read "disconnected" from the city