22.5.6

81a (מתרומה ומעשר) - 82a (משנה ד)

- ַּג וְלָקַחָתָּ מֵרֵאשִׁית כָּל פְּרִי הָאָדָמָה אֲשֶׁר תָּבִיא **מֵאַרְצָד** אֲשֶׁר ה׳ אֱלֹהֶידְּ נֹתֵן לָדְּ וְשַׂמְתָּ בַּשֶׂנֶא וְהָלַכְתָּ אֶל הַמָּקוֹם אֲשֶׁר יִבְחַר ה׳ אֱלֹהֶידְּ לְשֶׁכּן שְׁמוֹ שְׁם: *דברים כו:ב*
 - 2. באשית בפורי אַדְמָתְדָּ תָבִיא בֵּית ה' אֱלֹהֶיךָ לֹא תְבַשֵּׁל גְּדִי בַּחֲלֵב אִמּוֹ: שמות פרק כג פסוק יט
 - ב. וְעַתָּה הַנֵּה הַבַּאתִי אֶת רֵאשִׁית פָּרִי **הָאַדְמָה אֲשֶׁר נָתָתָּה לִי** ה' וְהַנַּחְתוֹ לְפָנֵי יְדֹנָד אֱלֹהֶידְ וְהְשְׁתַּלְבְנֵי ה' אֱלֹהֶידָ: *דברים פרק כו פסוק*י
- I משנה ד': rights of one who buys 2 or 3 trees in another's field
 - a If: he bought 2 trees gets no land;
 - i Dissent: ר"מ he gets land
 - ii Note: parallel מקרא ביכורים משנה doesn't provide for מקרא ביכורים for someone who buys less than 3 trees; מקרא ביכורים for someone who buys only 2
 - 1 Conclusion (שמואל): ביכורים allows for מקרא ביכורים even if one buys fruit (and has no land)
 - 2 Argument: since our משנה implies the ביכורים ni משנה must be extending even to fruit bought in שוק must be extending even to fruit bought in שוק
 - (a) Challenge: v. 1
 - (i) Defense: is used to exclude פירות חו"ל
 - (b) Challenge: v. 2
 - (i) Defense: is used to exclude land owned by non-Jew
 - (c) Challenge: v. 3
 - (i) Defense: he means "You (ה') gave me money with which to buy them"
 - (d) Challenge: שמואל if he buys only 1 tree no מקרא ביכורים as he has no land שמואל is refuted
 - 3. Question (asked of "ר"מ: why does ה"מ disallow מקרא ביכורים if he owns 1 tree; and בנן if he owns 2?
 - (a) Suggested answer (רבנת: gerhaps מ"ז is unsure if buying 1 tree gives land and בבנן are unsure if he buys 2
 - (i) Challenge: ר"מ stated (above) buying 1 tree does not grant land
 - 1. Defense: he means 'perhaps' it doesn't grant land
 - (ii) Challenge: if he is unsure, how can they be brought might be חולין לעזרה
 - 1. Answer: he requires the bringer to be מקדיש them first
 - 2. Challenge: then they can't be eaten
 - a. Answer: he redeems them
 - 3. Challenge: they might be liable for תרו"מ
 - a. Answer: he separates תרו"מ
 - b. Challenge: how can מע"ר be given to מע"ע and מע"ע and מע"ע could all be given to כהן
 - i. Answer: as per אראב"ע, who rules that even מע"ר goes to מנ"ר as per לויים signer לויים as per לויים
 - 4. Challenge: they require קריאה (if they really are ביכורים)
 - a. Answer: קריאה is dispensible
 - b. Challenge: per בילה דר' יורא if it cannot be done, it becomes indispensible
 - i. Answer: follows model of case where it was sent by שליח who then died on the way
 - ii. *Ruling*: bring without reading as per v. 1 taking and bringing should be done by same person
 - c. Challenge: let him read it's only פסוקים
 - i. Answer1: it looks like שקר (because it isn't coming from "his" land)
 - ii. *Answer*2: may lead to ignoring תרו"מ in the case of these fruits, assuming them to be fully ביכורים-fruit.
 - b *And*: if they grow, he cannot cut them; whatever grows from the branch is his, but whatever grows from the roots belongs to the land owner; if they die, he has no land (to replant)
 - c *But if*: he bought 3 trees, he gets land, if the trees grow, he may trim them, whatever grows from branches and roots is his and if they die he has land (to replant)