22.5.9

83b (משנה ה')  $\rightarrow 84b$  (משנה ה')

- ז יְתָהַלֵּל: משלי כּ,יד וּאֹזֵל לוֹ אֲז יְתָהַלֵּל: משלי כּ,יד ... רַע רַע יֹאמֶר הַקּוֹנֶה וָאֹזֵל לוֹ אֲז
- 2. וְרָאֶה הַפֹּהֵן אֶת הַנָּגֵע וְהָגֵה **מַרְאֵהוּ עָמֹק מִן הָעוֹר** וּבוֹ שֵׁעֶר צָהֹב דָּק וְטִמֵּא אֹתוֹ הַפֹּהֵן נֶתֶק הוּא צָרַעַת הָרֹאשׁ אוֹ הַזָּקָן הוּא: *ויקראיג, ל* 
  - ב. **וְלֹא תִשְּׁאוּ עָלָיו חֵטְא בַּהָרִימְכֶם אֶת חֶלְבּוֹ מִמֶּנוּ** וְאֶת קַדְשֵׁי בְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל לֹא תְחַלְּלוּ וְלֹא תָמוּתוּ: במדבר יח, לב
- I משנה ה': selling parts of animal
  - a Cattle: (בהמה גסה)
    - i If: he sells the head, it doesn't "carry" the legs and vice-versa
    - ii If: he sells the lungs, doesn't "carry" the liver and vice-versa
  - b Flock: (בהמה דקה)
    - i If: he sells the head, it "carries" the legs but not vice-versa
    - ii *If*: he sells the lungs, it "carries" the liver but not vice-versa
- II משנה ו' a results of possibly fraudulent sales
  - a If: the "misunderstanding" was within a quality range of the same material, אונאה applies only to "victim"
    - If: they agreed on fine-quality wheat and it turned out to be poor buyer can force annulment of sale
      - 1 *Even if*: the price of poor wheat went up between the deal and getting merchandise, such that it was worth more than the original agreed-upon price
    - ii If: they agreed on poor-quality wheat and it turned out to be fine seller can force annulment of sale
      - 1 *Evern if*: the price of fine wheat went down between the deal and getting merchandise, such that it was worth less than the original agreed-upon price
    - iii If: they agreed on poor-quality wheat and it turned out to be poor neither can annul (even if depreciated...)
    - iv *If*: they agreed on fine-quality wheat and it turned out to be fine neither can annul (even if appreciated...)
  - b However: if the "misunderstanding" was between two different merchandises, either one can annul
    - i Examples:
      - 1 שחמתית (ruddy) vs. לבנה (pale) wheat
        - (a) ר': inference that the sun is red (in apposition to לבנה);our faulty eyesight keeps us from seeing this color during the day (we only see it at sunrise and sunset)
          - (i) Challenge: v. 2 is interpreted as "looking like the sun" and it is white
          - (ii) Answer: it is only somewhat similar in that it is deeper than shade; but red, not white
          - (iii) Explanation of errant assumption (that it is white) against image at sunrise and sunset: at one of those times, it passes the rose garden of א"ע, and the other, it passes the portal to גיהנם
      - 2 olives vs. sycamore branches
      - 3 vinegar vs. wine
        - (a) suggestion: this follows ידר" (contra ין וחומץ) who holds that יין וחומץ are considered distinct for תרו"מ
        - (b) rejection: even מבנן agree that it is 2 separate types for commerce (some prefer wine, some vinegar)
          - (i) however: in case of תרו"מ, since taking an inferior type as תרו"מ for a superior type is valid but considered a sin (as per v. 3), they identify it as valid (but wrong behavior); רבי considers them distinct
- III "Side-door" אונאה two rulings about אונאה:
  - a If: A sold B merchandise worth 5 for 6 (אונאה) but before taking possession, it appreciated to 8, only buyer is the "victim" and can claim אונאה:
    - i *Reason*: buyer can point out that had the seller sold for 5 (proper value), and then it appreciated, he wouldn't have recourse to recover the difference; why would he gain as a result of his אונאה?
    - ii Support: our משנה (first clause) which must address a case where value appreciated afterwards (as above)
  - b If: A sold B merchandise worth 6 for 5 but before taking possession, it depreciated to 3, only seller is the "victim" and can claim אונאה:
    - i Reason: seller can point out that had he bought for 6, then it depreciated, he wouldn't have recourse to recover the difference; why would he gain as a result of his אונאה?
    - i Support: our משנה (2nd clause) which must address a case where value depreciated afterwards (as above)
  - משנה Question: what is ה"ח teaching this is all implicit in our
    - i Answer: from the סד"א alone, א"ר"ח that in משנה that in משנה that the buyer can annul when it turns out to be inferior, since he was making that claim beforehand (v. 1)
      - 1 Explanation: sellers typically "trump up" the value of their merchandise before selling; buyers do the opposite