## 22.6.4

96b (תאנא: פיטסות נאות ומגופרות)  $\rightarrow 97b$  (תאנא: פיטסות נאות ומגופרות)

1. וְכִי תַגִּשׁוּן עַוַּר לְזְבֹּחַ אֵין רָע וְכִי תַגִּישׁוּ פָּסֵחַ וְחֹלֶה אֵין רָע **הַקְרִיבַהוּ נָא לְפָחָתֶדּ הַיִּרְצְדָּ אוֹ הַיִּשְׂא פָנֶידּ** אָמַר ה' צְּבָאוֹת: *מלאכי פרק א פסוק ח* 2. **אַל תַּרָא יַיִן כִּי יִתְאַדָּם** כִּי יִתָּן בַּכּוֹס עֵינוֹ יִתְהַלֵּדְ בְּמֵישָׁרִים: *משלי פרק כג פסוק לא* 

- I Continued discussion on quality of wine
  - a ברייתא : date mead, barley malt and wine dregs ברייתא
    - i Dissent: בפה"ג if there is a taste of wine בפה"ג
    - ii Ruling (רבה ור"י): follow ת"ק
      - 1 Explanation (רבא): all agree that if you put in 3 parts water and got 4 parts drink בפה"ג
        - (a) Note: בנא is following his own approach wine must be 3 parts water to 1 part wine base
      - 2 Continuation: and all agree that if you put in 3 parts water and got 3 parts drink שהכל
      - 3 Dispute: if you put in 3 parts water and got 3 ½ parts drink
        - (a)  $\pi$  feels that the 3 cancel out the 3 and you have  $\frac{1}{2}$  wine to 3 parts water (1/6) water
        - (b) אחרים 3 parts water went in, 2 ½ came out so 1 part is wine; 1 part out of 2 ½ is certainly wine
        - Question: there should be no dispute if a greater amount than the original water came in:
          - (a) משנה (מעשרות ה:ו) if he put water into dregs and found the same amount of liquid:
            - (i) פטור במעשרות ה*ו"ק*
            - (ii) חייב במעשרות :*ר' יהודה* 
              - 1. *inference*: dispute only if same amount found  $\rightarrow$  if more found, all agree that its wine
              - 2. rejection: מ"ק holds that if more liquid came, still not water; "even" taught to show position of ר"ל
  - b related question: what if dregs have taste of wine? (answer it isn't wine at all but just dross)
  - c related ברייתא dregs of תרומה the 1st and 2nd "recycles" are תרומה if there is a taste, 3rd and on תרומה וולין: even 3rd החולין: מיתא
    - i And: מעשר has the same approaches, one step lighter (1st מעשר extends to 2nd בנ"ט extends to 2nd בנ"ט
    - ii And: הקדש has the same positions, one step more severe (3/4)
      - 1 Challenge: ברייתא rules that הקדש is always אסור (if מ"ט) and מעשר always permitted (if נ"ט)
      - 2 Resolution:
        - (a) קדו"ג אדוה"ג vs. קדו" vs. קדו
        - (b) זודאי *מעשר* vs. דמאי
      - 3 Note: ר"ש בן יהוצדק same rules apply to הכשר טומאה
        - (a) Explanation: if the dregs became soaked on their own (otherwise, his actions of soaking them or pouring them automatically demonstrate ממשבה and are ממשבה) and a cow drank it there is no משקה so after 2<sup>nd</sup> (or 3<sup>rd</sup>) soaking, not considered משקה
- II קידוש . המים may not be said on any wine unfit for ניסוך המים
  - a Question: what does this exclude?
    - i Cannot be: freshly squeezed תירוש, which is כשר לכתחילה לקידוש היום → כשר בדיעבד למזבח
    - ii *Cannot be*: from the bottom or spout (same as above)
    - iii Must be: to exclude inferior quality wines of the type that בדיעבד are פסול למזבח
      - 1 Challenge: if קוסס –that is a dispute (ריב"ל vs. ריב"ל)
        - (a) And if: exposed (מגולה) that's dangerous and shouldn't be drunk in any case
        - (b) If: diluted if it brings the proper measure  $(3\rightarrow 4)$  that's how it should be (and  $3\rightarrow 3.5$  dispute as above)
          - (i) Must be: excluding foul-smelling wine
          - (ii) Or: may exclude מגולה even if he put it through a sieve as per v. 1
  - b Question: what of white wine (answer v. 2)
- III Explanation of פיטסות (at end of משנה): broken and sealed up with גפרית