## 22.8.1

108a (משנה א) → 109b (משנה א)

Note: this chapter begins a new phase in מסכת מיקין, dealing with inheritance. The critical חורה in the חורה about inheritance comes on the heels of the request made by צלפחד 's daughters:

. (וֹ) וַיֹּאמֶר ה' אֶל מֹשֶׁה לֵאמר: (ז) כֵּן בְּנוֹת צְלְפְּחָד דֹּבְרֹת נָתוֹ תִּתְּוֹ לְהֶם אֲחָזַת נַחַלֶּה בְּתוֹדְ אֲבִיר וֹחָלָת לְבְּתוֹ: (ט) וְאָל בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל הְבִּר לֵאחָי: (י) וְאָל בְּתוֹ: (ט) וְאָל אֵין לוֹ בַּת וּנְתַלֶּת לְבָתוֹ: (ט) וְאָל אֵין לוֹ בַּת וּנְתַלֶּת לְבָתוֹ: (ט) וְאָל אֵין לוֹ בַּת וּנְתַלֶּת לְבָתוֹ: (ט) וְאָל אֵין לוֹ בַּת וּנְתַתֶּם אֶת נַחֲלָתוֹ לְשָׁאָר הַלְּבְּתוֹ: (ט) וְאָל אֶתְה לְבְנֵי יִשְׁרָאֵל לְחָלֶּת מְשְׁפָּט בַּאֲשֶׁר צְּוָה ה' אֶת מֹשֶׁה: בּמִדבּר כּוֹ

- I משנה א': 4 groups of relations vis-à-vis נחלה
  - a נוחלין ומנחילין (inheritance is bidirectional): father from son, son from father; paternal brothers
  - b נוחלין ולא מנחילין (only recipients): son from mother, husband from wife, nephew from mother's sister
  - c מנחילין ולא נוחלין (only bequeathers): mother to son, wife to husband, mother's brothers
  - d א נוחלין ולא מנחילין (no inheritance): maternal brothers
- II Analysis of rhetoric
  - a Question: why begin with father inheriting from son (if son predeceases him) why not begin with son from father
    - i Support1: we shouldn't begin with a tragic circumstance
    - ii Support2: son inheriting from father is explicit (ספוק → if he does have a son, son inherits first)
    - iii Answer: since father inheriting from son is inferred via a תנא appreciates it more and puts it first:
      - 1 שארו (פסוק יא). means father, teaching that father comes before brothers
        - (a) Next: we might have thought that he comes first (before son) therefore the key word יmplies:
        - (b) הקרוב קרוב קרוב <del>לקרוב</del>
          - (i) Question: why do we promote the son over the brother
          - (ii) Answer: because he stands in father's stead for ייעוד and for redeeming שדה אחוזה
            - 1. Challenge: brother is "closer" as he stands in brother's stead for ייבום
            - 2. Block: ייבום only exits in the absence of a child → son is "closer"
            - 3. *Note*: were it not for this block, we may have preferred brother but isn't it 2>1?
            - 4. Answer: שדה אחוזה is also analyzed (in ערכין) and son is "awarded" based on same argument
        - (c) Suggestion: why not read that father comes before daughter as well?
          - (i) Answer: since daughter::son for (exempting from) "יבום, they are equated here as well for "קרוב", they are equated here as well for
        - (d) Suggestion: why not read that father comes (only) before his brothers (but after his other sons)?
          - (i) *Answer*: father's brothers are obviously after him (since they only inherit "through" him), no need for שארו to establish that priority
            - 1. Note: the verses do not follow his order (as "father" [שארו] comes after father's brothers)
            - 2. Answer: verses do not follow sequence of סדר הנחלות
      - 2 Alternate route: מעביר only מעביר from father if there is a daughter, not if there are (only) brothers
        - (a) Suggestion: why not put daughter between father and brothers (only מעביר from brothers if no father)
          - (i) Answer: then there is no need for והעברתם
      - 3 Analysis of two approaches:
        - (a) According to 2<sup>nd</sup> approach (שארו: השברתם is the wife, teaching that a husband inherits from his wife
        - (b) According to 1st approach (ישארו teaches that her son and husband inherit from her, thus causing to "pass" from tribe to tribe (if a girl with no brothers inherits from father and marries into another tribe, her husband or sons will inherit her ancestral/tribal land)
          - (i) Note: this is another source for inheritance rights of husband