## 22.8.15

126a (אמר רב הונא אמר רב אסי)  $\rightarrow 126b$  (ויתרתה בכל הנכסים כולן)

- I Rulings of רב אסי regarding בכורה:
  - a If: a בכור protests (against his brothers improving the property before division; an improvement of which he will not get פי שנים) his protest is valid and, if there is an improvement nonetheless, he gets פי שנים of it.
    - i Extent:
      - 1 אבה only if they picked grapes or olives (still grapes/olives)
        - (a) But: not if they crushed them (now wine/oil)
      - 2 ייסף: even if they crushed them
        - (a) Challenge: if they crushed them, certainly it is a new item and the בכור cannot get פי שנים
        - (b) Explanation: as per ר' עוקבא בר חמא for the loss to the value of the grapes (if the wine was bad)
          - (i) Original context: ruling of שמואל that if father left a בכור ופשוט grapes/olives and they harvested them even if they crushed them בכור meaning, בכור meaning, בכור is compensated for loss to his פי שנים of grapes/olives if the wine/oil is bad)
  - b If: a בכורה took a regular portion, he has forfeited his בכורה-claim
    - i Application (two versions of רבא
      - 1 בי פפא: he forfeited only on that field
      - 2 בכורה: he forfeited his בכורה-rights on all fields
        - (a) Basis for dispute: whether the בכורה has ownership before the division
          - (i) יבי הפא : he doesn't own until division; he only forfeits that which he got, nothing else
            - (ii) ר' פפי: he has ownership before division → his forfeiture is on the entire estate
        - (b) Inference of their positions from case:
          - (i) בכור sold entire estate; heirs of his פשוט-brother came to collect payment from לקוחות and the new owners attacked them; the relatives protested "not only did you buy their property, now you attack them!" the case came to אבר:
          - (ii) Ruling (רבא): the sale was invalid
            - בכור ← half of the sale was invalid (the other's part) → בכור ל does have ownership of his own portion before division
            - אספא חיד. none of the sale was valid → בכור ל does not have ownership of his own portion before division
          - (iii) Final ruling: the בכור does own his portion before division (as per ר' פפי
          - (iv) Support: מר זוטרא) בכור who divided one basket of pepper with his brothers as equals (כפשוט) forfeited his בכורה-rights on the entire estate